

REGIONAL SPORTSGROUND STRATEGY
REVIEW

FINAL REPORT

DECEMBER 2017

OTIUM PLANNING GROUP PTY LTD



Head Office:

Level 6
60 Albert Road
South Melbourne VIC 3205
Phone: (03) 9698 7300
Email: vic@otiumplanning.com.au
Web: www.otiumplanning.com.au
ABN: 30 605 962 169
ACN: 605 962 169

Sydney Office

Address: Suite 1/273 Alfred Street North
North Sydney NSW 2060
Contact: Simon Haire
Phone: 0428 600 657
Email: simon@otiumplanning.com.au

Otium Planning Group has offices in:

- Auckland
- Brisbane
- Cairns
- Christchurch
- Darwin
- Melbourne
- Perth
- Sydney

OPG, IVG and PTA Partnership has offices in Hong Kong, Shenzhen, Shanghai and Beijing



Document History

Document Version	Date	Checked	Distribution	Recipient
Draft V1	19-10-17	D Mason	S Haire	C Chan
Final V1	18-12-17	D Mason	S Haire	C Chan

© 2017 Otium Planning Group Pty. Ltd. This document may only be used for the purposes for which it was commissioned and in accordance with the terms of engagement for the commission.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive Summary	i
1. Background and Context	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Context	3
2. Consultation	18
2.1 NSROC Sports Professional Officers Group	18
2.2 Stakeholder Workshop	18
2.3 State Sporting Organisation Questionnaire	20
3. Situation Analysis	22
3.1 Sportsground Inventory	22
3.2 Overview of Selected Sports	24
3.3 Analysis of Sportsground Use	26
4. Future Supply and Demand Analysis	30
4.1 Current and Future Demand	30
4.2 Future Supply Options	33
4.3 Future Demand and Supply Summary	36
5. Implementation	40
5.1 Defining Roles	40
5.2 Strategic Principles and General Recommendations	44
5.3 Action Plan	45
Warranties and Disclaimers	52



DIRECTORY OF TABLES

Table 1: Methodology	2
Table 2: Population Growth by LGA	9
Table 3: Benchmark Supply Comparison	23
Table 4: Breakdown of Supply by LGA	23
Table 5: Reported Sport Participation	24
Table 6: Summary of Winter Utilisation to Practical Capacity Benchmark (Hectare Hours)	28
Table 7: Projected Requirements based on Participation Demand Analysis Model (Ha)	31
Table 8: Projected Requirements based on Allocation Demand Analysis Model (Ha)	32
Table 9: Playing Space Gap Summary (Ha)	32
Table 10: Gap Summary (Hectare Hours)	32
Table 11: Summary of Potential Capacity Increases by LGA	34
Table 12: Summary of Potential School Fields by LGA	35
Table 13: Summary of Total Potential Capacity Increase by LGA	36
Table 14: Potential Future Provision by LGA (2026)	37
Table 15: Potential Future Provision by Areas (2026)	37
Table 16: Facility Development Stage Descriptions	42
Table 17: Project Role Descriptions	43

APPENDICES

Appendix 1 - Project Inception Meeting Notes	53
Appendix 2 - Data and Key Directions Review Presentation	54
Appendix 3 - Stakeholder Workshop Meeting Notes	55
Appendix 4 - State Sporting Organisations Questionnaire Returns	56
Appendix 5 - Facility Inventory	57
Appendix 6 - Potential Increases to Sportsground Capacity	58
Appendix 7 - Potential Department of Education Sportsground Sites	59



Executive Summary

Introduction

Otium Planning Group (OPG) was engaged by Northern Sydney Region Organisation of Councils (NSROC) to review their Regional Sportsground Management Strategy prepared in 2011. This report serves as an update to the previous strategy with a focus on analysing future demand for the provision of sportsgrounds in the Region. NSROC is comprised of seven Local Government Areas (LGAs) in the northern part of Sydney which have voluntarily come together to address regional issues, work co-operatively for the benefit of the region, and advocate on agreed regional positions and priorities. The member councils* are Hornsby, Hunters Hill, Ku-ring-gai, Lane Cove, North Sydney, Ryde and Willoughby.

The aim of the project is to review and update the Regional Sportsground Management Strategy to improve coordination of community sportsground management across the NSROC region to maximise participation opportunities and deliver community health and amenity benefits. The project has a focus on outdoor sportsgrounds and key sports competing for these spaces such as football (soccer), rugby union, rugby league, netball, cricket, touch/oztag, hockey, softball, baseball and AFL.

Synopsis

This study has, for the first time, quantified and reaffirmed the gap between demand and supply of sportsgrounds in the NSROC area. The future gap between demand and supply has been estimated in view of future population growth and potential increases in sportsground capacity. It is acknowledged that forecasting demand over a long period has its limitations and changes in trends/demands will take place over this time which will alter current forecasts.

Nevertheless, the situation is such that even if all identified initiatives were employed in the short term, a gap is still likely to be evident in 2026 which will only escalate further by 2036. Therefore, the initial aim should be to implement as many of the initiatives as possible within the next 5 years and monitor subsequent outcomes and changes in demand and develop/refine the analysis and strategy accordingly.

However, of more medium to long term importance, is the need for NSROC to work on behalf of and alongside its member councils with state government agencies to develop more far-reaching solutions which often are beyond the remit and/or capacity of individual councils. This aspect has a strong emphasis on state government agencies including the Greater Sydney Commission (GSC), Office of Sport, and Department of Planning to take responsibility, lead and actively facilitate the acquisition and/or embellishment of land or facilities to cope with the increased demand brought about by population increases stimulated by planning policies. These facilities should be provided for as essential infrastructure and state government agencies need to share responsibility for planning and funding them in a similar manner to other essential infrastructure. Although longer term in nature, these initiatives need to commence immediately to ensure opportunities are not lost.

“This aspect has a strong emphasis on state government agencies...to take responsibility, lead and actively facilitate the acquisition and/ or embellishment of land or facilities to cope with the increased demand brought about by population increases....These facilities should be provided for as essential infrastructure and state government agencies need to share responsibility for planning and funding them in a similar manner to other essential infrastructure.”

This could include (amongst other things):

- Facilitating the security and acquisition of land
- Leading and facilitating development of sport areas in high density zones
- Funding or facilitating funding for high capital cost infrastructure that significantly increases the supply/capacity of sportsgrounds to meet future demand

* Mosman Council joined NSROC in late 2017 but were unable to be included for the purpose of this study



Existing Situation

NSROC's inventory of sportsgrounds is distributed across 229 areas/sites supplying a total playing area of 239.3Ha (actual field space) within a total land area of approximately 406.81Ha. The difference between these two areas (167.51Ha) represents ancillary areas (e.g. car parks, amenities, landscaping, pathways and informal open space). This area is approximately 70% of the sportsground playing surface area which is consistent with ratios established by OPG in other sportsground planning projects in NSW, ACT and Queensland.

In a 'winter configuration', these facilities provide approximately:

- 154 x full size rectangular fields
- 57 x mod or junior rectangular fields
- 60 x full sized ovals
- 5 x junior ovals
- 17 x baseball fields
- 9 x softball fields
- 120 x netball courts

These sites are made up of a combination of Council owned facilities, education sites and other community/government providers.

Demand and Supply Considerations

Future Population Impacts

- NSROC's current estimated population (592,550) is similar to the size of the Hunter Region in NSW, the Gold Coast Region in Queensland and the entire state of Tasmania
- From 2011 to 2036, the population of the NSROC region will grow by 200,000 (or 36.4%) to 752,600
- OPG studies in the area have found that existing sportsgrounds (already over capacity) will not be able to cater additional population growth and participation numbers
 - Forecasts have shown that Councils will need to increase the capacity of sportsgrounds by over 40% (through a range of initiatives and new facilities) to cope with existing and future demands
- Increased housing density will intensify the need for access to open space and sportsgrounds
- Demographic changes will continue to diversify the population and their sporting needs creating greater 'competition' between sporting codes for access to space
- The availability and access to quality land (large and level areas) for sportsgrounds becomes rarer as development takes place
 - This reduces the effectiveness and efficiency of resulting facilities and increases the relative cost to develop and manage the land

Possible impacts without effective change

- A lack of space/access will lead to increasing numbers of participants being turned away from sport
 - Increasing physical inactivity, sedentary leisure behaviour and related health and disease impacts
 - Reducing benefits brought about by participation in sport and physical activity
- Increased costs to participate and accessibility of facilities (distribution/time¹) will create inequity in participants and associated benefits
- Access to sport may become based on the capacity to pay and which may create a greater barrier to participation for lower income households

¹ Ausplay: For adults up to middle-age, time pressure is by far the main barrier to participating in sport or physical activity. For children, time pressure is the largest factor after age related limitations.



Possible options/solutions

- Increased supply and capacity of sportsgrounds
- Working with sport to develop and implement game formats and programs to create more 'yield' (intensity of use)
- Investigate conversion and/or co-use of other spaces (e.g. golf courses, bowling greens, schools) for sporting activities
- Installing or upgrading lighting
- Reconfiguring playing fields to improve functionality
- Upgrading drainage and/ or surface quality
- Installing additional multi-purpose synthetic surfaces or special purpose surfaces
- Ensuring provision of active open space land in new residential developments
- Acquiring or securing other land for sportsgrounds
- Partnering with schools and/ or other institutions to use existing or develop new facilities
- Consideration of new technology
- Ensuring the sportsground allocation process effectively balances maximising use with equity of access
- Continuing to improve field maintenance and management practice

Future Demand and Supply Analysis

Whilst they have their limitations, the models developed for this study do provide a means of quantifying the likely demand and supply factors. This in turn helps to confirm the need to implement viable initiatives/projects and to seek out further opportunities to redress the balance.

Essentially, based on the models developed, there is a need to increase the current supply capacity by around:

- **26% to 2026** (equivalent to 62Ha of playing space² or 105Ha including ancillary spaces)
- **40% to 2036** (equivalent to 96Ha of playing space³ or 163Ha including ancillary spaces)

An initial review of options to increase the capacity of council grounds and increase the use of school grounds identifies an opportunity to increase capacity by 22% (yield the equivalent of 52Ha of playing space). This is considered a very optimistic outcome and, despite this, it is still short of the two targets (equivalent of being 10Ha short in 2026 and 44Ha short in 2036). A more conservative approach would provide **an estimate of increased capacity at 18% -20% would see a playing space net shortfall of 15-20Ha to 2026 and a 50-60Ha net shortfall by 2036.**

Another issue is that this assumes that demand and use can be distributed evenly across all areas, but given that the majority of opportunities are present in the Hornsby LGA, which is on the outer edge of the NSROC area, this is highly unlikely. That is, **the location of potential increases does not match the location of highest population growth.**

Beyond measures identified in this study, effective and viable opportunities to increase capacity will be scarce. Therefore, the ability to address the residual shortfall in 2026 and then the additional shortfall created by further population growth to 2036 is limited. This requires serious consideration and action through long term planning to create opportunities to meet future demand.

This report provides a basis for quantifying that demand and the relative provision across each LGA. Subsequently, this enables NSROC Councils to individually and collectively define the scope of need and to identify and evaluate longer term options in association with other key planning stakeholders including the GSC and Office of Sport.

From a planning perspective, one of the main issues with sportsgrounds and open space in general, is that we only get 'one chance'. That is, once space is developed for hard infrastructure, it's consumed for good. That is why it is critical to get the provision of sportsgrounds and open space 'right' through planning to maintain

² Equivalent to approximately 78 standard rectangular fields

³ Equivalent to approximately 120 standard rectangular fields



the ability to meet contemporary needs. To assist in this, NSROC should be advocating for the following key outcomes from state government agencies, plans and initiatives:

- Leadership and coordination in the acquisition and/or embellishment of facilities to cope with the increased demand brought about by population increases stimulated by planning policies
- Clear and quantifiable statements of the need to provide for new and/or upgraded sport and recreation facilities to meet demand brought about by development
- Clear and pragmatic guidelines for how sport is incorporated into active healthy living and ‘liveability’ measures
 - Sport, physical activity and open space should be provided for as essential infrastructure (like roads etc.) and not as an ‘after thought’
 - Planning needs to go beyond words and ensure delivery of practical/suitable spaces that are not compromised by other outcomes
- Meaningful leadership and support to provide facilities through planning, funding and policies including:
 - Facilitating the security and acquisition of land
 - Facilitating funding and development opportunities
 - Improved coordination of sport planning and facility provision
 - Support for local government to affect change without creating inequity

Some indicative examples for leading and facilitating initiatives are provided below:

- Securing current sportsfields at risk of loss - e.g:
 - TG Millner Field - acquisition of existing sportsfield land proposed to be sold to relocate Eastwood Rugby Club. This land is considered to be part of the current supply and its potential loss would have detrimental impacts on supply capacity
- Facilitating funding of high cost infrastructure in lieu of land - e.g:
 - Christie Park - construction of synthetic sportsfield on a suspended slab over car parking and additional 5-a-side fields (effectively providing the equivalent of 3-4ha of land). This is adjacent to Macquarie Park where additional land is needed (but not readily available) to meet sport needs
- Facilitating planning and development of sports areas in high density zones - e.g:
 - Chatswood CBD - facilitate development of roof top sportsfields on large commercial buildings/carparks. The central location would service resident and worker needs supported by existing infrastructure (i.e. transport, car parking etc.)
- Funding ‘regionally significant’ project/programs
 - Westleigh Park - facilitate funding for the development and potentially increased scope of a new facility in the Pennant Hills area. This one of the few opportunities in the NSROC area for a new multi-field complex which will significantly boost supply

Strategic Directions

As part of this strategy review, the principles recommended by the previous 2011 strategy have been revised and updated to reflect the current study findings and guide the ongoing approach to sportsground management. These are presented below.

Councils’ role in sport

- Councils’ primary roles in sport are strategic planning, provision and management of sports infrastructure (see section 5.1)
- Councils’ secondary role in sport is supporting clubs and opportunities for participants
- Councils should provide equitable support to all sports clubs in the region
- Councils’ emphasis will be on community sport, recognising the importance of providing pathways to all levels of competition



Managing fluctuations in demand

- Ensure facilities are shared in both seasons
- Seek to maintain the current sportsground capacity to population ratio
- Prioritise community sport over other activities on purpose built sportsgrounds, as many other activities in demand are able to use other open spaces
- Maintain a diversity of sports in the region and provide for diversifying populations and lifestyles: age, ability, cultural backgrounds and demand for new sport formats and schedules
- In conjunction with sports codes, promote available sports opportunities and monitor use of facilities
- Assist sports codes with strategic planning at a regional level
- Support smart transport initiatives and encourage low sport miles

Planning & managing infrastructure and the carrying capacity of grounds

- Develop and implement a consistent approach to, and ongoing monitoring of, sportsground performance
- Seek to increase carrying capacity of existing grounds and seek opportunities for new grounds (on greenfield and brownfield sites)
- Develop partnerships with schools and clubs to manage demand and supply
- Infrastructure planning should be based around flexibility/adaptability/multi-use wherever possible to meet changing demands
- Engage with and lobby key government agencies to plan and implement long term solutions to address the supply gap
- With the State Government and SSO's, develop a hierarchy of facilities by sport within the region
- Complement the supply of sportsgrounds with indoor facilities

Pricing and occupancy of facilities

- Maintain consistency between Councils for sportsgrounds pricing
- Subsidise not-for-profit or volunteer based sports clubs more than commercial sports enterprises
- Use price incentives to encourage clubs to train off-field, use low grade fields, and divert demand away from high grade facilities
- Encourage capital user contributions, whilst maintaining public ownership and shared use
- Standardise: allocation systems, occupancy agreements and conditions of use; ground closures, season dates and rest between seasons; approach to schools, insurance requirements; and collection of usage data

Funding capital works, planning and management

- Resource regional funding coordination: packaging funds for sportsground improvements and regional facilities, and share the cost of developing a regional inventory, utilisation and monitoring system
- Seek contributions from: federal and state government and sports codes (for regional priorities); users for one-off local projects; as well as corporate and private sports providers through joint ventures



Key Actions

The following are key actions recommended by this review.

