Clause 4.6 Returns Quarter 1 July 2020 to 30 September 2020

| 2020 | | | | | |
|---------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|
| Council DA | DA/730/2019 | DA/1047/2019 | DA/1175/2018 | DA/293/2020 | DA/579/2020 |
| reference number | | | | | |
| Lot number | | Lot 100 | 6 AND 7 | Lot 1 DP 1088474 | Lot 100 |
| | | | | and Lot 2 | |
| DP number | Strata Plan 18948 | DP 608646 | DP 237296 | DP 1088474 | DP 732242 |
| Apartment/Unit | | | | | |
| number | | | | | |
| Street number | 33 | 17 | 11-17 | 1B and 3 | 2 |
| Street name | Clovelly Road | Bellevue Street | Salisbury Road | Pine Valley Road | Nicolas Crescent |
| Suburb/Town | Hornsby | Thornleigh | Hornsby | Galston | Normanhurst |
| Postcode | 2077 | 2120 | 2077 | 2159 | 2076 |
| Category of | 13: Subdivision only | 8: Commercial / retail / | 10: Infrastructure | 13: Subdivision only | 1: Residential - Alterations & |
| development | | office | | | additions |
| Environmental | HLEP 2013 | HLEP 2013 | HLEP 2013 | HLEP 2013 | HLEP 2013 |
| planning instrument | | | | | |
| Zoning of land | R2 Low density | B2 Local Centre | IN2 Light Industrial | RU4 Primary | R2 Low density residential |
| | residential | | | Production and E3 | |
| | | | | Environmental | |
| | | | | Management | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Development | Minimum Lot size | Building Height and | Maximum Building Height | Minimum Lot size | Building Height |
| standard to be | | FSR | | | |
| varied | | | | | |

| Justification of | The proposal is both | For accessibility | Strict compliance with the | The proposal will | The proposed development |
|---------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|
| variation | site specific and | reasons, the opportunity | height development | result in an existing | generally complies with the |
| | accords with the | to 'step' the design of | standard is unreasonable | undersized allotment | requirements of the relevant |
| | objective of the zone | the building does not | as the height of the silos | having an area of | environmental planning |
| | as the proposal would | exist for the use of the | cannot be reduced due to | 1,598m2 being | instruments and the Hornsby |
| | allow the existing | building as a shopping | design requirements for | increased to have an | Development Control Plan |
| | dwellings to be on | centre. The overall | storage capacity and truck | area of 1,817m2. • | 2013. The request under |
| | separate Torrens titles, | reduction in the height | clearance. The variation | The proposed | Clause 4.6 of Hornsby Local |
| | thereby adding | of the existing building is | would allow the orderly and | development may be | Environmental Plan 2013 to |
| | economic value and | considered to be an | economic use of the land | undertaken as | vary the 'Height of buildings' |
| | versatility to existing | improvement to the | in accordance with the land | exempt development | development standard is wel |
| | housing stock. The | external appearance of | zoning, facilitating the | pursuant to State | founded. Strict compliance |
| | proposal results in no | the building and will | delivery of a key | Environmental | with the development |
| | changes to the built | reduce the bulk and | infrastructure projects | Planning Policy | standard is unreasonable an |
| | form as the multi unit | scale by the removal of | within the area. The height | (Exempt and | unnecessary in the |
| | housing is existing | a number of existing | exceedance would not be | Complying | circumstances of the case |
| | which would not alter | elements of the | out of character for the | Development Codes) | and there are sufficient |
| | the intensity or scale of | shopping centre that | industrial area and is | 2008 if it were not for | environmental planning |
| | the site. The proposal | currently exceed the | similar in design to the | the requirement to | grounds to justify the variation |
| | has sufficient land to | height control and add | existing silo operating at | upgrade the ember | to the development |
| | accommodate a | to the vertical scale of | the site. | protection of the | standards. The proposed |
| | compliant subdivision | the building. The | | dwelling house on | development does not create |
| | and strict compliance | increase in retail | | proposed Lot 12 in | unreasonable environmental |
| | would not result in a | floorspace correlates to | | accordance with | impacts to adjoining |
| | better planning | retail demand and | | Planning for Bush | development with regard to |
| | outcome then the | recent residential up- | | Fire Protection 2019. | visual bulk, overshadowing, |
| | existing subdivision | zonings in the vicinity | | | solar access, amenity or |
| | pattern | since the construction of | | | privacy. |
| | | the original centre | | | |
| Extent of variation | >10% | Height 10% and FSR | 37% | 166% | 11.8% |
| | | 38% | | | |

| Concurring | Assume the | Assume the | Assume the concurrence | Assume the | Assume the concurrence of |
|--------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| authority | concurrence of the | concurrence of the | of the Secretary of | concurrence of the | the Secretary of Department |
| | Secretary of | Secretary of Department | Department of Planning, | Secretary of | of Planning, Industry and |
| | Department of | of Planning, Industry | Industry and Environment | Department of | Environment |
| | Planning, Industry and | and Environment | | Planning, Industry | |
| | Environment | | | and Environment | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Date DA determined | 6/08/2020 | 6/08/2020 | 30/09/2020 | 30/09/2020 | 30/09/2020 |
| dd/mm/yyyy | | | | | |
| | | | | | |