

5 to 19 December 2024 - PUBLIC CONSULTATION - Rosemead Road HORNSBY - Proposed Parking Restrictions near Blue Gum Community School					
F2016/00066					
TRIM	ROLE	SUBMISSION	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE	SUPPORT	OBJECT
D09027702	Ausgrid	Ausgrid has no concerns with the Proposed Parking Restrictions near Blue Gum Community School @ Rosemead Road Hornsby	Comments noted.	1	
D09027821	TfNSW	Thank you for your email regarding the proposed parking restrictions on Rosemead Road, Hornsby. TfNSW has reviewed the proposed upgrade and has no objections or concerns regarding this.	Comments noted.	1	
D09029553	Police	No objection	Comments noted.	1	
D09028175	Resident	I agree to the above proposal. It would make my pick up and drop off a lot safer and easier. I am in a longer and wider vehicle and can't fit in the inside car park, so the street parking is my only option. It would be amazing to have place available. Thanks.	Comments noted.	1	
D09028191	Resident	This will be extremely helpful for the parents during drop off and pick up on school days to allow for constant flow of vehicles and safety for the children. Currently there are a number of cars that park long term in inconvenient spaces in front of the school which obstruct the ability for safe crossing, especially when local drivers disobey the 40km/h zone.	Comments noted.	1	
D09036149	Resident	From a road safety point of view (TfNSW employee at the Transport Management Centre working in road safety and incident management and a parent). Yes. This parking should be implemented to provide a safe area for drop off of for students.	Comments noted.	1	
D09036325	Resident	Hi, As a parent currently, and future, of a child at Blue Gum Community School, it would be very helpful to have the proposed changes made to Rosemead Road to ensure that when I drop off my child there is ample parking and accessibility to the school. Thank you.	Comments noted.	1	
D09028196	Resident	Please find attached a proposal for re-consideration of short-term parking on one of the school’s front verges, for use by our Primary School students and families during school zone hours only, as prepared by the traffic engineer working with the school.  We come to ask for re-consideration of this proposal for these important reasons: To shorten the distance our primary students walk independently of adults, from parked vehicles into the school, for reasons of student/pedestrian safety. To limit the length of parking of our families on this verge during the day. To encourage our Primary students’ families to make use of spaces directly in front of the school, instead of spreading out on nearby streets, or in front of other homes. To discourage the use of the Rosemead verge (only the part in front of our property), as a long-term parking option for car owners.  We note, this parking would match the school zone times, already in operation and would not hinder other residential use of these spaces after 4pm and on weekends.  Thank you for your consideration. Please do not hesitate to contact us for further questions or clarifications.	Comments noted.	1	
D09028309	Resident	We have a child who attends Blue Gum Community School and it would make it a lot safer for him if there were to be parking restrictions in place during school zone hours. This would allow him to only walk a short distance from the car to the school gate, rather than a longer distance from a block away or more. Further, it would make it a lot easier for us as parents to find parking in front of the school during drop off and pick up. Currently there seem to be cars parked in front of the school at all times and this really limits our options, which causes us to then park in front of people’s homes. I’ve noticed that there is restricted parking in front of other schools in Hornsby and it would be great if our school could also have the privilege and safety of knowing we have somewhere close to the school to park and that would increase the safety of our children. I understand that residents of that portion of Rosemead may see this as an inconvenience to them, but since it would only be during school zone hours, I don’t see how it would impede them all that much, while it would benefit us a lot, as parents and students. Thank you for your consideration.	Comments noted.	1	
D09028903	Resident	I am writing to respond to the request for Parking in the front of Blue Gum Community School. As a parent and community member I fully endorse this proposal. I see that it would provide closer, and therefore safer, drop off locations for us to park as parents, limiting the distance our son will have to travel to get into school each day. I am also aware that this verge is a commonly used place for cars, not owned by neighbours of the school, to be parked long-term, thereby limiting the use of this area to the Primary Parents. I also think that limiting the short-term parking, to only be during the school zone times makes a lot of sense, ensuring neighbours have full use of these spaces when then school is not in operation, and in the middle of the days.	Comments noted.	1	
D09028988	Resident	I write in support of this proposal. It makes practical sense for families enrolled at the school to be able to safely deliver their children to school as the children would not have to walk far independently of an adult. This proposal also limits the length of parking of families on this verge during the day.  Reiterating my support for this proposal. Thank you	Comments noted.	1	
D09028204	Resident	When the Blue Gun school was proposed. People commented that they wanted minimal signage as it will change the face of the area. So definitely opposed to this. The schools has removed far too many trees. Promised they wouldn't. There is a shortage of parking for commuters. So by doing this it will impact on commuter parking. Big No from me.	Commuter Parking spaces are provided close to Hornsby Train Station and Hornsby Westfield. The proposal is similar to most schools in the shire where a short pick up and drop off areas are provided at the school frontage. Residents can still park their car at the proposed location out of school time zone time.		1