ACTION	Lead role	Partners	Priority
PLANNING AND MANAGING INFRASTRUCTURE AND CARRYING CAPACITY OF GROUNDS			
1. Adapt and maintain the regional facility inventory developed for this project	Sports POG	NSROC	1
2. Adapt (refine facility capacities based on a consistent approach) and maintain (progressively update) details of sportsground capacities	Sports POG	NSROC	1
3. Adapt and monitor seasonal utilisation based on methods established for this project <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Each council to report seasonal allocations in consistent format 	Sports POG + Each Council	NSROC	1
4. Monitor sport participation rates and trends against utilisation/allocations	Sports POG	SSO's	1
5. Obtain security of tenure (directly or indirectly) of any sportsgrounds that form part of the overall supply that are not owned by Council to ensure there is no loss of grounds or capacity	Each Council	Sports	1
6. Undertake identified initiatives to increase the capacity of sportsgrounds <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Initially as detailed in Appendix 6 and refined as additional opportunities are identified and assessed Consider installation of synthetic fields at new development sites for efficiency and effectiveness Implement and update the Synthetic Sportsfield Strategy 	Each Council	Office of Sport, Sports POG	1
7. Investigate and develop opportunities for joint use of school sportsgrounds <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Initially as detailed in Appendix 7 and refined as additional opportunities are identified and assessed Consider installation of synthetic surfaces if viable (especially at High School sites) Identify opportunities and initiate discussions with non-government schools as appropriate 	Each Council	Education, Sports POG	1
8. Progressively review the impact of initiatives on supply/capacity of grounds against contemporary and forecast demand/utilisation to refine the quantum for additional increases in capacity	Sports POG		1
9. Actively engage with state government agencies to pragmatically plan and implement long term solutions to address the supply gap to 2026 and beyond including advocating for the key outcomes from state government plans and initiatives:	NSROC + Sports POG	Office of Sport, GSC, SSOs + Sport NSW	1



ACTION	Lead role	Partners	Priority
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clear and quantifiable statements of the need to provide for new and/or upgraded sport and recreation facilities to meet demand brought about by development • Clear and pragmatic guidelines for how sport is incorporated into active healthy living and ‘liveability’ measures <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Sport, physical activity and open space should be provided for as essential infrastructure (like roads etc.) and not as an ‘after thought’ ○ Planning needs to go beyond words and ensure delivery of practical/suitable spaces that are not compromised by other outcomes • Meaningful leadership and support to provide facilities through planning, funding and policies including - <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Facilitating the securing/acquiring of <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Land zoned recreation (public and private) ▪ Areas already developed as sportsground/ recreation areas ▪ Other government land that could be used for sports facilities ○ Facilitate funding and development opportunities <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Funding of capacity increasing projects in-lieu of land ▪ Funding ‘regionally significant’ projects/ programs ▪ Planning and development of sports areas in high density commercial zones • Support for local government to affect change without creating inequity • Improved coordination of sport planning and facility provision 			
<p>10. Identify specific requirements for the upgrade of ancillary facilities to complement capacity upgrades and address contemporary issues (e.g. safety, shade, customer expectations/standards, increased female participation, cultural profiles)</p>	Each Council	Sports	2

ACTION	Lead role	Partners	Priority
MANAGING FLUCTUATIONS IN DEMAND			
<p>11. Liaise with sports to identify and implement initiatives to manage demand, this may include</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Customer research to develop alternative service offerings for specific customer profiles (e.g. more alternative formats, targeted training and competition schedules, geographic spread of competition structures) • Alternative program delivery (e.g. locations and times) 	NSROC + Sports POG	SSOs + Sport NSW	2



ACTION	Lead role	Partners	Priority
12. Assist sports codes with strategic planning at a regional level	Sports POG + Each Council	SSO's	2
13. NSROC Councils should at the end of every season monitor the range of sports, and the number of clubs and players across the region and consider adjustments in future allocations to reflect contemporary needs	Sports POG + Each Council	Sports	2
14. NSROC Councils should facilitate regular communication with schools (including private schools) regarding participation in sport and the development of sporting infrastructure	Each Council	DET and private schools	3
15. Prepare an indoor sports strategy (to, amongst other things, help manage demand for outdoor sportsgrounds)	NSROC + Sports POG		2



1. Background and Context


1.1 Introduction

Otium Planning Group (OPG) were engaged by Northern Sydney Region Organisation of Councils (NSROC) to review their Regional Sportsground Management Strategy prepared in 2011. This report serves as an update to the previous strategy with a focus on analysing future demand for the provision of sportsgrounds in the Region.

1.1.1 Background

NSROC is comprised of seven Local Government Areas (LGAs) in the northern part of Sydney which have voluntarily come together to address regional issues, work co-operatively for the benefit of the region, and advocate on agreed regional positions and priorities. The member councils are Hornsby, Hunters Hill, Ku-ring-gai, Lane Cove, North Sydney, Ryde and Willoughby.

Figure 1 - NSROC Region by LGA





The objectives of NSROC as defined in the NSROC Constitution are as follows:

- To strengthen the role of Local Government in regional affairs, particularly where the region may be affected by Commonwealth or New South Wales Government policies.
- To ensure that as a region we are providing leadership and participating in the development of; sound urban infrastructure; economic infrastructure and employment opportunities.
- To facilitate the integration of transport, human and environmental infrastructure projects that support opportunities that meet community and business needs.
- To improve the quality and access to human services infrastructure.
- To improve the quality and efficiency of Local Government service delivery throughout the Northern Sydney Region.
- To ensure the organisation develops as a highly credible and cost-effective organisation

1.1.2 Project Aim and Scope

The aim of the project is to review and update the Regional Sportsground Management Strategy to improve coordination of community sportsground management across the NSROC region to maximise participation opportunities and deliver community health and amenity benefits.

The project will focus on outdoor sportsgrounds and key sports competing for these spaces such as football (soccer), rugby union, rugby league, netball, cricket, touch/oztag, hockey, softball, baseball and AFL.

1.1.3 Methodology

The methodology used to undertake this study is outlined below.

Table 1: Methodology

STAGE	TASK
Background and Key Stakeholder Consultation	Inception meeting
	Background review
	Population analysis
	Meeting with Key External Stakeholders
	Workshop with NSROC Sports POG
	GSC draft north district plan submission
Research and Analysis	Meetings with 'Internal' Stakeholders
	Review of Sportsground Inventories
	Review of sports participation data
	Preliminary sports facility analysis
	Consultation with Sporting Organisations
	Assess sports participation data
	Supply analysis
	Review planned provision
	Facility mapping
	Sport demand modelling
	Demand assessment
Gap analysis	
Strategy Development	Identification of Facility Opportunities
	Review of Policy and Management Issues
	Presentation and Workshop with NSROC Sports POG
Reporting	Draft report
	Presentation of Draft Report
Finalisation	Final report



1.2 Context

The following subsections provide contextual information for this study including a rationale, relevant planning, population forecasts and pertinent sport related information.

1.2.1 The Case for Sportsgrounds

It is universally accepted that population growth in our major cities necessitates comprehensive (and expensive) infrastructure solutions to inevitable traffic and transport challenges. While there is mounting evidence of the health benefits to the community of creating environments for active living, the critical importance of ensuring adequate open space for sport and recreation in burgeoning urban environments is, unfortunately, less well recognised.

Several studies and authors have identified the need for greater focus on open space provision in rapidly growing urban environments in Australia. In a 2012 paper, Associate Professor Jason Byrne noted “...recent patterns of residential development in Australian cities are threatening to overwhelm green space in our urban cores” and that “...even a cursory examination of green space distribution within most cities shows that urban green space is neither uniformly accessible nor equitably distributed.”⁴

A 2015 Sport NSW report⁵ noted that “...an increase in population density in Sydney and the subsequent increase in residential and commercial development has meant the amount of public open green space has decreased.” The report commented that the inability to develop new facilities in some local government areas is severely impacting on the ability to increase sport participation. The report also noted that “...many LGAs in Sydney state that sporting facilities are being maximally utilised creating situations which see sporting clubs turned away or limits placed on the number of teams a club can cater for” and that “...the longer the distances that people need to travel to access sports facilities and competition, or the longer waiting period to access facilities of adequate quality, the less inclined people will be to take part in sport.”

Infrastructure for sport and recreation are part of the fabric of our cities and towns that allows our communities to engage in activities that improve their physical, social and mental health. This infrastructure comprises playing fields, parks, public spaces, swimming pools, sports centres, walk/ cycle paths, natural areas and other community, sport and recreation facilities. It creates the spaces and places for our communities to be active, to interact and strengthen social bonds, and supports a diverse and growing realm of employment and business development. While the economic benefits of participation in sport and active recreation accrue across many levels of government, responsibility for community sport and recreation infrastructure lies predominantly with Local Government.

The importance of sound planning for sport and recreation infrastructure is underscored by national and/ or international evidence demonstrates that:

- 6 out of 10 adult Australians are overweight or obese
- Physical inactivity is the 4th leading risk factor for global mortality
- Physical inactivity is associated with chronic diseases (coronary heart disease, stroke, Type 2 diabetes, colon cancer, breast cancer), and
- Sedentary behaviour (in particular long periods of sitting) is an increasing health risk

Access to parks and sportsgrounds can significantly influence residents’ levels of physical activity and improve health outcomes.

Sport is an essential component of what might be termed Urban Health Infrastructure⁶, with wide ranging benefits including⁷:

⁴ Jason Byrne, Associate Professor, Griffith School of Environment. Griffith University. “What is Green Space Worth”, 2012.

⁵ Sport NSW. “Investing in community sport for economic growth and to support healthy, active and connected communities in New South Wales.” 2015

⁶ Martin Lambert, Director, Otium Planning Group, 2014.

⁷ Dr Ralph Richards, Senior Research Consultant, Clearinghouse for Sport, Australian Sports Commission. Feb 2017.

https://www.clearinghouseforsport.gov.au/knowledge_base/organised_sport/value_of_sport/sport_for_community_development



- *Wellbeing* - participation in sport is linked to improved health status, both physical and mental, as well as long-term preventive health benefits. It improves self-concept, quality of life, and increases opportunities for social interaction
- *Social Capital* - builds social networks, particularly at the neighbourhood level, and strengthens community cohesion and resilience
- *Building Communities* - sports programs are a key contributor to community development
- *Social Impacts* - has the potential to address gender, cultural, and disability barriers to a greater extent than many other sectors. It provides an opportunity for persons to 'connect' with others within their community
- *Youth* - sports participation in young people helps to foster basic values such as fair play, sportsmanship, competitiveness, and achievement

The provision of sportsgrounds and sport and recreation facilities should not be regarded as a “nice to have” or a “cost” after other infrastructure has been accommodated. They are a “must have” for healthy and economically sustainable cities.

Sports Facility Planning and Use⁸

Access to quality sport and recreation facilities, that are supported by community infrastructure, can be a major factor in a sport's capacity to meet current user demand and plan for future growth.

Australian Governments at all levels often work together through a number of their departments and/or policies to jointly contribute to the planning and development of sporting facilities that benefit the community. This is undertaken because:

- Provision of appropriate, sufficient and accessible public sports facilities has a positive influence on personal and community participation in sport and other physical activities.
- Government policies, forward planning, and current best practice concerning the provision and ongoing operations of sports facilities can contribute significantly to positive social and community outcomes.
- A range of statistical information from multiple government and community sources is often necessary to effectively plan for current and future sporting infrastructure needs.

The Australian Sports Commission's *Play.Sport.Australia* outlines key aims that include:

1. More Australians, particularly young Australians, participating in sport more often;
2. Year-on-year participation growth for all sports; and
3. Strong sporting organisations that deliver the products and opportunities Australians want.

These aims rely directly on the provision of facilities to cater for desired growth and related benefits and outcomes. Evidence suggests that the provision of appropriate and sufficient sports facilities has considerable influence on participation in sport. The availability of suitable sports facilities, access to those facilities, and the conditions under which a facility operates can either encourage participation or act as a barrier to participation. A number of geographical, environmental, and population demographic factors are important in determining the impact a sporting facility has on the community.


1.2.2 Plan for Growing Sydney - North District Plan

Planning for Sydney's growth is part of the city-wide planning policy responsibilities of the NSW Government (through the Department of Planning, the Greater Sydney Commission and various other government agencies). The NSW Department of Planning & Environment released 'A Plan for Growing Sydney' in December 2014. The strategy divides metropolitan Sydney into six Districts for the purposes of planning for land use and population growth. The 'north' District comprises 9 Council areas including the 7 NSROC Councils along with Northern Beaches and Mosman (see Figure 2 below).

⁸ Adapted from the Clearinghouse for Sport, Australian Sports Commission



Figure 2 - North District



This area is expected to grow by almost 200,000 by 2036 to a total of 1,083,000. Major age structure increases are expected in the babies to pre-schoolers group (11%), 5 to 19 year olds (20%) and 85 years plus (85%).

Since the Plan for Growing Sydney was developed, the Greater Sydney Commission has since prepared six district plans to facilitate its implementation. Within the North District Plan it is noted that proposed actions could influence how different levels of government plan for the District, and how public and private investment decisions are made - directly influencing growth and change. In particular, for local government, the draft District Plan states that it will:



- inform the preparation of local environmental plans
- inform planning proposals
- guide strategic land use, transport and infrastructure planning across local government areas
- inform infrastructure planning

The draft District Plan identifies priorities and actions to realise the vision for the area. These relate to productivity, liveability and sustainability. Within the liveability theme, there are two specific actions related to sport which are directly relevant to this study. These are:

Action	Outcome	Lead Agency	Partners
L14: Develop a North District sport and recreation participation strategy and sport and recreation facility plan	Contribute to informed decision making for sport and recreation infrastructure and increased participation	Office of Sport	Councils
L15: Support planning for shared spaces	Increase in the provision of community facilities, including open space	GSC, DEC	State agencies, organisations + providers


It is anticipated that one outcome of this study will be to help to inform the preparation of the North District Sport and Recreation Facility Plan. These actions also support a more collaborative approach to planning for sport and recreation including developing partnerships to facilitate sharing of facilities and open space.

The Draft North District Plan also identifies four ‘Strategic Centres’ (Macquarie Park, Chatswood, St Leonards and North Sydney) and one ‘District Centre’ (Hornsby) in the NSROC area which are the focus of planning in the area.



1.2.3 NSROC Region Population

An overview of the NSROC population prepared by *profile.id* is provided below.



Key points from this profile include:

- Slight increase of couples with children
- Increase in medium and high density housing
- Increase and high proportion in people born overseas
- Increase in language at home other than English

As a major part of the North District, the NSROC area’s population is expected to grow by 160,050 from 592,550 in 2016 to 752,600 in 2036⁹. With high growth assumptions, the 2036 population could be as high as 795,550.

⁹ ‘Main Series’ 2016 New South Wales State and Local Government Area Population Projections - NSW Planning & Environment



The projected change in 5-year age profile is shown in Figure 3 and Figure 4 below.

Figure 3 - 5 Year Age Profile 2016 - 2026





Figure 4 - Change in 5 Year Age Profile (2016-2036)



These figures show increases across all age cohorts including significant increases in the most active age groups (and those more likely to participate in sport) being the 5-19 age group (increase of approximately 27,000 or 26%).

The projected growth in school-aged children varies across the District, with the largest increases expected in Ryde and Ku-ring-gai. By contrast, there will be comparatively small aggregate growth in school-aged children in Willoughby and a small reduction in Hunters Hill.



The distribution of total population growth across the LGAs that make up NSROC is shown in the table below.


Table 2: Population Growth by LGA

NSROC LGAs	2016	% NSROC Total	2036	% NSROC Total	Total Change	Total % Change	Annual % Change
Hornsby	149,650	25%	178,100	24%	34,750	23.2%	0.9%
Hunters Hill	14,500	2%	15,750	2%	1,850	12.8%	0.5%
Ku-ring-gai	123,500	21%	154,500	21%	39,900	32.3%	1.2%
Lane Cove	37,350	6%	52,300	7%	19,050	51.0%	1.8%
North Sydney	72,150	12%	91,650	12%	24,900	34.5%	1.3%
Ryde	119,950	20%	171,650	23%	62,950	52.5%	1.8%
Willoughby	75,450	13%	88,650	12%	17,500	23.2%	0.9%
NSROC Total	592,550	100%	752,600	100%	160,050	27.0%	0.9%

This shows that, by 2036, Ryde will increase its share of the total NSROC population whilst other LGAs generally maintain their current proportion. The three LGAs with the largest population increases are Ryde, Ku-ring-gai and Hornsby.

These three LGAs will also see the largest increases in people aged in the most active age bracket (5-19 years).

Figure 5 - Population Aged 5-19 years




The Department of Planning and Environment have identified the following locations in the NSROC area as priority growth areas and precincts:

- Epping and Macquarie Park
- St Leonards and Crows Nest
- Cherrybrook



Figure 6 - Proportion of Population (2036) by LGA



1.2.4 Current NSROC and Council Sport Strategies

Of the seven NSROC Councils, three (Ryde, North Sydney and Hornsby) have current strategies relating to sport across their LGA. However, there are a range of other relevant studies including several prepared by OPG. These are:

- OPG Studies
 - City of Ryde Sport and Recreation Strategy (2016, co-author)¹⁰
 - City of Ryde Synthetic Surface Action Plan (2016)⁹
 - NSROC Regional Plan for Synthetic Sportsfields (2015)¹¹
 - Chatswood CBD Precinct - Recreation Needs Study (2015)⁹
 - Hornsby Sportsground Strategy (current)
 - Northern Beaches Sportsfield Analysis (2017 - part of North District)
- Other Studies
 - NSROC Sportsground Strategy (2011)
 - North Sydney Recreation Needs Study (2015)
 - City of Ryde Best Value Review: Allocation and Management of Sportsgrounds (2012)

As noted above, the current NSROC Sportsground Management Strategy was completed in 2011 which provided a framework for the management of sportsgrounds in the NSROC area. After this, the NSROC Plan for Synthetic Sportsfields was prepared in 2015. This plan outlined current and planned synthetic sportsfield developments and identified the following key points:

- Councils within NSROC have identified a shortage of sportsgrounds, most grounds are overused in winter, and playing surfaces are sometimes poor, particularly in wet weather. To meet existing and projected demand more sports fields are required and/or existing fields must be developed with substantially increased usage capacity.
- Given the existing deficiency in sports fields across the region, and the potential increase in demand, it is unlikely that demand and supply will be in balance without the construction of more synthetic sports fields.

Based on a review of previous studies conducted and preliminary research for the NSROC Sportsground Strategy Review, OPG have made several observations in relation to sport in the region as outlined below.

¹⁰ Completed as Strategic Leisure Pty Ltd

¹¹ Completed as SGL Consulting Pty Ltd



Existing status of sportsground provision and management in the region

- Without exception, studies in the NSROC area have found:
 - High levels of over allocation and use of sportsgrounds (up to 67% of grounds allocated above recognised benchmarks)
 - A shortage in the current supply of sports facilities (particularly sportsgrounds - at least 15%)
 - Growth in sport participation numbers (particularly female participation)
 - Changes in the types and formats of sports played
- Ongoing demand and supply issues have required initiatives including:
 - Improving the carrying capacity of existing sportsgrounds
 - Use of school facilities for community sport
 - Installing or upgrading lighting
 - Installing multi-purpose synthetic surfaces
- Current issues identified by sports officers has included:
 - Sportsground capacity - management of allocations, maintenance issues, pressure for access to training space
 - Changing demands - traditional sports v new - organised v non-organised sport - competing demands between formalised sport and informal active recreation
 - Perceived conflict between federal and state focus on participation increases (all ages and genders) and lack of space for new participants
 - Limitations on increasing capacity - neighbourhood impacts (particularly field lighting) -peak sport times and structures (traditional completion and or training days)

1.2.5 State and Federal Government Sport Strategies

Australian Sports Commission - *Play.Sport.Australia*

The Australian Sports Commission notes that its task is to make Australian sport stronger, to get more people playing sport and to help athletes pursue their dreams. The following are key points from its key participation strategy, *Play.Sport.Australia*.

Challenges

- Keeping sports relevant and viable
 - Sports are operating in a rapidly changing environment where Australians are increasingly time-poor, have limited budgets and are inundated by new forms of entertainment. Preferences towards other leisure activities are on the rise eroding sports' traditional customer bases
- Keeping Australians active and healthy
 - Too many Australians, young and old, are leading increasingly sedentary lives² and are missing out on the multitude of benefits that come from participating in sport.

Opportunity

- Research into the sports participation market reveals a significant opportunity for sporting organisations to potentially recruit over 4.5 million Australians

Goals

- At the national level we want to see more Australians – particularly young Australians – participating in sport more often.
- At the individual sport level we want the sports we invest in to achieve year-on-year membership and participation growth.
- To achieve the above we want sports to be effective organisations – well-governed, strategic, embracing of commercial opportunities, adopting new technologies and delivering user-friendly sports opportunities that Australians want.



NSW Office for Sport

The NSW Office of Sport has recently undertaken a significant structural and strategic change to increase its presences and relevance in the sport sector. This has included undertaking initiatives such as those outlined below.

Modernising and Optimising Services

The Office of Sport is working with the sport and active recreation sector to design a new service delivery model for sport and active recreation services across NSW. The Modernising and Optimising Our Services (MOOS) Project is a place-based approach to planning, investment and delivery of sport and active recreation. This includes the following key points:

- Four major reform projects:
 - Sport and Recreation Centres
 - Regional Offices
 - High Performance Centres
 - High Performance Pathways
- A more cohesive and holistic regional service delivery model by:
 - Connecting Office of Sport entities in each region
 - Leading and influencing the sport and active recreation sector in each region
- Rationale
 - Increase participation
 - Create integrated performance pathways
 - Improve the capacity, capability and cohesion of the Office of Sport and the sport sector
- Key outputs
 - A new regional sport delivery operating model
 - 10 specific regional sport delivery plans
 - Continuing discussion and collaboration between the partners

Funding Programs

The Office of Sport have received additional funding in recent state government budgets for facility development and participation initiatives. One of these programs is the 'Active Kids Rebate Program' which will enable parents to claim up to \$100 per school child, per calendar year, as a voucher to reduce the cost of sport registration or membership fees for after-school, weekend and structured fitness or physical activity. This initiative is aimed at increasing and retaining participants in sport and physical activity.

1.2.6 Trends in Sport

Knowing the long-term growth potential for participation in key sports is an essential element in planning for future needs. Data gathered regarding participation rates, and more importantly participation trends, shape our estimates of future demands for facilities. However, the nature of sport participation in Australia (as in many countries) is changing. New sports are emerging and some established sports are declining in popularity. Our definition of sport is becoming broader and the distinction between 'organised' and 'social sport' is becoming less clear.

It is impossible to predict exactly how sports (overall) will change to meet future challenges, and this makes facility planning more difficult. However, a range of sport and recreation trends have been observed through studies undertaken by the OPG team for various local governments throughout Australia and analysis of published data (e.g. ABS, CSIRO, Australian Sports Commission). Below is a summary of participation, planning,



design and management trends that may have implications for sport and recreation planning and provision in NSROC and elsewhere.

Generic Trends

The following general trends influencing the use of sport and recreation facilities and parks are evident:

- Many individuals and families are time poor and the scheduling of activities at times that are convenient to them is increasingly important.
- There is a desire by many participants to simply “turn up and play” with minimal volunteering commitments. Many participants are willing to pay extra for this service which has created some opportunities for commercial provision.
- Participation in organised sport is generally static but there is growing demand for social forms of participation in sport.
- Local governments are recognising the importance of creating environments to enable people to lead active and healthy lives, placing greater focus on integration with urban planning, provision and/ or connectivity of walk/ cycle paths, provision of sport and recreation facilities and programs, and provision and access to parks and open space.
- There is a greater demand for floodlighting of facilities so that people can participate at times that are convenient to them or to reduce exposure to the sun.
- Volunteerism is declining in many sport and recreation organisations. This is placing greater pressure on the remaining volunteer base and may impact on participation levels and/ or costs in future if services that were once provided voluntarily need to be paid for.
- Declining volunteerism is also placing greater pressure on Councils in terms of the way they manage sports facilities on their land.
- There is an awareness of the importance of “risk management” and the need for a “whole-of-life” approach to facility development and asset management.
- Multiple use of sport and recreation facilities, season overlap and across season usage is becoming more prevalent in response to limited supply in some areas.
- New methods to maximise use of a facility are being explored (e.g. community/ cultural events, off season sports, non-traditional sports).
- Demographic shift, especially in larger cities, is changing the patterns of participation in sport and recreation.
- Many sports peak bodies are responding to social and demographic trends by introducing modified forms of participation and scheduling.
- Toilets and change rooms are expected to be adequate in size, accessible to playing areas and PWD compliant.
- Accessibility to facilities, particularly via walking and cycling is increasingly important.

Facility Planning & Design

Trends in facility planning and design include:

- The planning process for new facilities has improved significantly with the conduct of effective feasibility studies being the norm. These studies have generally included management/ marketing/ financial plans with demand projections based on sophisticated survey data.
- There is a strong trend away from single purpose facilities towards multi-purpose facilities, however facilities need to be designed to ensure they can meet the specific needs of key user groups.
- Contemporary planning seeks to create, where possible, ‘community hubs’ for sport and recreation that can meet a broader range of needs, and facilitate higher utilisation and viability.



- More flexible designs are being created and there is a growing expectation that facilities will need to be renovated/ upgraded on a periodic basis. There is a realisation that facilities have a “customer interest life-span”, which is much less than the facilities life-span.
- Sport facility designs are increasingly required to cater for different levels and standards of competition.
- Provision for socialising spaces is a key component of facility design.
- Environmentally sustainable design of facilities are pre-requisites.

Outdoor Playing Fields

In relation to outdoor playing fields, the following trends are evident:

- Designing and maintaining playing surfaces to maximise their sustainability is important as the intensity of playing surfaces increases
- It is necessary for facility design to incorporate options for temporary/ spill over parking to support major events
- Water harvesting initiatives to reduce town water consumption, maintain a high standard of playing fields and improve viability is important
- Provision of shade (natural and artificial) is increasingly important given the emphasis on sun safe practices
- Change rooms, canteen, storage and clubrooms are considered typical ancillary facilities provided within outdoor playing field environments
- Due to the open nature of outdoor playing field facilities, CPTED design is critical to protect users and the facility assets
- Lighting of playing fields, compliant with Australian Standards and/ or sport-specific standards and increasingly the desire for LED lighting to enhance cost savings is increasing in importance
- The way people utilise sportsgrounds is changing and people are now relying on open space for an increasingly diverse array of activities. Coupled with increasing cultural diversity, this means that sportsgrounds need to accommodate diverse activities to meet a variety of needs, including for both formal and informal playing opportunities

Synthetic Playing Surfaces

Population growth, extreme climatic conditions, and a shortage of affordable land for sports fields in some urban centres are contributing to increases in the intensity of use of outdoor playing fields. This often results in overuse of fields and adversely impacts on the standard and usability of playing fields. Synthetic surfaces are increasingly being examined as a solution to these challenges.

Historically used only for hockey in Australia, synthetic surfaces are becoming more popular among many LGAs to support a variety of sport and recreation activities. A number of factors need to be considered in developing a synthetic surface:

- The cost of developing synthetic fields is relatively high for one playing field
- Regular cleaning and preventive maintenance is required to protect the integrity of the synthetic surface.
- Similar to any playing field/ court the standard of playing field is directly related to the quality of the base underneath the carpet (including road base and shock pad).
- The life of the surface is not unlimited and generally requires replacement every 7-10 years within a playing environment.



Facility Management

A number of facility management trends are emerging. These include:

- There has been considerable improvement in the management of leisure facilities, with increased expectations of managers to provide better financial outcomes and generate higher attendance.
- With ongoing economic constraints and limited capital and operational budgets, new sports facilities may need to consider joint venture arrangements between private and public sectors.
- Managers of publicly owned/ managed sporting facilities have increased responsibilities and need higher skill levels, access to training and professional networks, and suitable financial reporting systems.
- While many local governments continue with traditional models of external management of facilities such as pools or indoor sporting centres, many Councils are managing facilities 'in-house' either as part of normal operations, or dedicated business units, or a wholly owned company limited by guarantee. The latter two arrangements permit more flexible staffing and commercial management practices.
- Many facility managers pursue non-sporting uses (e.g. events, displays, functions etc.) to maximise the viability of aquatic, sport and recreation facilities.

Megatrends¹²

The Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation (CSIRO) has collaborated with the Australian Sports Commission to identify six megatrends likely to shape the Australian sports sector over the next 30 years. A megatrend represents an important pattern of social, economic or environmental change. Megatrends occur at the intersection of multiple trends and hold potential implications for policy and investment choices being made by community groups, industry and government. The six megatrends in sport are likely to be:

- A perfect fit - Individualised sport and fitness activities are on the rise. People are fitting sport into their increasingly busy and time-fragmented lifestyles to achieve personal health objectives.
- From extreme to mainstream - This megatrend captures the rise of lifestyle, adventure and alternative sports which are particularly popular with younger generations. These sports typically involve complex, advanced skills and have some element of inherent danger and/or thrill-seeking.
- More than sport - The broader benefits of sport are being increasingly recognised by governments, business and communities. Sport can help achieve mental and physical health, crime prevention, social development and international cooperation objectives.
- Everybody's game - Australia and other countries of the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) face an ageing population. This will change the types of sports we play and how we play them.
- New wealth, new talent - Population and income growth throughout Asia will create tougher competition and new opportunities for Australia both on the sports field and in the sports business environment.
- Tracksuits to business suits - Market forces are likely to exert greater pressure on sport in the future. Loosely organised community sports associations are likely to be replaced by organisations with corporate structures and more formal governance systems in light of market pressures. The cost of participating in sport is also rising and this is a participation barrier for many people.

¹² Sourced from the Clearinghouse for Sport, Australian Sports Commission



1.2.7 Challenges and Implications

A summary of the key challenges related to the provision of sportsgrounds in the NSROC area is presented below.

Future Population Impacts

- NSROC's current estimated population (592,550) is similar to the size of the Hunter Region in NSW, the Gold Coast Region in Queensland and the entire state of Tasmania
- From 2016 to 2036, the population of the NSROC region will grow by 160,000 (or 27%) to 752,600
- OPG studies in the area have found that existing sportsgrounds (already over capacity) will not be able to cater additional population growth and participation numbers
 - Forecasts have shown that Councils will need to increase the capacity of sportsgrounds by over 40% (through a range of initiatives and new facilities) to cope with existing and future demands
- Increased housing density will intensify the need for access to open space and sportsgrounds
- Demographic changes will continue to diversify the population and their sporting needs creating greater 'competition' between sporting codes for access to space
- The availability and access to quality land (large and level land) for sportsgrounds becomes rarer as development takes place
 - This reduces the effectiveness and efficiency of resulting facilities and increases the relative cost to develop and manage the land

Possible impacts without effective change

- A lack of space/access will lead to increasing numbers of participants being turned away from sport
 - Increasing physical in-activity, sedentary leisure behaviour and related health and disease impacts
 - Reducing benefits brought about by participation in sport and physical activity
- Access to sport may become based on the capacity to pay and which may create a greater barrier to participation for lower income households
- Increased costs to participate and accessibility of facilities (distribution/time¹³) will create inequity in participants and associated benefits

Implications of Trends for Facility Planning

- Local government will have an increasingly important role in improving health outcomes by enabling residents to be more physically active
- There is increased demand for "self-directed exercise related recreation". This means that Local Government will need to respond to increasing need for running, walking and cycling networks as well as demand for public spaces to accommodate fitness and exercise activities with demand occurring before during and after work hours
- People will want to be able to access recreation opportunities easily from where they live especially in areas where density is increasing. Local and regional linkages via cycle/ walkways will grow in importance
- Maximum flexibility in design to accommodate varying community needs and forms of use should be a prerequisite. Multi-use sport and recreation precincts may need to consider incorporating opportunities for traditional and non-traditional activities
- Future facilities and activities will need to be safe, easily accessible, with few barriers to entry, and cater for formal and informal forms of participation. Provision of safe facilities and safe access-ways is of particular importance where participation by females is concerned

¹³ Ausplay: For adults up to middle-age, time pressure is by far the main barrier to participating in sport or physical activity. For children, time pressure is the largest factor after age related limitations.



- Lighting of playing fields and parks for safety and/ or to extend their usage and functionality will be increasingly important. Evening competitions are likely to continue to grow
- Lighting of playing fields should use contemporary technology to maximise operating viability and minimise impacts on neighbouring residents
- Facility design should maximise opportunities for generating increased revenue and utilisation and/ or to reduce operating costs
- Sustainable facility design is essential, including:
 - Maximising financial viability of a facility in an environment with reducing funding
 - CPTED design principles to prolong the life of assets and protect users
 - ESD solutions to reduce a facility's carbon footprint and reduce energy costs
 - Linkages with sustainable transport solutions aimed at reducing the sole reliance on motor vehicles to access community facilities
- Synthetic playing surfaces may need to be considered in areas where limited provision opportunities, high use of existing facilities, and/ or an inability to adequately maintain grass fields to suitable standards are evident
- Increasing demands within limited budgets may necessitate the need for regional scale planning of higher level facilities to ensure that facilities are economically and socially viable
- Partnerships with schools, tertiary sector, or associations/ clubs should be considered in the provision of new/ upgraded sporting infrastructure
- Facility design will need to remain flexible so as to enable opportunities for informal/ non-organised activities not just organised sport
- Facilities should support the ability to host a variety of activities, including beyond their primary intended use (e.g. community, cultural and entertainment events)



2. Consultation

This section outlines consultation undertaken with Council officers, state sporting organisations and other key stakeholders.

2.1 NSROC Sports Professional Officers Group

Consultation with relevant NSROC Council officers occurred via the following means:

- Project workshops
 - Project Inception (see meeting notes - Appendix 1)
 - Data and key directions review (see presentation - Appendix 2)
 - Draft report review
- One on one meetings to outline data requirements and identify specific issues to each LGA
- Electronic data collection and feedback

Data and feedback provided by each Council included:

- Facility inventory
- Utilisation/allocation schedules
- Feedback on current strategy principles and actions
- Review of potential capacity increasing initiatives

2.2 Stakeholder Workshop

To ensure this strategy was informed by and connected to other relevant planning initiatives, a workshop was held with the NSROC Sports POG, Greater Sydney Commission, Office of Sport, Northern Beaches Council, Department of Education and Sport NSW. Meeting notes are shown in Appendix 3 with key points reproduced below.

Greater Sydney Commission

- Draft North District Plan - exhibition completed (along with 5 other districts)
 - Liveability is a key theme of the draft district plans and sport is seen as an important part of creating healthy communities
 - Open space in general is seen as a major issue in regard to providing for population growth
- Key discussion points for consideration
 - This type of planning (i.e. this project) early in the process (up front) is important
 - Especially in priority precincts (and other areas of high growth)
 - Connection and access to spaces is paramount
 - Public transport
 - Walkability
 - Emphasise and facilitate collaboration between stakeholders and agencies to share, discuss and strategise
 - Need to consider how indoor sport be considered in planning
 - Outdoor sport focuses on one market segment
 - More effective sharing of all public assets needs to be considered with more innovative ideas
 - Office of Sport are to prepare a district sport and recreation plan



Office of Sport

- Due to officially commence preparation of district sport and (active) recreation plans towards the end of the year
- Sporting needs survey has commenced to help inform the planning process (top 20 priorities)
 - Councils
 - SSO's
- Data from NSROC study will be useful for preparation of the north district sport and recreation plan
- One major trend to be addressed is female participation
 - Key point of discussion with SSO's
- Conducting a benchmarking exercise with Northern Suburbs FA
- Developed a facility hierarchy that will assist with informing an investment model

Department of Education

- Department has prepared a draft policy to encourage and facilitate the establishment of joint use projects
 - It is anticipated that many of these will involve sports facilities
- Specific projects in the NSROC area include
 - Willoughby City Council
 - Chatswood HS - synthetic sportsfield
 - Ku-ring-gai Council
 - Lindfield Learning Village -performing arts centre, outdoor synthetic playing field and indoor sporting centre.
 - St Ives High School - four court indoor sporting and community centre.
 - Ku-Ring-Gai High School - two court indoor sports centre, synthetic sports field and a specialist water based hockey field.
- NSROC area is identified as high priority for re-development of education assets

Northern Beaches Council

- Currently preparing sportsground strategy¹⁴
 - Analysis has shown current shortfall in playing space of approximately 24Ha which could grow to 41.4Ha without action (this excludes additional ancillary space, approximately and additional 70%)
 - Options include increasing the capacity of existing fields, synthetics, school facility use and potentially the conversion of golf course land

Sport NSW

- Raised the issue of 'minor' outdoor sports gaining access to facilities
 - These sports don't have the resources of the major sport to ensure they are represented in discussions
- Encourage innovation and collaboration
 - Trying to link sports with local government (new membership category) to facilitate this
- Need to ensure that immediate needs are addressed where possible
 - Long term planning is pragmatic, but if some improvement is not made in the short term, then there will be issues for participation (some already evident). Therefore, there is a need for some short-term outcomes that fit with long term planning

¹⁴ The strategy has subsequently been finalised and adopted by Council



2.3 State Sporting Organisation Questionnaire

To assist in the preparation of this strategy, State Sporting Organisations (SSO's) were contacted and provided with an opportunity to complete a questionnaire. The questionnaire sought information in relation to:

- Current and recent participation trends in the NSROC area
- Feedback on issues, barriers, needs and opportunities relating to facilities
- Initiatives being implemented by sports to help address future demand and use of grounds

The questionnaire was completed by 11 sports including:

- AFL
- Cricket
- Football
- Athletics
- Softball
- Touch Football
- Baseball
- Rugby League
- Rugby Union
- Netball
- Hockey

The completed questionnaires are shown in Appendix 4. A summary of key feedback points is shown below whilst participation data is presented in section 3.2.