D09033164	Resident	The school has an in & out driveway which more than caters to the needs of parents dropping off & picking up children. Residents concerns about parking & traffic were ignored & this school was approved. Now their concerns are proving to be correct. There is no need or justification to restrict parking for rate paying residents. If the school requires more space for drop & pickup of children, there is more than adequate room on the property to create more space. Rate payers should not be penalised for a business wanting to fix the issue the cheap (for them) way. If this is approved it will be a disgrace & will show clearly what the council thinks of it's residents.	The proposal is similar to most schools in the shire where a short pick up and drop off areas are provided at the school frontage. Residents can still park their car at the proposed location out of school time zone time.		1
D09034297	Resident	I disagree with the proposed restrictions on parking. Parents can use the on site parking to kiss and drop. It is a residential street and the parking should be available to all residents to use. The proposed changes effectively reserve parking only for the school.	The proposal is similar to most schools in the shire where a short pick up and drop off areas are provided at the school frontage. Residents can still park their car at the proposed location out of school time zone time.		1
D09036178	Resident	We object to the proposed parking restrictions.  There is only one legal parking spot in our cul-de-sac meaning when family, friends and trades people regularly have to park on Rosemead Road. The 3 hours of restrictions will prove difficult for our visitors and workers.  During the year, on several occasions, the School has turned their driveway into a bike track meaning parents and any other School visitors have had to park on the street leaving a near empty internal car park. This was not in line with the original terms of approval.  There is adequate room within the property for a driveway pickup similar to Our Lady of the Rosary School at Waitara.  This would be safer for the children and parents alike.  There was an indication in the original proposal that pick up and drop of times would be staggered avoiding the need for time street parking.	The proposal is similar to most schools in the shire where a short pick up and drop off areas are provided at the school frontage. Residents can still park their car at the proposed location out of school time zone time. Use of the driveway by the school will be investigated by Council.		1

5 to 19 December 2024 - PUBLIC CONSULTATION - Rosemead Road HORNSBY - Proposed Parking Restrictions near Blue Gum Community School					
F2016/00066					
TRIM	ROLE	SUBMISSION	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE	SUPPORT	OBJECT
D09036188	Resident	<p>Thank you for the opportunity to respond to the three parking restriction proposals for Rosemead Road, Hornsby as outlined by Hornsby Shire Council.</p> <p>We wish to make the following responses.</p> <p>1. Given the significant flow of traffic and the narrow passage of Dural Street and Rosemead Road, the proposal to provide15 Minute parking on the eastern side of Rosemead Road is a considerable safety concern. During the peak traffic flow in the mornings and afternoons, significantly contributed to by parents of the Blue Gum School, and the existence of parked cars for residents, the narrow passage makes it extremely unsafe for parents and children exiting and entering their vehicles. Our understanding is that in the application for the development of the school, the dropping off and collecting of children would be within the school grounds on a roster basis. This new proposal is in complete defiance of this requirement.</p> <p>2. Many parents currently disregard the "No Right Turn" sign into the school and as such, are very unlikely to follow the "No Parking" rules. In addition, given the significant flow of traffic and the narrow passage of Dural Street and Rosemead Road, the proposal to provide "No Parking" on the eastern side of Rosemead Road near the school exit, is a considerable safety concern. During the peak traffic flow in the mornings and afternoons, significantly contributed to by parents of the Blue Gum School, and the existence of parked cars for residents, the narrow passage makes it extremely unsafe for parents and children exiting and entering their vehicles. Our understanding is that in the application for the development of the school, the dropping off and collecting of children would be within the school grounds on a roster basis. This new proposal is in complete defiance of this requirement.</p> <p>3. Many of the school's parents disregard the signage currently implemented by council. Unless the adherence by parents to this signage is monitored and penalties enforced by council, parents of the school community shall continue to make the roads around the school unsafe for themselves, their children and residents.</p> <p>In addition, the intersection of William Street and Rosemead Road is now unsafe due to the increased traffic flow of non-residents, As such, we are requesting a mirror to be installed to enable vehicles turning right from William Street into Rosemead Road, to have a clear vision of vehicles approaching the intersection on their right, to avoid a major accident.</p> <p>As residents, our expectation of Hornsby Shire Council is that our concerns will be heard and acted upon.</p>	<p>The proposal is similar to most schools in the shire where a short pick up and drop off areas are provided at the school frontage. Residents can still park their car at the proposed location out of school time zone time. A minority of drivers have a disregard for traffic regulations, including right turn ban. This is a driver behaviour issue that can only be addressed through enforcement by NSW Police. Review of the traffic data indicates the the intersection of William Street and Rosemead Road is operating safely.</p>		1