Issues and Barriers

- Population growth and participation growth
- Available facilities are at or over capacity
- Lack of sufficient facilities to cope with demand and growth
 - Quality and quantity of fields
 - Support facilities need upgrading (e.g. amenities, carparks)
- Quality of facilities can impact on safety
- Field availability year round for alternative game formats
- 'Block' booking by some sports when facilities are not always used
- Wet weather leading to cancelled competition rounds
- Lack of volunteers
- Increased growth of female participation

Identified needs and opportunities

- Key needs
 - Greater access to fields
 - More fields
 - Synthetic surfaces
 - Increased capacity for grass fields
 - Increased training facilities
 - Upgrade of support facilities including facilities for increasing female participants
 - Increased and higher quality lighting
- Opportunities



- Increased use of school facilities
- Multi-use facilities
- Conversion of other open space (e.g. golf courses)
- Increase 'yield' from existing facilities

Importance of the NSROC Area

- NSROC area rates highly due to
 - Current high participation rates
 - Future population increase
 - Lack of available land for new fields
 - Includes proportionally large membership bases for many sports
 - 'Mature' market

Sport based initiatives

- Promoting use of synthetic fields
- Strategic planning
- Creating and leveraging funding sources
- Resources to facilitate planning and communication/coordination
- Education of members and stakeholders
- Modified formats to enable more participation in the same space
- Modified competition programs to move games to weekdays
- Encouraging car-pooling/ride-sharing
- Sharing facilities with other sports and users



3. Situation Analysis

This section of the report provides an overview of NSROC sportsgrounds, sporting groups and their current utilisation.

3.1 Sportsground Inventory

NSROC's inventory of sportsgrounds¹⁵ is distributed across 229 areas/sites supplying a total playing area of 239.3Ha (actual field space) within a total land area of approximately 406.81Ha. The difference between these two areas (167.51Ha) represents ancillary areas (e.g. car parks, amenities, landscaping, pathways and informal open space). This area is approximately 70% of the sportsground playing surface area which is consistent with ratios established by Otium Planning Group (OPG) in other sportsground planning projects in NSW, ACT and Queensland.

In a 'winter configuration', these facilities provide approximately:

- 154 x full size rectangle fields
- 57 x mod or junior rectangle fields
- 60 x full sized ovals
- 5 x junior ovals
- 17 x baseball fields
- 9 x softball fields
- 120 x netball courts

These sites are made up of a combination of Council owned facilities, education sites and other community/government providers¹⁶.


The vast majority (188 or 82%) are managed by Council in some form.

Of the Council venues, 149 have some form of lighting for either training and/or competition, covering 159 Ha of playing space.

Thirteen sites have a synthetic sports surface installed including a mix of multipurpose and hockey specific fields.

In summer, many areas are reconfigured to cater for other field sports including softball, touch, oztag, small sided football, AFL 9's and cricket.

Figure 7 - Proportion of Area Covered by Sports Lighting



3.1.1 General Sportsground Observations

The number of grounds tends to influence the range of sports played in each LGA and across the region. The tendency is for each Council to cater to the high participation sports, and those that have been traditionally played at a given site. This may impact on the diversity of opportunities available in terms of different sports, and different types of teams i.e. masters, females, and people with a disability.

¹⁵ An extract of the sportsground inventory is shown in Appendix 5

¹⁶ Sites were included if they were regularly used by community based sport organisations for training and/or competition



The region has relatively limited available flat land due to topography and established urban development. Several playing fields are single grounds with playgrounds, shared paths and recreation facilities on the boundary lines. The average playing area at each sportsground is just over one hectare (1.04Ha) with around 27% having a playing area smaller than a ‘standard field’ (circa 7,000m²).

Sportsgrounds in the region commonly contain bushland or native vegetation, spectacular physical features such as sand stone rock formations, and views of water. These characteristics provide a high level of amenity as well as an opportunity to screen sports lights and noise from adjacent residents. At the same time, these bushland and physical features provide challenges for sportsground design and maintenance activities, and provision of support facilities. In some cases, topography has been used effectively to enhance a sportsground, for example providing a natural amphitheatre for spectators. In other cases, bushland compromises field size, traffic management and expansion opportunities.

The region has high rainfall compared to the rest of Sydney. This impacts on drainage and the ability of grounds to withstand winter use and potentially assists turf growth in other seasons. It is common practice in the region to returf goalmouths at the end of every winter season.

3.1.2 Inventory Breakdown and Benchmarking

It is difficult gain reliable data to benchmark the provision of sportsgrounds due to different data collection methods and levels of accuracy for recording sportsground areas. Often, figures are quoted that incorporate expanses of bushland, recreation parks and other associated spaces that are not directly related to the provision of sport. To gain a more appropriate comparison, the area of available/useable ‘playing space’¹⁷ needs to be compared. This level of data is not typically reported by most Councils, however, OPG recently conducted a similar study for Northern Beaches Council which is available for comparative purposes. Table 3 shows the comparison between NSROC and the Northern Beaches LGA.

Table 3: Benchmark Supply Comparison

Area	Population	Playing Space (Ha)	Population/ Hectare	Hectare/ 1000 Population
NSROC	592,550	239.3	2,476	0.40
Northern Beaches	263,700	116.5	2,264	0.44

This indicates a similar, but overall lower level of provision in the NSROC area compared to the Northern Beaches LGA on hectare to population basis. Table 4 presents a breakdown of population and playing space of the NSROC area by LGA.

Table 4: Breakdown of Supply by LGA

Area	Population	% of NSROC Pop.	Playing Area Count	Playing Space (Ha)	% of NSROC Playing Space	Average Site Area (Ha)	Pop/Ha	Ha/1000 Pop
Hornsby	149,650	25%	44	59.5	25%	1.35	2,516	0.40
Hunters Hill	14,500	2%	10	6.5	3%	0.65	2,238	0.45
Ku-ring-gai	123,500	21%	63	63.6	27%	1.01	1,943	0.51
Lane Cove	37,350	6%	7	9.3	4%	1.33	4,016	0.25
North Sydney	72,150	12%	13	10.1	4%	0.77	7,179	0.14
Ryde	119,950	20%	73	61.9	26%	0.85	1,936	0.52
Willoughby	75,450	13%	19	28.5	12%	1.50	2,651	0.38
Total	592,550	100%	229	239.3	100%	1.04	2,476	0.40

The following observations can be made from the data above:

- Overall provision of playing space -
 - Hornsby, Ku-ring-gai and Ryde LGAs supply the majority playing space, with

¹⁷ This relates to useable sports surfaces (e.g. competition/training areas) and immediate surrounds. The analysis in this report focuses predominately on this figure.



- Combined total of 185Ha or 77% of the total playing space
 - Approximately 60Ha each
- Willoughby is the next largest at 28.5Ha followed by North Sydney, Lane Cove and Hunters Hill
- Provision of space compared to population -
 - Ku-ring-gai and Ryde have the highest provision per head of population, above the region average
 - Hornsby, Hunters Hill and Willoughby are around the NSROC average
 - Lane Cove and north Sydney are under the NSROC average
- Proportion of NSROC playing space compared to proportion of NSROC population -
 - Ku-ring-gai and Ryde LGAs provide a larger proportion of space compared to their proportion of the NSROC population
 - Willoughby, Hornsby and Hunters Hill contribute a similar proportion of space compared to their proportion of population
 - Lane Cove has a slightly lower proportion of space compared to population share, whilst North Sydney has a much lower proportion of space contributed compared to population
 - Combined, the larger three 'outer' LGAs (Ryde, Ku-ring-gai and Hornsby) house 66% of the population but provide 78% of the available playing space

It is important to note that although most LGAs have a proportion of space provided by other entities, Ryde has a comparatively high proportion of the reported space provided by others that could be considered to be at higher risk of 'loss' due to lack of long term tenure. These include Macquarie University, Holy Cross College, Eastwood Rugby and North Ryde RSL. Combined, these areas represent approximately 19% of the area supplied in the Ryde LGA.

3.2 Overview of Selected Sports

As part of the questionnaire sent to SSO's, data on current and recent participation in sport was requested for the NSROC area. The key participation figures for juniors (5-14) and seniors (15+) are shown in Table 5 below, details for each sport are shown in Appendix 4.


Table 5: Reported Sport Participation

Sport	Juniors	Seniors	Total
Athletics	2,241	589	2,830
Australian Rules Football	2,260	1,135	3,395
Baseball	898	1,646	2,544
Cricket	5,670	4,687	10,357
Hockey	845	1,077	1,922
Netball	11,877	5,211	17,088
Rugby League	763	117	880
Rugby Union	4,401	2,260	6,661
Football (soccer)	16,014	10,144	26,158
Softball	543	959	1,502
Touch/Oztag	1,590	2,360	3,950

This demonstrates that the largest sports (junior and senior) are football (soccer), netball and cricket. Figure 8 below presents a breakdown by proportion of total participation in each sport.




Figure 8 - Proportion of Total Selected Sport Participants



3.2.1 Participation Benchmarks

The best comparison for sport participation figures is with data collected by OPG for the Northern Beaches Council study as it was collected using a similar methodology. Figure 9 presents a comparison of ‘junior’ participation rates for NSROC, Northern Beaches area and Ausplay data¹⁸.

Figure 9 - Participation Rate Comparison - Juniors




¹⁸ AusPlay survey results January 2016 - December 2016 - Sport Data Tables (National) - Organised participation by activity (children)



Figure 10 presents a comparison of 'senior' participation rates for NSROC, Northern Beaches area and Ausplay data¹⁹.

Figure 10 - Participation Rate Comparison - Seniors



These show that in general, the NSROC area has higher participation in cricket and netball than Northern Beaches and lower participation in rugby league, football (soccer), softball and touch/oztag.

3.3 Analysis of Sportsground Use

This section examines the current booking and usage patterns of NSROC sportsgrounds. This has been developed by utilising sportsground booking data provided by each Council. Whilst it is acknowledged that booking data may not always accurately represent actual utilisation, it remains the only attainable and consistently applicable data available. Further, it is recognised that not all use is equal. That is, higher impact sports (greater numbers, game play and footwear) and user types (adults v children) may result in higher or lower surface deterioration. The booking data available does not make these distinctions.

It is generally accepted that sportsground utilisation and facility condition have a direct and inseparable relationship. Several turf consultants suggest that any use of more than 25 hours a week will contribute to a deterioration of the playing surface. However, it is noted that it is very common for playing fields in metropolitan Sydney to exceed 25 hours usage per week.

The focus of this analysis is on the winter season as this is when demand reaches its peak and supply is at its most tenuous due to growing conditions for natural turf. Therefore, a fundamental premise is that if enough capacity is available to accommodate winter sport demand then, in general, summer sport should also be accounted for.

OPG have developed a spreadsheet based model which captures and analyses key aspects of sportsground allocations. Key considerations and assumptions for this model are outlined below:

- Council managed facilities - only facilities where NSROC Councils manage and record usage are included (due to availability of data)
- Winter use focus -
 - When demand reaches its peak and supply is at its most tenuous
 - The winter season runs from April to August
- Focus on peak hour usage
 - Weekdays 4pm-9pm

¹⁹ AusPlay survey results January 2016 - December 2016 - Sport Data Tables (National) - Organisation/venue use by activity (adults)



- Weekends 8am - 5pm
- Weekly use benchmarks - analysis is based on a typical or average week of allocations in winter and compared against a range of benchmarks
 - ‘Standard capacity benchmark’
 - Natural turf field with lights 25 hours
 - Synthetic surfaces 54 hours
 - ‘Practical capacity benchmark’
 - Specific to each site based on limitations including location, size, lighting availability, specialised facilities/type of use and other capacity attributes (this is the primary benchmark used for analysis)
 - ‘Maximum capacity benchmark’
 - Maximum peak hour capacity identified by each Council
- Excluded facilities - some facilities are excluded because they are not used by any one of the selected sports (i.e. that may be used for other sports not included in this study)
- School use - school use is recorded but due to inconsistencies in details and impacts they are not included in core analysis
- Other recreational use - other recreational use is not generally recorded by Councils and is difficult to quantify, however, its impact on capacity is noted and acknowledged
- Capacity versus allocations - the capacity of sportsgrounds and their use is measured in ‘hectare hours’ (see below for further details)
- Type of use impact - impacts by type of activity or user can be factored in to the model but has not been broadly used for this analysis

In order to fully analyse the data provided by Councils, it is necessary to not only consider the amount of time sportsgrounds are booked, but their relative size and carrying capacity. That is, not all sportsgrounds are the same size, therefore they have different capacities for use. For example, a ground 2Ha in size used for one hour is effectively the same as using a ground 1Ha in size for two hours.

To address this and to enable more detailed analysis and modelling (in section 5), a simple measure referred to as ‘**hectare hours**’ has been developed. This combines the time of use (in hours) and the size of the space (in hectares). This measure is utilised regarding both supply (capacity) and demand (allocation/use). Using the same example as above, a 2Ha ground used for 1 hour would equate to 2 ‘Hectare Hours’; likewise, a 1Ha ground used for 2 hours would also equal 2 ‘hectare hours’.

Regarding supply/capacity, this means multiplying the adopted hours of use benchmark (carrying capacity) for each site by its available playing surface area. Regarding demand, this means multiplying the number of hours a site is booked by the size of its playing surface. The results of supply and demand calculations can then be compared.


3.3.1 Winter Season - Field Allocation Analysis

Using the assumptions outlined above, the current allocations provided by Councils (winter 2017) were compared to the practical capacity benchmarks assigned to each ‘bookable’ field/area to identify current usage levels. For the purpose of this analysis, this included 216 individual areas.

Figure 11 presents a summary of this comparison by showing the results of each field/area. That is, the proportion that each field/area is allocated either ‘Over Capacity’ (shown as a negative number), ‘At Capacity’ or ‘Under Capacity’ (shown as a positive number) relative to its applicable benchmark.



Figure 11 - Individual Facility Allocations to Practical Capacity Benchmark



This shows that 72.7% of fields/areas are either over, at or within 10% of their identified practical capacity benchmark. Pragmatically speaking, only 26% have some spare capacity and a small number (1.4%) did not accommodate any use this winter. Table 6 shows an overall summary of allocations compared to capacity.

Table 6: Summary of Winter Utilisation to Practical Capacity Benchmark (Hectare Hours)

Item	Sites	Capacity	Allocation	Difference	% Difference
Sub-total of Sites Allocated Over Benchmark	71	2,196	2,725	-529	-24.1%
Sub-total of Sites Allocated At or Within 10% of Benchmark	86	2,132	2,079	53	2.5%
Sub-total of Sites Allocated Under Benchmark ²⁰	56	1,075	765	310	28.8%
Sites with no Allocations	3	13	0	13	100%

For the winter season, NSROC Councils allocate a combined total of approximately 5,568 ‘hectare hours’ of sports field use per week compared to a theoretical capacity of 5,415. This shows that overall the system is operating beyond its theoretical capacity.

Use is spread across most of its supply network, but as demonstrated above is not evenly distributed with many sites being ‘over allocated’ whilst others are ‘under allocated’. Sites that are over allocated are, on average, 24% over their combined capacity. As a proportion of the total supply capacity, this is approximately 9.8%. As noted previously, this is without school use and/or informal/other recreational use. The data collected for school use, although not comparable with sport use, indicatively suggests that level of over allocations would more than double if it was factored into the equation.


3.3.2 Winter Season - User Analysis

Continuing with the ‘hectare hour’ method and by further interrogating the allocation system by sport specific bookings, an estimate of relative usage can be established for each sport. That is, based on a calculation of space and time allocated to each sport. The figure below presents the proportion of total hectare hours used by sport.

²⁰ Sites under allocated by more than 10%



Figure 12 - Proportion of Winter Sportsground Use



This demonstrates that football (soccer) is by far the largest user of sportsgrounds in the area, utilising 63% (or 3,484 hectare hours) of the space allocated to sporting groups. This is followed by Rugby Union (12% or 687 hectare hours) and (7% or 368 hectare hours).

3.3.3 Summer Season

As noted above, the winter season is acknowledged as the peak demand period and the period when the capacity of sportsgrounds is at its lowest (i.e. turf fields). Therefore, a fundamental premise is that if enough capacity is available to accommodate winter sport demand then, in general, summer sport should also be accounted for. However, specific requirements for each summer sport need to be considered in relation to the type, shape and size of facilities available (particularly for cricket).



4. Future Supply and Demand Analysis

This section presents models to estimate future demand and supply in order to define the gap, identify and quantify possible methods to address the shortfall and provide direction for future actions.

4.1 Current and Future Demand

The analysis of likely demand and the amount of land required to meet that demand relies on a number of methodologies and the informed interpretation of results. OPG has developed two models for estimating the current and future demand for sportsgrounds in the NSROC region. One is a generic participation based demand model and the other an allocation/utilisation based demand model. The following sections provide an overview of each model and subsequent results for the NSROC area. Calculations are focused on the playing space required. As noted previously, typically an additional allowance of 70% is needed for ancillary facilities.

4.1.1 Participation Based Demand Model

Demand for facilities can be estimated using available participation data and modelling of field or court capacity required to service that participation. OPG have developed a Demand Analysis Model based on participation data and a set of assumptions for facility/ field capacity, utilisation rates and a mix of lit and unlit fields.

The Demand Analysis Model uses the following information to produce both anticipated participation of a given population and the amount of land required to accommodate that population. In brief, it uses the following inputs:

- Population data within age cohorts
- Participation data
- Area needed for specific playing fields/ courts
- Capacity of playing fields/ courts to accommodate numbers of players
- Likely peak demand hours
- The mix of lit and unlit fields/ courts.

None of these sources are used as a stand-alone basis for the final estimate. Rather they form a set of data points that enable triangulation to a more refined prediction. The following are some key points in relation to the model for this study:

- Participation data used is sourced from survey returns from SSOs. Any issues with individual sports participation rates are not of particular concern when modelling the data for overall field sports participation. The aggregated participation data is more robust for the purposes of projection. To explain, while we can be less certain about exact numbers playing a particular sport, we can be reasonably confident that participation in field sport as a whole will continue. So, though the land requirements to service field sport can be projected with some confidence, the actual configuration of the land (in terms of types of fields) is less certain the further ahead projections are made.
- In a forward planning context, it is therefore important to consider the overall land needed and to obtain suitable areas of a size and shape that allows for a range of configurations over time. The Demand Analysis Model is focused on formal sport participation. It does not include an allowance for informal sporting or active recreation areas. In summary, the modelling tool, while relying on assumptions about utilisation and capacity and externally reported participation, provides an alternative to traditional models based on ratios of land to population.
- The application of the Demand Analysis Model for this study focused on field sports (athletics/ track and field, baseball, cricket, Australian football, rugby league, rugby union, soccer (football), touch football, hockey, softball and netball). Given the number of junior fields currently marked across the Council sites and within full size rectangular and oval fields, the most appropriate method of projection



is to focus on the overall land available and the proportion of that land which is dedicated to the actual playing surface.

- Future population estimates developed in consultation with Council officers have been used to calculate the demand for the 2036 period. The current supply of sport land has been provided by NSROC Councils.
- Ancillary facility needs (e.g. for buffer space, club facilities, amenities, some parking) required to make areas functional has been incorporated into the overall area calculation per facility. This means that while actual playing surface may be 1 Ha, the actual land needed is greater to allow space for parking, ancillary facilities and buffers.
- Based on test analysis of a number of locations across QLD, NSW and ACT, Otium Planning Group has found that generally for field sports the additional ancillary area required is approximately 70% of actual playing space. Therefore, total land needs are calculated as 1.7 x the playing area needed.

The table below presents the results calculated by the Demand Analysis Model for 2026 and 2036 against the current area supplied by NSROC Councils.

Table 7: Projected Requirements based on Participation Demand Analysis Model (Ha)

	Current	2026 Analysis	2036 Analysis
Calculated Demand	266.6	303.4	337.9
Existing Supply	239.3	239.3	239.3
Surplus (Deficit)	(27.3)	(64.1)	(98.6)

This suggests that, based on population projections and maintaining similar sport participation rates, there would be an undersupply of playing space of 64Ha in 2026 and almost 100Ha by 2036. In addition to playing space area, an allowance for ancillary facilities would need to be made meaning the total future shortfall would be in the order of 109Ha in 2026 and 168Ha in 2036.

4.1.2 Allocation Demand Model

The second method employed OPG to assess the current needs for the provision of sportsgrounds in the NSROC Region is a utilisation or allocation based demand model. This model utilises data from section 3.3 to estimate the current excess or shortfall of sportsgrounds. This analysis demonstrated that peak demand is experienced during the winter season, therefore, these figures have been used for the purpose of the model.

Section 3.3.2 revealed that the cumulative over allocation of fields used above their benchmark was 529 'hectare hours'. As a percentage of total supply (5,415 hectare hours), this is 9.8%. If the current playing space (239.3 Ha) is multiplied by this proportion, the additional playing space needed to meet current demand would be approximately 23.4 Ha. This model assumes that:

- there is currently no 'unmet' demand
- utilisation should be brought into line with adopted benchmarks
- no further capacity can be generated from the current supply
- the over allocation would need to be meet by additional land; and
- the yield from new facilities would be similar to that of the current supply.

This would mean that a total supply of 262.7Ha of playing space would be needed to meet current demand. If this is increased by forecast population growth by age (seniors and juniors), then the required playing space would be as shown in the table below.



Table 8: Projected Requirements based on Allocation Demand Analysis Model (Ha)

	Current	2026 Analysis	2036 Analysis
Calculated Demand	262.7	298.9	332.9
Existing Supply	239.3	239.3	239.3
Surplus (Deficit)	(23.4)	(59.6)	(93.6)

4.1.3 Gap Summary

The modelling presented above indicates that with no change to the current supply, the current gap in provision will increase by 2026 and 2036. The participation model represents the ‘ideal’ level of supply whilst the allocation del represents the ‘minimum’ level. In order to establish a ‘mid-range’ estimate, the outputs of these two models have been averaged. A summary is shown in Table 9 below which presents the estimated gap of forecast demand to the current supply.

Table 9: Playing Space Gap Summary (Ha)

	2016	2026	2036
Participation Model Estimate	27.3	64.1	98.6
Mid-Range Estimate	25.3	61.9	96.1
Allocation Model Estimate	23.4	59.6	93.6

As noted previously, additional area for ancillary facilities also needs to be allowed for. This is typically in the order of 70% of the playing space area.

Whilst the calculations above are based on a land area, in order to model the impact of potential changes in the capacity of current facilities along with acquisition of new facilities outlined in section 0, these land areas need to be converted to ‘hectare hours’. To do this, land areas are multiplied by 25 being the ‘standard’ weekly hours of use benchmark for a turf field. Table 10 presents the results of this conversion.

Table 10: Gap Summary (Hectare Hours)

	2016	2026	2036
Participation Model Estimate	683	1,602	2,464
Mid-Range Estimate	634	1,546	2,402
Allocation Model Estimate	585	1,491	2,340

This range of shortfall figures provides effective targets to focus on in order to meet current and forecast demand. These can be compared to proposed increases in capacity/supply to estimate a net result (see section 0 below). Essentially, given that there is an existing gap in supply and demand, in order to meet future demand, the supply/capacity of sportsgrounds would need to increase by approximately 26% to 2026 and 40% by 2036.

4.1.4 Potential Changes in Demand

The forecast demand produced by these models will be effected by changes in the nature of future demand including the relative popularity of specific sports, changes in game formats (field sizes, game times) and training schedules. Proactively ‘managing demand’ will assist in reducing the identified gap.



4.2 Future Supply Options

This section seeks to outline possible strategies and actions to address the identified gap between demand and supply.

4.2.1 Opportunity Review

This review identifies and describes options to assist in meeting the current and future gap in supply. These options have been developed in view of recommendations from the original NSROC Sportsground Strategy and through discussions with Council staff.

Whilst the shortfalls in supply are usually expressed as land areas, a number of measures can contribute to addressing the shortfall in supply of sportsgrounds. They could include the following:

Improving the carrying capacity of existing sportsgrounds

This could comprise:

- Installing lighting on presently unlit areas to allow for night training and competition
- Upgrading lighting of existing areas to promote more even use of the ground and allow night competitions
- Reconfiguring existing fields to improve functionality and usage
- Upgrading drainage/ surface quality to improve functionality and carrying capacity

Additional synthetic surfaces

Synthetic surfaces can increase the intensity of use and lessen pressure on grass fields. However, without scheduling changes, this ability can be limited by 'peak demand periods' for training and competition (e.g. Tuesday and Thursday nights and Saturdays).

However, not all sites may be suitable and site selection will take into account many variables, such as (but not limited to):

- The costs of installing
- Ability to use for optimum hours to (i.e. up to 60Hrs / week) to achieve required cost benefit
- Consideration of the need for multi-use and retain summer / winter sports
- Consideration of environmental issues such as drainage (stormwater) and adjoining residents
- Presence of support facilities such as carparking and lighting

Therefore a detailed feasibility study and business case is required prior to developing a synthetic sports field. It will address the location, demand, financial viability, use and capital development cost.

Acquiring/ securing additional land for new developments

- Ensure planning for new residential developments includes provision of land for active open space according to Council's open space provision requirements
- Councils need to establish ratios for active open space requirements and then implement them with new developments.

Acquiring or securing other spaces

- Could include consideration of Crown land or land currently used for other purposes
- Consider options in non-traditional sites e.g. roof top of car parks, multi-use courts on commercial/residential building roof tops
- Increasing existing open spaces through strategic acquisitions to develop new fields or to allow a parks layout to be optimised

Converting existing open space to playing fields



- This is likely to displace other informal and/or formal users
- Modify existing spaces to incorporate sport with existing uses (e.g. golf courses)

Partnerships with Schools or other Institutions

- A number of sports are already utilising facilities on school land to assist in meeting demands (e.g. grass playing fields, netball courts, synthetic surfaces).
- Suitable open space areas in schools could be floodlit and utilised for training to take pressure off grounds for competition
- The Department of Education has recently prepared a draft policy on joint provision of facilities which will facilitate a greater number of Council/ Education partnership opportunities.
 - One initial opportunity identified involve the conversion of John Purchase into a synthetic field

New Technology

- This could include consideration of emerging portable synthetic cricket pitch technology

Resource Management

- Review sportsground allocation processes to:
 - Ensure maximum use is effectively balanced against equity of access principles
 - Establish appropriate summer and winter usage benchmarks for each field
 - Improve monitoring of actual use and associated impacts where possible
- Continue to improve field maintenance and management practices to preserve and increase carrying capacities.
- Accommodate training demands away from playing areas where practical

4.2.1.1 Planned Improvements

Each Council was asked to identify known or possible plans to increase the supply and/or capacity of their sportsgrounds. The potential increase identified were a mix of new sites and increasing capacity through lighting and synthetic sports fields.

From this information, an indicative model was developed to estimate the additional capacity that could be realised from the initiatives identified. This involved calculating an assumed increase in capacity for each project/initiative. A summary table is shown below with the full model shown in Appendix 6.

Table 11: Summary of Potential Capacity Increases by LGA

LGA	Estimated Hectare Hour Yield	Equivalent Playing Space (Ha)	Proportion of Increase
Hornsby	457.10	18.28	49%
Hunters Hill	0.00	0.00	0%
Ku-ring-gai	51.12	2.04	5%
Lane Cove	0.00	0.00	0%
North Sydney	34.32	1.37	4%
Ryde	201.97	8.08	22%
Willoughby	189.71	7.59	20%
Total	934.22	37.37	100%

This model assumes that all existing areas and new/upgraded areas are used to their functional capacity. Therefore, it may be viewed as optimistic.



The model suggests that the equivalent of 37 hectares can be gained through the identified initiatives. Much of this (49%) is sourced from within the Hornsby LGA and a significant proportion of this gain is from several new sites that have been identified for potential development as sportsgrounds including:

- Westleigh Park
- Hornsby Park (former quarry)
- Vacant Site - Cowan
- Schofield Parade - Pennant Hills

Combined, the Ryde and Willoughby LGAs could contribute around 40% of the increase through a combination of lighting and synthetic field developments. Minimal increases are planned in the North Sydney and Ku-ring-gai LGAs.

4.2.1.2 Preliminary Joint Use Review

In partnership with the Department of Education, a preliminary review of 88 school sites in the NSROC region was conducted to identify potential for increased use of school sportsgrounds. The review identified grounds with the potential to accommodate at least either a full size or a ‘three quarter’ sized football field. Sites already being managed by Councils or used regularly by sporting groups were filtered out of the list. This left 29 potential fields (10 full size and 19 three quarter size) that could be considered for shared use. A summary of options by LGA is shown in the table below with a full list of potential sites shown in Appendix 7.

Table 12: Summary of Potential School Fields by LGA

LGA	3/4 Fields	Full Size Fields	Estimated Hectare Hour Yield	Equivalent Playing Space (Ha)	Proportion of Increase
Hornsby	10	5	193.92	7.76	53%
Hunters Hill	0	1	20.54	0.82	6%
Ku-ring-gai	5	3	91.05	3.64	25%
Lane Cove	0	0	0.00	0.00	0%
North Sydney	0	0	0.00	0.00	0%
Ryde	4	1	56.99	2.28	16%
Willoughby	0	0	0.00	0.00	0%
Total	19	10	362.50	14.50	100%

This suggests that the equivalent of 14.5 hectares could be gained through the use of existing school facilities (not currently being used). The main opportunities appear to be in the Hornsby LGA followed by Ku-ring-gai and Ryde. The potential yield from these facilities could be boosted by the use of synthetic surfaces which may also be necessary in some cases to ensure the sustainability for school and after hours use. By the same token, the majority of space is made up of three quarter fields which provide a low level of functionality and capacity. Therefore, many of which, may be seen as unsuitable for sport use and not able to add to capacity.



4.2.2 Potential Additional Supply Summary

The total potential additional supply as result of capacity increases by Councils and the increased use of school grounds is shown in the table below by LGA.

Table 13: Summary of Total Potential Capacity Increase by LGA

LGA	Estimated Hectare Hour Yield	Equivalent Playing Space (Ha)	Proportion of Increase
Hornsby	212.2	26.04	50%
Hunters Hill	20.54	0.82	2%
Ku-ring-gai	93.09	5.68	11%
Lane Cove	0	0	0%
North Sydney	1.37	1.37	3%
Ryde	65.07	10.36	20%
Willoughby	7.59	7.59	15%
Total	399.86	51.86	100%

This equates to an increase in capacity of approximately 22% and shows that 50% of all potential increases are located within the Hornsby LGA and 20% in the Ryde LGA.

However, as noted previously, these estimates are likely to be optimistic and the actual capacity increase delivered is expected to be lower. A more conservative and reliable assumed increase would be in the range of 18-20%.

4.3 Future Demand and Supply Summary

It is acknowledged that the models presented above are based on a range of assumptions and are subject to several variables and, therefore, can be considered as indicative only. However, they do provide a means to quantifying the likely demand and supply factors. This in turn helps to confirm the need to implement viable initiatives/projects and to seek out further opportunities to redress the balance.

Essentially, based on these models, there is a need to increase the current supply capacity by around:

- 26% to 2026 (1,546 hectare hours, equivalent to 62Ha of playing space)
- 40% to 2036 (2,402 hectare hours, equivalent to 96Ha of playing space)

An initial review of options to increase the capacity of council grounds and increase the use of school grounds identifies an opportunity to increase capacity by 22% (yield the equivalent of 52Ha of playing space). This is considered a very optimistic outcome and, despite this, it is still short of the two targets (equivalent of being 10Ha short in 2026 and 44Ha short in 2036). A more conservative approach would provide an estimate of increased capacity at 18% -20% would see a playing space shortfall of 15-20Ha to 2026 and a 50-60Ha shortfall by 2036.

Another issue is that this assumes that demand and use can be distributed evenly across all areas, but given that the majority of opportunities are present in the Hornsby LGA, which is on the outer edge of the NSROC area, this is highly unlikely. That is, the location of potential increases does not match the location of highest population growth.

4.3.1 Potential Distribution - 2026

Looking at the current level and nature of sportsground provision, future population growth and housing changes, it would be reasonable to say that the Ryde LGA is at the centre of the demand 'storm'. The Ryde LGA will experience the highest overall population increase and it is immediately adjacent to LGAs with little potential for capacity increases. This will place even greater pressure on the provision of facilities. In addition,



there are a higher proportion of current spaces which are not managed by a public entity and could potentially be at risk.

Looking at potential future supply of sportsgrounds, it appears that Hornsby LGA provides the strongest opportunities to assist in meeting demand in the NSROC area. However, its location on the edge of the NSROC area limits its accessibility by much of the current and future populations.

Considering the findings of this study to date and assuming all initiatives could be implemented, a summary of potential changes in supply relative to population can be developed. This is presented in the table below.

Table 14: Potential Future Provision by LGA (2026)

	Current (2016)			Change		2026		
	Share of Population	Share of Capacity	Ratio	Population Increase	Capacity Increase	Share of Population	Share of Capacity	Ratio
Hornsby	25%	25%	1.0	10%	44%	24%	30%	1.2
Hunters Hill	2%	3%	1.3	2%	13%	2%	3%	1.3
Ku-ring-gai	21%	27%	1.3	12%	9%	21%	24%	1.2
Lane Cove	6%	6%	0.9	17%	0%	7%	5%	0.7
North Sydney	12%	4%	0.3	13%	14%	12%	3%	0.3
Ryde	20%	23%	1.1	24%	17%	22%	22%	1.0
Willoughby	13%	13%	1.0	7%	27%	12%	13%	1.1
Total	100%	100%		13.5%	22%	100%	100%	

Based on the modelling, this demonstrates that Hornsby and Willoughby LGAs could increase capacity proportionally higher than population growth and thereby increase their current ratio of population to capacity and helping to 'close the gap'. North Sydney and Hunters Hill could maintain their current ratios, although North Sydney's ratio is far lower than other LGAs. Whilst Ku-ring-gai, Lane Cove and Ryde would decrease.

This outcome would increase the existing disparity in population versus sportsground supply between 'inner' LGAs (North Sydney, Willoughby, Lane Cove and Hunters Hill) and 'outer' LGAs (Ryde, Ku-ring-gai and Hornsby). This is demonstrated in Table 15.

Table 15: Potential Future Provision by Areas (2026)

	Current			2026		
	Share of Population	Share of Capacity	Ratio	Share of Population	Share of Capacity	Ratio
'Outer' Councils	66%	74%	1.12	67%	76%	1.13
'Inner' Councils	34%	26%	0.76	33%	24%	0.73

These findings illustrate the issues and opportunities present in the Region with regard to population growth and the distribution of future sportsground capacity. It also suggests the roles that can be played within each LGA to provide a holistic approach to addressing a region wide issue rather than focusing only on the demand within each LGA boundary.

Because opportunities for increased capacity tends to be present in 'outer' areas, these need to be grasped and maximised for the benefit of the LGA and the whole region. However, to maximise these opportunities and to gain cost and operational efficiencies, synthetic surfaces should be included in initial planning rather than as a future option.

Without doubt, given there are few obvious opportunities for access to 'new' facilities, 'inner' LGAs will need to maximise the capacity of all available grounds in any way possible. Unconventional options for the provision of sports facilities will also need to be acted on where possible (e.g. use of roof tops, car parks etc.) along with supporting and facilitating the provision of indoor facilities in an attempt to manage demand. Further, serious



consideration will need to be given to the use of other existing open space where possible (e.g. conversion of golf courses).

4.3.2 Beyond 2026

As noted above, the demand and supply analysis suggest that the shortage in supply cannot be met by 2026 even if all identified opportunities are successfully employed. Beyond this, effective and viable opportunities to increase capacity will be scarce. Therefore, the ability to address the residual shortfall in 2026 and then the additional shortfall created by further population growth to 2036 is limited. This requires serious consideration and action through long term planning to create opportunities to meet future demand.

This report provides a basis for quantifying that demand and the relative provision across each LGA. Subsequently, this enables NSROC Councils to individually and collectively define the scope of need and to identify and evaluate longer term options in association with other key planning stakeholders including the GSC and Office of Sport.