D09036261	Resident	<p>I don't agree with the proposed parking restrictions at 1 Rosemead Rd Hornsby. In their proposal, the Blue Gum school said that they would have a kiss and drop area within the school, not outside the school, as this is the safest area for children to be dropped off and staff can also supervise the area.</p> <p>A drop off area on Rosemead Rd would be unsafe for the children, as cars often turn the corner from Dural St to Rosemead Rd with speed.</p> <p>The parking restrictions on Rosemead Rd would also make parking difficult for residents on Rosemead Rd. Elderly residents of the retirement village on Dural St park on Rosemead Rd, so parking restrictions would make parking more difficult for them, and force them to walk longer distances to get to the village. It also puts them at risk of injury/death of they have to cross the road, as cars are known to speed along Rosemead Rd.</p>	<p>Although there is a kiss and ride area within the site, site observation indicates that majority of parents prefers to drop-off or pick-up their children at the frontage of the School. The proposal is to improve road safety for school students and residents in the vicinity, as well as minimise pick-up and drop-off parking impact around the area. Residents can still park their car at the proposed location out of school time. A minority of drivers have a disregard for traffic regulations, including speed limit. This is a driver behaviour issue that can only be addressed through enforcement by NSW Police.</p>		1
D09036273	Resident	<p>I do not agree to the proposed parking restrictions at the front of 1 Rosemead Rd Hornsby. As part of their proposal to the community and relevant agencies, the school stated that they would have the children dropped off in the kiss and drop area within the school. They stated that staff would be there to safely receive the children. They also stated that there would be staggered start and finishing times so that the process would run smoothly. I haven't witnessed any of this occurring.</p> <p>Parking for the community on Rosemead Rd and Dural St is challenging for the residents. In particular, some elderly residents in the retirement units on Dural St park around the corner on Rosemead Rd as there is very limited parking in and around the units. Forcing the elderly residents to find alternative parking further away and potentially having to cross the road on Rosemead Rd will make it more hazardous for them. Cars often speed around the corner of Dural St onto Rosemead Rd.</p> <p>It is safer for the children to be dropped off and picked up in the kiss and drop area within the school grounds rather than along the street. The kiss and drop area within the school was purpose built for this reason.</p>	<p>Although there is a kiss and ride area within the site, site observation indicates that majority of parents prefers to drop-off or pick-up their children at the frontage of the School. The proposal is to improve road safety for school students and residents in the vicinity, as well as minimise pick-up and drop-off parking impact around the area. Residents can still park their car at the proposed location out of school time.</p>		1
D09036398	Resident	<p>I do not see the requirement to have timed and/or no parking restrictions in front of the school. My reasons being;</p> <p>1. The school has an onsite drop and kiss system in place that is no longer being used. The school's purpose of the drop and kiss system was for the safety of their children, not take up street parking from the residents and to avoid traffic congestion on Rosemead Rd. Why the need to restrict parking? The parents already use Rosemead Road, Dural St and William street for parking and use the gates for entrance and exist along these streets. I believe through observation the purpose of the intended restricted parking is for the convenience of the parents to park right outside the school gates. Why is the school no longer using the drop n kiss zone? This has not been explained to residents.</p> <p>2. The school has about 10 or more onsite parking spots for teachers and parents. This parking is never full and I have noticed that the entrance gate during drop off and pick up times is usually closed. It seems that the school has stopped allowing parent vehicles to enter the property. This should be investigated before providing restricting parking on Rosemead Rd for the parents.</p> <p>3. Resident parking will be reduced further if parking restrictions are applied. It is not feasible for residents to move their cars in the morning and again in the afternoon to adhere to the proposed parking restrictions, therefore the residents will park elsewhere reducing the area to park even more. Currently, the stretch along Rosemead Road between Dural Street corner and William street intersection services parking for residents, visitors and care workers to Camelia Aged Care Units at 49 Dural St and parking for the residents of the cul-de-sac end of Dural Street. In addition, the same area services parking for residents in Rosemead Rd who live at numbers 1a, 2, 3, 4, 6, 6a, 8, 10 and 10a and residents of granny flats at numbers 2, 4 and 6. There are double lines painted on the road outside numbers 3 and 8 which means there is no parking allowed outside these homes and numbers 10 and 10a are at the t-section where William st meets Rosemead Rd, so no parking there either. Residents and their visitors of all properties mentioned above park in the stretch of Rosemead Rd between Dural St and Williams St but only where the section is not already inhibited by double lines or where no stopping already is in existence.</p> <p>4. If the parking restrictions go ahead then 48 metres of parking will be taken away from residents during School term. This is problematic for the residents.