From a planning perspective, one of the main issues with sportsgrounds and open space in general, is that we only get 'one chance'. That is, once space is developed for hard infrastructure, it's consumed for good. That is why, it is critical to get the provision of sportsgrounds and open space 'right' through planning to maintain the ability to meet contemporary needs. To assist in this, NSROC should be advocating for the following key outcomes from state government agencies, plans and initiatives:

- Leadership and coordination in the acquisition and/or embellishment of facilities to cope with the increased demand brought about by population increases stimulated by planning policies
- Clear and quantifiable statements of the need to provide for new and/or upgraded sport and recreation facilities to meet demand brought about by development
- Clear and pragmatic guidelines for how sport is incorporated into active healthy living and 'liveability' measures
 - Sport, physical activity and open space should be provided for as essential infrastructure (like roads etc.) and not as an 'after thought'
 - Planning needs to go beyond words and ensure delivery of practical/suitable spaces that are not compromised by other outcomes
- Meaningful leadership and support to provide facilities through planning, funding and policies including:
 - Facilitating the security and acquisition of land
 - Facilitating funding and development opportunities
 - Improved coordination of sport planning and facility provision
 - Support for local government to affect change without creating inequity

Some indicative examples for leading and facilitating initiatives are provided below:

- Securing current sportsfields at risk of loss - e.g:
 - TG Millner Field - acquisition of existing sportsfield land proposed to be sold to relocate Eastwood Rugby Club. This land is considered to be part of the current supply and its potential loss would have detrimental impacts on supply capacity
- Facilitating funding of high cost infrastructure in lieu of land - e.g:
 - Christie Park - construction of synthetic sportsfield on a suspended slab over car parking and additional 5-a-side fields (effectively providing the equivalent of 3-4ha of land). This is adjacent to Macquarie Park where additional land is needed (but not readily available) to meet sport needs
- Facilitating planning and development of sports areas in high density zones - e.g:
 - Chatswood CBD - facilitate development of roof top sportsfields on large commercial buildings. The central location would service resident and worker needs supported by existing infrastructure (i.e. transport, car parking etc.)



- Funding 'regionally significant' project/programs
 - Westleigh Park - facilitate funding for the development and potentially increased scope of a new facility in the Pennant Hills area. This one of the few opportunities in the NSROC area for a new multi-field complex which will significantly boost supply



5. Implementation

This study has confirmed the gap between demand and supply of sportsgrounds in the NSRPOC area. The future gap between demand and supply has been estimated in view of future population growth and potential increases in sportsground capacity.

It is acknowledged that forecasting demand over a long period has its limitations and changes in trends/demands will take place over this time which will alter current forecasts. Nevertheless, the gap is such that even if all identified initiatives were employed in the short term, a gap is still likely to be evident in 2026 which will only escalate further by 2036. Therefore, the overall aim should be to implement as many of the initiatives as possible within the next 5 years and monitor subsequent outcomes and changes in demand and develop/refine the analysis and strategy accordingly.

Use of school fields represent the 'long hanging fruit' that can be acted on in the short term whilst areas where new grounds can be established may be longer term. However, these initiatives can be further augmented (i.e. additional capacity potential) through consideration of synthetic sports surfaces where possible.

The following subsections outline a suggested approach to the development and management of sportsgrounds to assist with addressing the identified issues. The first part of this is defining the role of Councils in the provision of sportsground services. This is followed by strategic principles and recommendations; and an initial action plan to facilitate implementation.

5.1 Defining Roles

This section outlines a framework for the approach and roles for Councils in service provision. This is focused on facility management and facility development issues.

It is commonly accepted that Council has a significant role to play in the provision of services related to sport. Other sectors which have significant roles in providing services are the commercial sector and the state and federal government sectors. However, perhaps the most crucial sector is the community sector which consists of the thousands of volunteers that run and organise local sport, without which most sports would perish.


At a local level, the community and local government sectors typically 'fill the void' by providing programs (community) and facilities (local government and community) for sports not economically viable/sustainable without some support. Therefore, this usually leads to a closer liaison or relationship with 'community' sporting bodies that use Council facilities. Indeed, Council principles for service provision revolve around partnerships with various sporting bodies which its facilities.

A typical dichotomy of how local sport service provision is structured is shown in Figure 13 below. This structure is generally consistent with the observed provision of services by the NSROC Councils. However, variations naturally occur from Council to Council including resource levels, management systems, staff structures and priorities levels against competing services.

Under this structure, Council tends to focus on resource management (that is, the development and management of facilities) whilst community sporting bodies tend to focus on providing direct services to the community/customers/members (that is, competitions, coaching etc.).



Figure 13 - Model for Sport Services





The following points aim to expand on Council and partner roles in facility management and facility development noted in Figure 13.

5.1.1 Facility Development

With respect to facility development, Councils currently offer a range of services from investigation through to implementation. These services are provided at varying levels depending on the project, the location of the project and the type of sporting group involved. They are based on facility development project stages and their descriptions are shown below.

Table 16: Facility Development Stage Descriptions

Service/Role	Tasks/Issues
Facility investigation / feasibility studies	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> investigation of possible locations, concept designs, master plans etc.
Facility planning & design	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> detailed planning and design of final facility
Funding strategies/submissions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> identifying and targeting funding sources (Council, state and federal funding and private sector) preparation or assistance with preparing funding applications/submissions
Capital expenditure funding/programming	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> capital expenditure program which prioritises projects being considered for full or part funding by Council
Project management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> coordination of construction/implementation works etc.

Sporting bodies contribute to development of facilities through:

- Identifying needs and functional requirements
- Engaging regional and/or state sport association project support
- Lobbying and supporting action to secure funding
- Providing partner funding

5.1.2 Facility Management

With regard to facility management Councils typically provide three main services:

- Maintenance/Assets - developing and implementing maintenance and asset management programs
- Administrator - developing policies and procedures for the use of the land (e.g. bookings, fees etc.)
- Promoter - promoting use of facilities by user groups

All of these are also supplemented by user groups of the facility or delegated in the case of leased facilities. Under these roles, issues that are considered include:

- cost recovery levels (fees and charges),
- booking/allocations
- policy/procedures,
- access to facilities (types and conditions of land tenure),
- policy issues (prohibitions etc.); and
- maintenance and asset management issues

Sporting groups contribute to the management of facilities through:

- Complying with and implementing
 - Policies of Council
 - User/booking agreements
 - Management practices
- Monitoring and reporting



- Inappropriate use
- Facility condition and safety
- Maintenance needs

5.1.3 General Approach

In addition to defining the overall role Councils play in the provision of services, it is suggested that Councils can state the specific role it will perform in a given project. In the table below, a description four broad roles a Council could play in each stage of a project is provided along with a guide to the circumstances under which, each is likely to be played. Each role indicates the level of resources Council will aim to allocate (i.e. Provider most - facilitator least) and indicates a certain level of sustained commitment, as compared to one off advice.

Table 17: Project Role Descriptions

Role Category	Role Parameters
Provider	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Description - Council has or assumes the main responsibility for managing the project • Resources - Council has bulk of resources and interest invested in project in terms of finance and assets etc. • Outcomes/impacts - high level of community interest, significant outcomes for the community, generally seen as a Council's civic responsibility
Partner	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Description - Council shares responsibility of managing the project • Resources - Council has significant amount of resources and interest invested in the project in terms of finance and assets etc. • Outcomes/impacts - high level of community interest, significant outcomes for the community, responsibility is shared by another group(s)
Leader	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Description - Council coordinates responsibility for managing the project • Resources - Council has a mid-lower level of resources invested in project in terms of finance or assets • Outcomes/impacts - significant interest in terms of outcomes, general community interest, seen as a civic responsibility and is requested to play this role through demonstrated need or direct community request
Collaborator	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Description - Council plays specific role within a group coordinating responsibility for managing the project • Resources - Council has a lower level of resources invested in the project in terms of finance or assets • Outcomes/impacts - maintains an interest in terms of outcomes for the community, general community interest, civic responsibility
Facilitator	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Description - Council provides input, advice and information on how to manage a project • Resources - Council has little or no level of resources invested in the project in terms of finance or assets • Outcomes/impacts - has an interest in the outcomes for the group(s) involved and its implications on the broader community

As facility development needs are assessed in this step, one of the above roles should be assigned at each development stage. This will clearly communicate to stakeholders Council's intended role in each stage of the project. A sample project table is shown below.

	Facility Investigation	Plan & Design	Funding Strategy	Capital Expenditure	Project Management
Project 1	Provider				
Project 2	Partner				
Project 3	Collaborator				
Project 4	Facilitator				
Project 5	No Role				



5.2 Strategic Principles and General Recommendations

As part of the strategy review, the principles recommended by the 2011 strategy have been revised and updated to reflect the current study findings and guide the ongoing approach to sportsground management. These are presented below.

Councils' role in sport

- Councils' primary roles in sport are strategic planning, provision and management of sports infrastructure (see section 5.1)
- Councils' secondary role in sport is supporting clubs and opportunities for participants
- Councils should provide equitable support to all sports clubs in the region
- Councils' emphasis will be on community sport, recognising the importance of providing a pathways to all levels of competition

Managing fluctuations in demand

- Ensure facilities are shared in both seasons
- Seek to maintain the current sportsground capacity to population ratio
- Prioritise community sport over other activities on purpose built sportsgrounds, as many other activities in demand are able to use other open spaces
- Maintain a diversity of sports in the region and provide for diversifying populations and lifestyles: age, ability, cultural backgrounds and demand for new sport formats and schedules
- In conjunction with sports codes, promote available sports opportunities and monitor use of facilities
- Assist sports codes with strategic planning at a regional level
- Support smart transport initiatives and encourage low sport miles

Planning & managing infrastructure and the carrying capacity of grounds

- Develop and implement a consistent approach to, and ongoing monitoring of, sportsground performance
- Seek to increase carrying capacity of existing grounds and seek opportunities for new grounds (on greenfield and brownfield sites)
- Develop partnerships with schools and clubs to manage demand and supply
- Infrastructure planning should be based around flexibility/adaptability/multi-use wherever possible to meet changing demands
- Engage with and lobby key government agencies to plan and implement long term solutions to address the supply gap
- With the State Government and SSO's, develop a hierarchy of facilities by sport within the region
- Complement the supply of sportsgrounds with indoor facilities

Pricing and occupancy of facilities

- Maintain consistency between Councils for sportsgrounds pricing
- Subsidise not-for-profit or volunteer based sports clubs more than commercial sports enterprises
- Use price incentives to encourage clubs to train off-field, use low grade fields, and divert demand away from high grade facilities
- Encourage capital user contributions, whilst maintaining public ownership and shared use



- Standardise: allocation systems, occupancy agreements and conditions of use; ground closures, season dates and rest between seasons; approach to schools, insurance requirements; and collection of usage data

Funding capital works, planning and management

- Resource regional funding coordination: packaging funds for sportsground improvements and regional facilities, and share the cost of developing a regional inventory, utilisation and monitoring system
- Seek contributions from: federal and state government and sports codes (for regional priorities); users for one-off local projects; as well as corporate and private sports providers through joint ventures

5.3 Action Plan

The following action plan is divided into two parts. The first outlines key actions identified as a result of this study and the second presents a revised version of the remaining 2011 actions based on alignment with this review and feedback from Council officers. Priorities allocated are as follows:

1. = Very High
2. = High
3. = Medium
4. = Low



5.3.1 Key Actions

The following are key actions recommended by this review.

ACTION	Lead role	Partners	Priority
PLANNING AND MANAGING INFRASTRUCTURE AND CARRYING CAPACITY OF GROUNDS			
1. Adapt and maintain the regional facility inventory developed for this project	Sports POG	NSROC	1
2. Adapt (refine facility capacities based on a consistent approach) and maintain (progressively update) details of sportsground capacities	Sports POG	NSROC	1
3. Adapt and monitor seasonal utilisation based on methods established for this project <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Each council to report seasonal allocations in consistent format 	Sports POG + Each Council	NSROC	1
4. Monitor sport participation rates and trends against utilisation/allocations	Sports POG	SSO's	1
5. Obtain security of tenure (directly or indirectly) of any sportsgrounds that form part of the overall supply that are not owned by Council to ensure there is no loss of grounds or capacity	Each Council	Sports	1
6. Undertake identified initiatives to increase the capacity of sportsgrounds <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Initially as detailed in Appendix 6 and refined as additional opportunities are identified and assessed Consider installation of synthetic fields at new development sites for efficiency and effectiveness Implement and update the Synthetic Sportsfield Strategy 	Each Council	Office of Sport, Sports POG	1
7. Investigate and develop opportunities for joint use of school sportsgrounds <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Initially as detailed in Appendix 7 and refined as additional opportunities are identified and assessed Consider installation of synthetic surfaces if viable (especially at High School sites) Identify opportunities and initiate discussions with non-government schools as appropriate 	Each Council	Education, Sports POG	1



ACTION	Lead role	Partners	Priority
8. Progressively review the impact of initiatives on supply/capacity of grounds against contemporary and forecast demand/utilisation to refine the quantum for additional increases in capacity	Sports POG		1
9. Actively engage with state government agencies to pragmatically plan and implement long term solutions to address the supply gap to 2026 and beyond including advocating for the key outcomes from state government plans and initiatives: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clear and quantifiable statements of the need to provide for new and/or upgraded sport and recreation facilities to meet demand brought about by development • Clear and pragmatic guidelines for how sport is incorporated into active healthy living and ‘liveability’ measures <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Sport, physical activity and open space should be provided for as essential infrastructure (like roads etc.) and not as an ‘after thought’ ○ Planning needs to go beyond words and ensure delivery of practical/suitable spaces that are not compromised by other outcomes • Meaningful leadership and support to provide facilities through planning, funding and policies including - <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Facilitating the securing/acquiring of <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Land zoned recreation (public and private) ▪ Areas already developed as sportsground/ recreation areas ▪ Other government land that could be used for sports facilities ○ Facilitate funding and development opportunities <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Funding of capacity increasing projects in-lieu of land ▪ Funding ‘regionally significant’ projects/ programs ▪ Planning and development of sports areas in high density commercial zones • Support for local government to affect change without creating inequity • Improved coordination of sport planning and facility provision 	NSROC + Sports POG	Office of Sport, GSC, SSOs + Sport NSW	1
10. Identify specific requirements for the upgrade of ancillary facilities to compliment capacity upgrades and address contemporary issues (e.g. safety, shade, customer expectations/standards, increased female participation, cultural profiles)	Each Council	Sports	2



ACTION	Lead role	Partners	Priority
MANAGING FLUCTUATIONS IN DEMAND			
11. Liaise with sports to identify and implement initiatives to manage demand, this may include <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Customer research to develop alternative service offerings for specific customer profiles (e.g. more alternative formats, targeted training and competition schedules, geographic spread of competition structures) • Alternative program delivery (e.g. locations and times) • Coordination with SSO's when they recommend training requirements which place additional pressure on ground demand 	NSROC + Sports POG	SSOs + Sport NSW	2
12. Assist sports codes with strategic planning at a regional level	Office of Sport + NSROC	SSO's + Each Council	2
13. NSROC Councils should at the end of every season monitor the range of sports, and the number of clubs and players across the region and consider adjustments in future allocations to reflect contemporary needs <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The aim being to establish a balance between all sports to ensure there is a range available to the community. This may require a limit to the amount of allocation for 1 sport 	Sports POG + Each Council	Sports	2
14. NSROC Councils should facilitate regular communication with schools (including private schools) regarding participation in sport and the development of sporting infrastructure	Each Council	DET and private schools	3
15. Prepare an indoor sports strategy (to, amongst other things, help manage demand for outdoor sportsgrounds)	NSROC + Sports POG	SSO's + Office of Sport	2



5.3.2 Revised 2011 Actions

The following are additional revised actions from the 2011 strategy recommended by this review.

ACTION	Lead role	Partners	Priority
COUNCILS' ROLE IN SPORT			
1. NSROC Councils agree to a common regional view about the role Councils play and approach to community sport as per principles noted in Section 5.2	NSROC, Councils + Office of Sport	SSO'S + Sports	2
2. NSROC Councils agree that NSROC lead regional co-operation and initiatives, and monitor the implementation of this Regional Sportsground Management Strategy	NSROC	Councils, Office of Sport, SSO's + Sports	2

ACTION	Lead role	Partners	Priority
MANAGING FLUCTUATIONS IN DEMAND			
3. NSROC Councils should adopt a “priority of use” policy when there is competition to use playing fields, based on the principles provided	NSROC	Sports	3
4. NSROC Councils should encourage clubs to develop off-field training schedules	Each Council	SSO's + Sports	2
5. NSROC Councils' individual policies should protect a diversity of all sports in the region and promote the range of opportunities available	Each Council		3
6. NSROC Councils should, in partnership with peak bodies, monitor sports facilities and clubs “health” in providing for local competition needs, player pathways and social participation opportunities	Each Council	SSO's	4
7. NSROC should facilitate the development of transport plans for regional facilities to minimise the number of trips by private car (sport miles), and encourage multimode transport through cycleway connections, public transport, park and ride and / or shuttle service	NSROC	NSW Dept Transport, SSO's + Sports	3



ACTION	Lead role	Partners	Priority
PLANNING AND MANAGING INFRASTRUCTURE AND CARRYING CAPACITY OF GROUNDS			
8. NSROC Councils should undertake joint capital works planning for sportsgrounds including the:	NSROC + each Council	Community, and sports	3
a. planning and design of new and regional sportsground complexes	NSROC + each Council	Community, and sports	3
b. assessment of the feasibility of specific sites for synthetic field developments	NSROC + each Council	Community, and sports	3
9. NSROC Councils should individually:			
c. revise sportsground management plans and develop new facilities utilising the principles outlined above to enhance functionality, ground capacity and club viability	Each Council	Community, and Sports	3
d. incrementally reconstruct turf grounds adding lights and non-potable water supplies as funds become available	Each Council	Community, and Sports	2
e. seek additional use of netball courts in the off-season, and for programs, training, wheelchair / roller sports	Each Council	Community, and Sports	3
f. review options to improve use and minimise damage to turf surfaces, by making sure sharing is between compatible sports	Each Council	Community, and Sports	2
10. NSROC Councils should collectively consider identifying and developing district / regional facilities across the region for each sport. This should include consideration/recognition of large multi-code regional facilities	NSROC and each Council	Community, and sports	3
11. NSROC Councils should continue to develop and update sports code specific plans for the region	Each Council	Sports	2

ACTION	Lead role	Partners	Priority
PRICING AND OCCUPANCY OF FACILITIES			
12. NSROC Councils should seek to maintain and improve consistency in allocation, pricing and occupancy arrangements for sportsgrounds across the region, as per strategy principles	Each Council	Sports	3
13. NSROC should assist member Councils to prepare a succinct set of standard conditions of hire for sportsgrounds	Each Council	Sports	3



ACTION	Lead role	Partners	Priority
14. NSROC Councils should adopt standardised season dates and rest periods between seasons (of two weeks) and region-wide polices on wet weather closures, preseason and off field training	Each Council	Sports	2
15. NSROC Councils should ensure that all users have a current occupancy agreement for use of sportsgrounds, and supply usage data at the end of every season	Each Council	Sports	3
16. NSROC Councils should maintain a consistent approach to charging for synthetic and turf sportsgrounds, based on the cost of ownership	Each Council	Sports	2
17. NSROC Councils should monitor and report sportsgrounds maintenance costs and the proportion of costs recouped in user fees	Each Council	Sports	3
18. NSROC Councils should encourage one-off capital contributions by users on the basis that facilities remain in Council ownership, that in return for the contribution a rental rebate, rent free period or extended tenure is provided and such an agreement is for a finite period	Each Council	Sports	2
19. NSROC should assist Councils to develop a region wide sportsgrounds booking and allocation system, to include invoicing and web entry for sportsground bookings, allocation applications etc. and assist in recording demand for grounds	NSROC	Each Council + Sports	4

ACTION	Lead role	Partners	Priority
FUNDING CAPITAL WORKS PLANNING AND MANAGEMENT			
20. NSROC assist Councils to cost, develop and implement regional projects	NSROC	All stakeholders	2
21. NSROC support member Councils to negotiate funding packages with clubs and other bodies	NSROC	Sports	2
22. NSROC lead the development of regional partnerships with other government agencies, schools, and state and peak sporting bodies to implement elements of this plan	NSROC	All stakeholders	1
23. NSROC to examine opportunities for new regional funding approaches such as a Regional Sports Foundation and other coordinated regional funding mechanisms	NSROC	All stakeholders	2



Warranties and Disclaimers

The information contained in this report is provided in good faith. While Otium Planning Group has applied their own experience to the task, they have relied upon information supplied to them by other persons and organisations.

We have not conducted an audit of the information provided by others but have accepted it in good faith. Some of the information may have been provided 'commercial in confidence' and as such these venues or sources of information are not specifically identified. Readers should be aware that the preparation of this report may have necessitated projections of the future that are inherently uncertain and that our opinion is based on the underlying representations, assumptions and projections detailed in this report.

There will be differences between projected and actual results, because events and circumstances frequently do not occur as expected and those differences may be material. We do not express an opinion as to whether actual results will approximate projected results, nor can we confirm, underwrite or guarantee the achievability of the projections as it is not possible to substantiate assumptions which are based on future events.

Accordingly, neither Otium Planning Group, nor any member or employee of Otium Planning Group, undertakes responsibility arising in any way whatsoever to any persons other than client in respect of this report, for any errors or omissions herein, arising through negligence or otherwise however caused.



Appendix 1 - Project Inception Meeting Notes

MEETING NOTES

Meeting: NSROC Regional Sportsground Strategy Review

Workshop Notes

Date: 21 February 2017

Location: Willoughby City Council

1 Welcome and Introduction

PRG Meeting Notes

- Main emphasis of project is on sports grounds
- Use individual Council S&R Plans to comment on emerging needs for indoor space (e.g. badminton, fencing, table tennis). Need to ensure consideration in any master planning or feasibility for indoor facilities
- Want innovative models - more than "we don't have enough"
- Need a policy basis for not losing private open space
- Report needs a strong emphasis on policy rationale for providing S&R land (active and healthy communities etc.)
- Sports need to think about the situation differently and adapt
- Most of the 50% open space referred in the Northern District Plan is bush
- Need an Issues Paper by mid-March for NSROC submission to GSC
- Workshop in April with NSROC, GSC, DSR, NBC to discuss issues paper
- Send spreadsheet to NSROC Councils so each Council can input data on current provision, useable area, utilisation, hierarchy etc.

PCG Workshop Notes

- Main demand is for training - hardest to accommodate
- Need copies of individual Council 'planning' submissions to GSC District Plans
- Mapping - OPG to provide type of data to be mapped but each Council responsible for map preparation (e.g. total provision by hierarchy; population growth overlay; illustrative shortfall by LGA)
 - Further discussion to define at a later meeting
- Data - OPG to provide type of data to be collected but each Council responsible for data preparation
- Ground truth participation numbers via Association numbers within NSROC
- Each Council captures school usage hours - need to agree on assumptions re impact with PRG
- Competition between sports for land
 - Changing participation levels - tradition v contemporary demands
- Disconnect between Fed/ State government emphasis on active and healthy communities and tackling obesity vs lack of suitable land
- Impact of renewing and upgrading facilities in off season
- Constraints to designing to maximise use/ functionality (e.g. proximity to residents)
- Carrying capacity - differing views of turf maintenance specialists
- Allocation process provides for established sports/ teams not emerging sports/ teams
- Competition between sports for access.
- Disconnect between Fed/ State Government emphasis on getting people active when local government facilities have a 'house full' sign
 - Needs to be tackled on a regional level
- Need strong policy statement at front of document regarding rationale for sportsgrounds/ informal open space in active and healthy living
- There is an impact on users when facilities are upgraded/ renewed in off season
 - Pressure to keep 'online'
- Potential for retrofitting other open space (e.g. golf courses/ bowls greens)
- There are constraints to maximising use of facilities e.g. Willoughby Council policy on no sport on Sundays, or netball insistence on all comps on Saturdays, or resident objections to expanding use (e.g. lights or longer hours)
- What is the 'result' of not meeting needs?
 - Equity in access, impact on health

MEETING NOTES

- Relationship of carrying capacity and available budgets
- Need to consider pricing strategies to manage demand
- Some damage occurring to grounds from dogs/ rabbits
- NSROC Councils want to review uniform cost recovery approach in user fees
- Individual 'code' plans had been developed for each sporting code in the previous Sportsground Strategy
- Next Workshop needs to go through recommendations of the 2011 Sportsground Strategy - clarify which ones adopted/ not adopted but still relevant/ adopted but no longer relevant/ missing recommendations

Initial Actions

- OPG to outline data and information requirements
 - NSROC Sports POG members to respond with capability/availability
- NSROC to establish initial timeframes in relation to further input into District plan (after submission period)
 - OPG to review project timeframes based on feedback from inquires
- OPG to liaise with stakeholders on setting a date for round table meeting
- Set date for next meeting based on review timeframe and project requirements



Appendix 2 - Data and Key Directions Review Presentation




OTIUM
Planning group
SPORT + LEISURE


NSROC Sportsground Analysis

Presentation July 18 2017

+ **Presentation Outline**

- Current Situation Analysis
- Demand Assessment and Gap Analysis
- Strategies to Address Demand






+ Current Situation Analysis

+ Population

Area	2016 Population
Hornsby	149,650
Hunters Hill	14,500
Ku-ring-gai	123,500
Lane Cove	37,350
North Sydney	72,150
Ryde	119,950
Willoughby	75,450
Total	592,550

+ Sportsground Inventory

- 224 areas/fields/sites
- Total playing area of 236.04Ha (actual field space) within a total land area of approximately 401.27Ha
 - Estimated 165Ha of ancillary areas (e.g. car parks, amenities, landscaping, pathways and informal open space)
- The average playing area is 1.05 Ha
- Of these venues, 149 have some form of lighting for either training and/or competition, covering 159 Ha of playing space
- Proportion of total area covered by lighting



+ Sportsground Inventory

- In its winter configuration, these facilities typically provide:
 - 151 x full size rectangle fields
 - 55 x mod or junior rectangle fields
 - 60 x full sized ovals
 - 5 x junior ovals
 - 17 x baseball fields
 - 9 x softball fields
 - 120 x netball courts
- The use of the majority of sites (84%) is managed by Councils

+ Inventory Benchmark

Area	Population	Playing Space	Pop/Ha	Ha/1000 Pop
NSROC	592,550	236.0	2,510	0.40
Northern Beaches	263,700	116.5	2,264	0.44


+ Inventory Breakdown



Area	Population	Playing Area Count	Playing Space	Average	Pop/Ha	Ha/1000 Pop
Hornsby	149,650	43	59.0	1.37	2,535	0.39
Hunters Hill	14,500	10	6.5	0.65	2,238	0.45
Ku-ring-gai	123,500	59	60.8	1.03	2,033	0.49
Lane Cove	37,350	7	9.3	1.33	4,016	0.25
North Sydney	72,150	13	10.1	0.77	7,179	0.14
Ryde	119,950	73	61.9	0.85	1,936	0.52
Willoughby	75,450	19	28.5	1.50	2,651	0.38
Total	592,550	224	236.0	1.05	2,510	0.40

+ Estimated Sport Participation

Sport	Juniors	Seniors	Total
Athletics	2,241	589	2,830
Australian Rules Football	2260	1135	3,395
Baseball	898	1646	2,544
Cricket (outdoor)	5,670	4,687	10,357
Hockey (outdoor)	845	1,077	1,922
Netball	11,877	5,211	17,088
Rugby League	763	117	880
Rugby Union	4401	2260	6,661
Soccer (outdoor)	16,014	10,144	26,158
Softball	543	959	1,502
Touch/Oztag	1590	2360	3,950

+ Estimated Sport Participation - as a proportion of select sport participants





+ Sportsground Use Benchmarks






- Winter use focus
- Weekly use benchmarks
 - Standard
 - Natural turf with lights 25 hours
 - Synthetic surfaces 54 hours
 - Practical
 - Based on limitations including location, size, no lighting, specialised facilities/type of use etc.
 - Maximum
 - Maximum peak hour capacity identified by Council's
- Excluded Facilities

+ Sportsground Use Benchmarks



- 'Hectare Hour'
 - Combines the time of use (in hours) and the size of the space (in hectares).
 - Utilised regarding both supply (capacity) and demand (allocation/use).
 - E.g. a 2Ha ground used for 1 hour would equate to 2 'Hectare Hours'; likewise, a 1Ha ground used for 2 hours would also equal 2 'hectare hours'.
 - For supply/capacity this means multiplying the adopted hours of use benchmark for each site by its available playing surface area.
 - For demand this means multiplying the number of hours a site is booked by the size of its playing surface





Demand Assessment and + Gap Analysis

+ Demand Assessment

- Participation based model
 - Population data within age cohorts
 - Participation data
 - Area needed for specific playing fields/ courts
 - Capacity of playing fields/ courts to accommodate numbers of players
 - Likely peak demand hours
 - The mix of lit and unlit fields/ courts.
- Allocation based model
 - Utilisation analysis
 - Population data
- Mid Range Estimate
- 2016, 2026 and 2036 Assessment

+ Demand and Gap Assessment

	2016	2026	2036
Population	592,550	672,450	752,600
Current Supply (Ha)	236	236	236
Participation Model Demand	266.21	302.98	337.45
Gap to Current	30.21	66.98	101.45
Utilisation Model Demand	257.59	293.17	326.52
Gap to Current	21.59	57.17	90.52
Mid Range Demand Estimate	261.90	298.07	331.99
Gap to Current	25.90	62.07	95.99



Strategies to Address
+ Demand

+ Data and Information Gaps



- Mapping
- New or planned Facilities
 - Future grounds
 - Lighting projects
 - Synthetic fields
- Risks
 - Potential for loss of area
 - Supply by other bodies
- Hierarchy

+ Addressing Demand



- Options
 - Improving the carrying capacity of existing sportsgrounds
 - Installing or upgrading lighting
 - Reconfiguring playing fields to improve functionality
 - Upgrading drainage and/ or surface quality
 - Installing additional multi-purpose synthetic surfaces or special purpose surfaces
 - Ensuring provision of active open space land in new residential developments
 - Converting existing open space to sportsground use
 - Acquiring or securing other land for sportsgrounds
 - Partnering with schools and/ or other institutions to use existing or develop new facilities
 - Effectively balance maximising use with equity of access
- Issues
 - Change in participation, formats and trends



OTIUM
Planning group
SPORT + LEISURE

NSROC Sportsground Analysis

Presentation July 18 2017



Appendix 3 - Stakeholder Workshop Meeting Notes

MEETING NOTES

Meeting: NSROC Regional Sportsground Strategy Review
Agency Workshop Notes

Date: 20 April 2017

Location: Willoughby City Council

Attendees Andrew Roach (NSROC), Heather Nesbitt (Greater Sydney Commission), Deborah Dearing (Greater Sydney Commission), Brad Billet (Office of Sport), Neil Finlay (Office of Sport), Stephanie Bashford (Department of Education), Darren Simpson (Sport NSW), Ian Andrews (City of Ryde), Aaron Mullins (City of Ryde), Andrew Flick (Hornsby Shire), Christine Deaner (Northern Beaches Council), David Mason (Otium Planning Group), Simon Haire (Otium Planning Group)

Welcome and Introduction

Simon Haire outlined the purpose of the meeting which was to:

- Outline the project to key stakeholders in order to gain their input and advice
- Identify and discuss connection points for various planning/initiatives being undertaken by relevant agencies

NSROC - Sportsground Strategy Review

The purpose of this study is to review the 2010 report commissioned by NSROC. The review will focus on the supply and demand for sportsgrounds in the NSROC area. There are three key inputs into the initial analysis:

- Establishing the current supply of sportsgrounds (on a consistent basis)
- Establishing current utilisations rates
- Establishing current participation levels in relevant sports

This will provide a current situation analysis which can then be used to model future projections. Given that there is likely to be an identified shortfall, options to increase the capacity/supply of sportsgrounds will be identified. This will need to be contextualised with population growth hotspots

Greater Sydney Commission

- Draft North District Plan - exhibition completed (along with 5 other districts)
 - Submissions are being reviewed to analyse the meaning/implications
 - Some may be addressed in new regional plan
 - Many help to flag needs/issues in existing and new areas
 - Liveability is a key theme of the draft district plans and sport is seen as a important part of creating healthy communities
 - Open space in general is seen as a major issue in regard to providing for population growth
- Key discussion points for consideration
 - This type of planning (i.e. this project) early in the process (up front) is important
 - Especially in priority precincts (and other areas of high growth)
 - Connection and access to spaces is paramount
 - Public transport
 - Walkability
 - Emphasise and facilitate collaboration between stakeholders and agencies to share, discuss and strategise
 - Need to consider how indoor sport be considered in planning
 - Outdoor sport focuses on one market segment
 - Further detail for green grid mapping is occurring
 - GSC is developing open space toolkit to assist with planning open space
 - Facility development needs to be environmentally friendly

MEETING NOTES

- More effective sharing of all public assets needs to be considered with more innovative ideas
- Office of Sport are to prepare a district sport and recreation plan

Office of Sport

- Due to officially commence preparation of district sport and (active) recreation plans towards the end of the year
- Sporting needs survey has commenced to help inform the planning process (top 20 priorities)
 - Councils
 - SSO's
- Data from NSROC study will be useful for preparation of the north district sport and recreation plan
- One major trend to be addressed is female participation
 - Key point of discussion with SSO's
- Conducting a benchmarking exercise with Northern Suburbs FA
- Developed a facility hierarchy that will assist with informing an investment model
 - Copy to be provided

Department of Education

- Department has prepared a draft policy to encourage and facilitate the establishment of joint use projects
 - It is anticipated that many of these will involve sports facilities
- Specific projects in the NSROC area include
 - Willoughby City Council
 - Chatswood HS - synthetic sportsfield
 - Ku-ring-gai Council
 - Lindfield Learning Village - performing arts centre, outdoor synthetic playing field and indoor sporting centre.
 - St Ives High School - four court indoor sporting and community centre.
 - Ku-Ring-Gai High School - two court indoor sports centre, synthetic sports field and a specialist water based hockey field.
- NSROC area is identified as high priority for re-development of education assets

Northern Beaches Council

- Currently preparing sportsground strategy
 - Discussion paper on exhibition
 - Analysis has shown current shortfall of approximately 24Ha which could grow to 41.4Ha without action
 - Options include increasing the capacity of existing fields, synthetics, school facility use and potentially the conversion of golf course land
 - Aim is to have final strategy adopted by August

Sport NSW

- Raised the issue of 'minor' outdoor sports gaining access to facilities
 - These sports don't have the resources of the major sport to ensure they are represented in discussions
- Encourage innovation and collaboration
 - Trying to link sports with local government (new membership category) to facilitate this
- Need to ensure that immediate needs are addressed where possible
 - Long term planning is pragmatic, but if some improvement is not made in the short term, then there will be issues for participation (some already evident). Therefore, there is a need for some short-term outcomes that fit with long term planning

Next Steps

- Preliminary analysis will be workshopped with NSROC sports officers to guide refinement and strategy development
- Suggestion that this group be provided with an opportunity to review outcomes to identify connections and alignment opportunities



Appendix 4 - State Sporting Organisations Questionnaire Returns

NSROC Sportsground Strategy - Sport Questionnaire

Please return to simon@otiumplanning.com.au - 0428 600 657 by Friday 28 April

1. Please identify

- name of relevant associations/affiliates that operate within the NSROC region ([see map](#))
- contacts details for relevant associations/affiliates
- total membership for each association/affiliate
- the estimated proportion (of members or teams) for each association/affiliate that are based within the borders of NSROC

Name	Contact Details (email, phone, webpage)	Members	Estimated Proportion within NSROC Region
Gladesville Hornsby Football Association	Mark Lockie E: mark@ghfa.com.au P: 0434 660 798 W: www.ghfa.com.au	13,448	85%
Northern Suburbs Football Association	Malcolm Waldock E: CEO@nsfa.asn.au P: 0409 655 348 W: http://websites.sportstg.com/assoc_page.cgi?client=1-10116-0-0-0	16,547	89%

2. Please complete participation figures for your sport in the NSROC region

- Core Participation Figures (organised club/association based for main season)

*** 2016 figures included not 2017 as final registration numbers have not been confirmed for 2017.

	**2016			2014		
Age	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
Junior (4-15)	11,456	3,455	14,911	11,293	3,294	14,587
Senior (15+)	2,109	7,336	9,445	2,060	7,874	9,934
Total	13,565	10,791	24,356	13,353	11,168	24,521