</p> <p>5. The school has caused the erection of several large double poled 40 zone signs and 2 with flashing lights, large 40 zone road paintings and no stopping signage, no right turn signage and left only turn signage. This has immensely impacted our heritage streetscape. More signage on Rosemead Road in this section is not wanted by the residents. Further signage to our heritage listed streetscape should only be erected with great consideration.</p> <p>I do not see the proposal of restricted parking necessary when the school has an onsite drop and kiss zone that they are no longer utilising and on-site parking for parents that is not being utilised effectively. If the council permit restricted parking to go ahead then please at least give residents parking permits to allow them to park in these areas.</p>	<p>The proposal is consistent with most schools in the shire where a short pick up and drop off areas are provided at the school frontage. Residents can still park their car at the proposed location out of school time zone time.</p>		1
D09036487	Resident	<p>I object to the proposed parking changes along Rosemead Rd. The restrictions proposed will displace cars parked for longer periods further down the road creating a nuisance elsewhere. This will impact any resident, commuter and school-related vehicles that happen to be parked for even short periods overlapping with the times the restrictions are active. The school has operated with its current setup for 3 years, which would entail parents dropping off and picking up within the school grounds if they were following the parking plan in their development application. It is wrong for the school to be reneging the terms of their operation, especially where it concerns public infrastructure. Establishing this area for short-term parking instead of using the school grounds will encourage a line of cars to form along both sides of this narrow road. Having cars manoeuvring around and stopping on both sides of the street will worsen visibility which is poor for through traffic approaching from the corner of Dural St. This poses a safety hazard with parents and children crossing between a line of cars over to their cars parked on the opposite side of Rosemead Rd.</p>	<p>Although there is a kiss and ride area within the site, site observation indicates that majority of parents prefers to drop-off or pick-up their children at the frontage of the School. The proposal is to improve road safety for school students and residents in the vicinity, as well as minimise pick-up and drop-off parking impact around the area. Residents can still park their car at the proposed location out of school time.</p>		1

5 to 19 December 2024 - PUBLIC CONSULTATION - Rosemead Road HORNSBY - Proposed Parking Restrictions near Blue Gum Community School					
F2016/00066					
TRIM	ROLE	SUBMISSION	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE	SUPPORT	OBJECT
D09036489	Resident	I do not agree with the proposed timed and/or no parking restrictions on Rosemead Rd Hornsby. The school's agreement in place outlines their kiss and drop system would occur within the school, there is no need for parking restrictions as traffic activity for the school should be occurring there. The school has not abided by their propositions. Parking for residents on the road is difficult for residents as it is in a narrow street, there is no need for parking restrictions, we have a right to be able to park outside our homes. The school has their own capacity within its grounds to undergo its own kiss and drop system, and plenty of parking capacity that is never filled. It is more dangerous to restrict parking on the street and have children exiting cars on the street, as restricted parking only increases traffic activity and causes traffic congestion. Additionally, putting in place more signage will further detriment the streetscape and the heritage aspects of the property. <del>Please out an end to the increasing impact the school imposes on the former quiet residential area that is Rosemead Rd.</del>	The proposal is consistent with most schools in the shire where a short pick up and drop off areas are provided at the school frontage. Residents can still park their car at the proposed location out of school time zone time.		1
D09036495	Resident	We write in response to Council's letter dated 5 December which called for submissions within two weeks on a proposed set of new parking restrictions associated with the school at 1 Rosemead Rd, Hornsby. We OPPOSE this proposal for the following reasons. 1) No case is made in the documentation that any changes to parking restrictions in the street are needed. No argument or data (such as the traffic monitoring data that was a mandatory condition on the Blue Gum Community School for its approval by the Independent Planning Commission) are presented to justify the changes. 2) The approval for the school by the Independent Planning Commission was predicated on the claim by the proponents of the school that all drop offs and pick ups would be managed internally on the School site and managed using a roster for drop-offs and pick-ups. Nothing about the operation of the school has changed that would warrant a departure from this original plan nor the imposition of parking restrictions on local residents. 3) The residents, their guests and trades people working in the area currently park vehicles on the street outside the school. They would be needlessly inconvenienced by the need to move their vehicles twice a day for periods of 1.5hours at a time, especially given that proposed restrictions would be on a timetable tied to school hours, and especially given the often-fierce competition for street parking in the area. This proposal has no obvious merit, disadvantages local residents, and should be withdrawn.	The proposal is consistent with most schools in the shire where a short pick up and drop off areas are provided at the school frontage. Residents can still park their car at the proposed location out of school time zone time.		1
				11	11