- Optional Participation Figures (for other formats e.g. school based, off-season, social, program, other)

	2015/16			2013		
Program/Format	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
Summer Football	4,290	1,425	5,715	1,883	857	2,750
Futsal	919	270	1,189	563	202	765
Futsal Schools	1,208	768	1,976	1,120	552	1,672
Total	6,417	2,463	8,340	3,566	1,611	5,187

3. Please respond to the following queries (below and following page)

Query	Answer
<p>What are the state participation trends and numbers for your sport over the past few years?</p>	<p>Over the last 4-5 years' registered football players (winter) has increased as the figures below show. You will see a slight decrease from 2015 to 2016 due to the Hills based clubs playing in a non-affiliated competition in 2016 (split of the Granville Football Assoc). The Hills Football Association is now affiliated with FNSW for the 2017 football season. We have seen a 12% participation increase in the last 5 years.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 2016 = 222,612 • 2015 = 225,652 • 2014 = 210,634 • 2013 = 200,732 • 2012 = 198,646
<p>Are there any issues/ barriers impacting on your sport in the NSROC area and surrounding region?</p>	<p>Population</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Housing increase over the next 5 years. These additional number will be adding additional pressure to the already over used fields in the NSROC area. Housing increase is as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Hornsby - housing increase of 4,350. - Ku-ring-gai - housing increase of 4,000 - Lane Cove - housing increase of 1,900 - North Sydney - housing increase of 3,000 - Ryde - Housing increase of 7,600 - Willoughby - Housing increase of 1,250 - Hunters Hills - housing increase of 150 - Total for North District - 22,250 - Population increase in NSW. Currently at 7.7 million in NSW. Estimated to be 8.4 million by 2026 & 10.2 million by 2056. - - E.g. Macquarie Park identified as a priority growth area (Department of Planning) within NSROC. Additional people will be arriving to the area but no additional sporting fields, therefore adding pressure to the current fields. <p>Popularity of football</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Football is now a year-round sport, not just winter. - Summer football on the increase across the state. Over 70 centres now affiliated with FNSW with almost 40,000 participants. 15% of states participation in summer soccer is in the NSROC area. - Council's allowing football use of fields across both winter and summer. <p>Lack of sufficient facilities (**MAJOR ISSUE)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Quality and quantity of fields - Playing surfaces, lighting, irrigation, drainage, amenities - E.g. amenity buildings are now too old, 30 to 50 years old. They do not meet the current need for football. There is a need for unisex toilets and changerooms due to increasing women participation. - E.g. floodlighting at many fields do not even meet the minimum standards for training of 50 lux. Restricting training sessions at a lot of venues to certain sections of the field, which in turn sees the surface being destroyed in certain area and making the surface unsafe for use in some instances. - There are several fields across the NSROC that have no lighting. Council's need to work on lighting all sporting fields in their Council area. There are fields without floodlighting which are sitting vacant between April and October between 5pm and 10pm while others are being overused. - Not enough fields in the area, and no space to create new fields. Therefore, synthetics need to be on the agenda to cope with demand.

Query	Answer
	<p>Schools</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Access to school open space after hours is required. Schools are sitting idle. Potential for additional use after school hours. Potential for schools, councils and football to partner together to create community facilities. <p>Volunteers</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Similar to all sports, lack of volunteers and retention. Small group of volunteers doing all the work for a club. Some clubs charging participants more and then hiring staff to work in the clubs canteen throughout the year as an example. <p>Funding</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Lack of funding and commercial income equal to its size and influence
<p>What needs or opportunities have been identified for new/ expanded facilities within the NSROC area?</p>	<p>Needs</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - More synthetic fields to cope with demand, particularly in Ryde, Willoughby, Ku-Ring-Gai and Hornsby. - Better lighting, minimum 50 lux requirement for training - urgent need. - Better grass surfaces that can cope with the high demand for the sport. For example using Couch grass instead of Kikuyu. - Better amenity buildings. E.g. access for females, people with a disability, referees and players - Storage - all clubs need additional storage. Football today has changed compared to 20 years ago where coaches used one of 2 balls with a few cones for a training session. Today coaches are using mini goals, poles, hurdles and additional coaching equipment that require storing on site rather than in a coach's home garage. <p>Opportunities</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Canteen is a great source of income for community clubs. There are many sites across the NSROC area that don't have a canteen facility. Canteens - Clubroom/function room. Allows clubs to have a dedicated area for club memorabilia and to hold meetings <p>Key facilities:</p> <p>Ryde</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Synthetic fields and amenities at Christie Park - Meadowbank Park - amenities upgrade and lighting <p>Ku-Ring-Gai</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - North Turramurra Recreation Area (NTRA) - new synthetic and grass facility. Amenity building to come. - Norman Griffiths Oval - synthetic field <p>Hunters Hills</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Bedlam Bay - floodlighting <p>Hornsby</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Westleigh - new site - Hornsby Quarry - potential new site <p>North Sydney</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Anderson park - synthetic field to cope with demand - Waverton Oval - floodlighting to cope with training and competition demand. <p>Willoughby</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Chatswood HS - synthetic - Thomson Park - synthetic and lighting <p>Lane Cove</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Pottery Green Oval - synthetic field & car park - Bob Campbell Oval - resurface/irrigation and refurbish amenities block.

Query	Answer
	<p>*** See Future Needs Document for further projects for each council area. The projects are split according to state electorates.</p>
<p>Relative to other challenges for growth/ development of your sport, how does the NSROC region rate in terms of priorities?</p>	<p>NSROC rates high in our priorities due to the number of registered players in the area. Northern Suburbs FA (NSFA) and Gladesville Hornsby FA (GHFA) are 2 of the top 6 associations in the state according to registered players.</p> <p>The major issue in the NSROC area is the increase of population and dwellings and the lack of new sporting fields coming online. An increased population will result in an increase of football participation therefore more open space is required.</p> <p>E.g. Christie Park has been a top 5 priority project for Football NSW for many years now in the NSROC area.</p> <p>NSFA are currently being used as a pilot program for football and all sports in an Office of Sport project regarding an evidence based infrastructure and facilities strategy for the sport and active recreation sector of NSW.</p>
<p>What initiatives has/will your sport look to introduce in the NSROC area to assist in managing future demand and use of sportsgrounds?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Promoting synthetic fields and advancements in synthetic fields. - Associations and local clubs creating strategic and facility plans (if not already in place) - Associations creating sinking funds to assist capital works projects in their local council area - A dedicated Facilities Officer in each association. - Football NSW assisting clubs and associations with building relationships with local, state and federal governments. - Football NSW with associations providing feedback on District Plans and other strategic documents, i.e. masterplans - Working with Office of Sport in putting together the Future Needs of Sport Infrastructure 2017 document - Lobbying local, state and federal governments for support and funding for existing and future projects. - Education forums for associations, clubs and councils
<p>Other comments</p>	<p>Population growth is a huge issue for football in particularly in the NSROC region. With the 7 councils within the NSROC region already built up and no additional green space available the need for synthetic fields is a key ingredient to ensure football can cope with demand. Floodlighting and amenity building upgrades are also vital as part of the footballing landscaper.</p>

NSROC Sportsground Strategy - Sport Questionnaire

Please return to simon@otiumplanning.com.au - 0428 600 657 by Friday 28 April

1. Please identify

- name of relevant associations/affiliates that operate within the NSROC region ([see map](#))
- contacts details for relevant associations/affiliates
- total membership for each association/affiliate
- the estimated proportion (of members or teams) for each association/affiliate that are based within the borders of NSROC

Name	Contact Details (email, phone, webpage)	Members	Estimated Proportion within NSROC Region
e.g. Norths	e.g. E: simon@norths.net , P: 555123, W: norths.net	e.g. 7,500	e.g. 90% (players/teams from Norths based in NSROC area)
Cricket NSW	Cassie.langford@cricketnsw.com.au	14,061	

2. Please complete participation figures for your sport in the NSROC region

- Core Participation Figures (organised club/association based for main season)

2017				2014		
Age	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
Junior 4-12			1,479	1,234	227	1,461
Senior 12+			12,582			7,695
Total			14,061			9,156

- Optional Participation Figures (for other formats e.g. school based, off-season, social, program, other)

2016/2017				2014		
Program/Format	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
School - Cricket NSW run	3,529	3,500	7,277	4,262	3,154	7,416
School - School run			4,390	1224	24	2,076
Total			11,667			9,492

3. Please respond to the following queries (below and following page)

Query	Answer
What are the state participation trends and numbers for your sport over the past few years?	Cricket NSW participation numbers have increased within the last few years. Entry level programs have achieved record numbers. We are unfortunately seeing a drop off in participation across older age groups whilst younger age groups are achieving steady numbers. The

Query	Answer
	participation in areas such as NSROC have continued to grow. Within the last 3 years we have seen growth within Junior Cricket. What is slowing the growth rate in areas such as North Sydney is the access to grounds and facilities at club levels.
Are there any issues/ barriers impacting on your sport in the NSROC area and surrounding region?	Cricket NSW coordinates and assists with a wide range of cricket programs within the region. The quality of facilities is a significant barrier in regards to participation (recruitment and retention. The use of suitable and modern facilities enables participation and engagement of coaches, volunteers and parents to also grow.
What needs or opportunities have been identified for new/ expanded facilities within the NSROC area?	There is a need for significant upgrades of practice nets and match pitches. To ensure participant safety and ease of access this is a real need within North Sydney. New playing and training facilities are essential but limited green space within the North Sydney region is a major barrier. Looking at developing cricket nets within surrounding schools and parks is a must in order to support existing and new participants.
Relative to other challenges for growth/ development of your sport, how does the NSROC region rate in terms of priorities?	At the moment the current usage of grounds for cricket within the NSROC region is at capacity. We need to be able to access and look for alternatives including school venues. Unfortunately there is little to no room for ground growth in the region, we need to look at what green spaces the region already has. Multi-use facilities is critical.
What initiatives has/will your sport look to introduce in the NSROC area to assist in managing future demand and use of sportsgrounds?	Cricket NSW will continue to invest in the growth of cricket. We will look to continue supporting facility developments via our grants program which is open to local councils. We will continue to collaborate with councils to develop innovative local solutions and support local applications to state and federal grant programs where appropriate.
Other comments	

NSROC Sportsground Strategy - Sport Questionnaire

Please return to simon@otiumplanning.com.au - 0428 600 657 by Friday 28 April

1. Please identify

- name of relevant associations/affiliates that operate within the NSROC region ([see map](#))
- contacts details for relevant associations/affiliates
- total membership for each association/affiliate
- the estimated proportion (of members or teams) for each association/affiliate that are based within the borders of NSROC

Name	Contact Details (email, phone, webpage)	Members	Estimated Proportion within NSROC Region
Hornsby Berowra Eagles JAFLC	Paul Stafford, 0417 715 936 president@hornsbyberowraeagles	202	97%
Pennant Hills AFC	Bob Wray, 0412247305, bob.wray@ossworldwide.com	207	50%
Westbrook J AFC	Paul Vink, 0419565326, vinkpaul@yahoo.com.au	317	56%
Pennant Hills J AFC	Dean Moran, 0418622978, president@pennanthillsjafc.com	285	70%
St Ives J AFC	Paul Thompson, 0413985596, president@stivesafl.com	470	94%
Macquarie Uni AFC	Logan Mead, 0422486005, president@muafc.com	143	58%
North Ryde Dockers J AFC	Julian Turner, 0466526800, Julian.turner@nikkoam.com	159	87%
North Shore AFC	John Goode, 0414904204, northshoreafc@yahoo.com.au	265	68%
Willoughby J AFL	Justin Harty, 0401691254, JHarty@proclaim.com.au	583	90%
Lane Cove Cats J AFC	Daniel Hynes, 0414987235, Daniel.hynes@lanecovecats.com	220	92%
AFL/NEAFL/AFL Sydney Umpires	Damian Anderson, 0432766938, Damian.anderson@afl.com.au	70	10%

2. Please complete participation figures for your sport in the NSROC region

- Core Participation Figures (organised club/association based for main season)

Age	2017			2014		
	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
Junior (4-15)	2043	217	2260	1752	138	1890
Senior (15+)	867	268	1135	1005	142	1147
Total			3395*			3037*

*Includes club, AFL 9s, Auskick and Independent Schools Competition

- Optional Participation Figures (for other formats e.g. school based, off-season, social, program, other)

2017	2014
------	------

Program/Format	Male	Female	Total
Inter School Primary	1811		1811
Inter School Secondary	338		338
Intra School Primary	1337	845	2182
Intra School Secondary	300	225	525
Total			7,300

*Numbers above are 75% of the AFL's Sydney North region 2017 target. The Sydney North region includes the 7 NSROC LGA's + Northern Beaches

	Male	Female	Total
Primary School Participants	2804	311	3115
Secondary Programs	687	76	763
Secondary School Participants	523	58	581
Auskick Introductory	3287	365	3652
			8111

*Numbers above are 75% of the AFL's Sydney North region. Program names and concepts have changed year on year

3. Please respond to the following queries (below and following page)

Query	Answer
What are the state participation trends and numbers for your sport over the past few years?	<p>Participation in Australian Rules Football across the state has increased significantly over the last few years. From 2015 to 2016 total participation across the state has increased by 10%. Community clubs, female football, club Auskick and AFL 9s have all experienced growth.</p> <p>North, East and Central Sydney clubs are at capacity and are awaiting facility upgrades/changes to be able to match demand.</p> <p>Sydney West area is experiencing growth and these venues will reach capacity in the next 5 to 10 years.</p> <p>Regional areas are experiencing a growth in female participation in line with the inaugural AFLW competition.</p> <p>In 2017, the Sydney Swans and GWS GIANTS have both reach their highest membership totals ever and are receiving an improved amount of media exposure. The continued success of these AFL teams will directly impact and improve participation numbers.</p>
Are there any issues/ barriers impacting on your sport in the NSROC area and surrounding region?	<p>The principle issue facing Australian Rules Football clubs in the NSROC area is the capacity of clubs to accommodate more members. The principle roadblocks are hours available to train and play on AFL ovals, followed by appropriately skilled coaches and supporting volunteers.</p> <p>The NSROC area is arguably the engine room of Greater Sydney Junior Australian Rules Football with 2 of the largest 5 junior clubs in Sydney and 8 of the 12 Independent Schools in their AFL competition. In addition, the North Shore Bombers are Sydney's 2nd largest Senior AFL Club.</p> <p>The facilities problem is extreme. Most junior teams can only train 1 night per week and by June the poor state of the grounds sees them closed at the first sign of rain; both situations far from ideal when growing the game from the grass roots. In addition, we expect an increasing interest in joining the region's Sunday Junior Club season from Independent school players who play a very short season on Saturdays. This simply will not be possible in some cases as some junior clubs in the region are currently full. The above mentioned growth in female Australian Rules Football not only adds to the grounds pressures, but demands an upgrade of off-field facilities (showers/toilets/sightlines etc.)</p>

Query	Answer
<p>What needs or opportunities have been identified for new/ expanded facilities within the NSROC area?</p>	<p>The opportunity to find new grounds in the NSROC area is very limited. The only new green field site we know of is the Hornsby Shire Council's acquisition from Sydney Water of Westleigh Park. Property prices will always find a 'higher and better use', unless other recreational or passive land accommodates AFL. Golf Course conversion (refer to Northern Beaches Sportsground and Golf Course Discussion Paper April 2017) and the replanning of St Ives Showground provides other potential options.</p> <p>Without abundant new land, the only alternative is to increase the yields from the existing stock of AFL grounds (e.g. improved drainage and irrigation is needed on all grounds). Floodlighting to unlit grounds is the next efficiency.</p>
<p>Relative to other challenges for growth/ development of your sport, how does the NSROC region rate in terms of priorities?</p>	<p>As mentioned earlier, the NSROC area is the engine room of club Australian Rules Football in Greater Sydney. It is not the fastest growing region in terms of team growth, but is the most mature. For this fact alone, we must ensure the mature, large clubs are not choked by lack of facilities.</p> <p>In summary, the NSROC area is as strategically important as the rapidly growing, small AFL regions of outer Sydney.</p>
<p>What initiatives has/will your sport look to introduce in the NSROC area to assist in managing future demand and use of sportsgrounds?</p>	<p>The most impactful initiative the AFL can introduce/endorse is the use of synthetic surfaces. The NSROC area currently has the two newest all-sports synthetic surfaces that accommodate AFL in Australia (Blackman Park, Lane Cove; ELS Hall, North Ryde) and a 3rd will be in operation for the 2018 AFL seasons (Gore Hill Oval, St Leonards). Our willingness to share surfaces and amenities and make significant capital contributions, illustrates how enthusiastic we are about introducing synthetic surfaces.</p> <p>A second initiative is our offer to contribute a full set of goal/point posts to any ground (council or school) that will commit to an AFL program.</p>

NSROC Sportsground Strategy - Sport Questionnaire

Please return to simon@otiumplanning.com.au - 0428 600 657 by Friday 28 April

1. Please identify

- name of relevant associations/affiliates that operate within the NSROC region ([see map](#))
- contacts details for relevant associations/affiliates
- total membership for each association/affiliate
- the estimated proportion (of members or teams) for each association/affiliate that are based within the borders of NSROC

Name	Contact Details (email, phone, webpage)	Members	Estimated Proportion within NSROC Region
Ryde Eastwood Touch Association	Paul Wines E: rydetouch@gmail.com P: 0407 564 442	900	90%
Hornsby Touch Association	Carol Jones E: pwcpjones@hotmail.com P: 0408 221 004	1,200	100%
Northern Suburbs Touch Association	Kim Perry E: Kim.Perry@defence.gov.au P: 0402 857 030	2,100	90%
Galston Touch Association	Glen Gittens E: galstontouch@gmail.com P: 0404 600 460	150	100%
Cammeray Touch Association	Bianca Parl E: touch@cammeraytouch.com P: 0411 170 117	1,050	90%
Carlingford Touch Association	Tracey Elliot E: tracey@touchheads.com.au P: 0412 086 364	500	100%

2. Please complete participation figures for your sport in the NSROC region

- Core Participation Figures (organised club/association based for main season)

	2017			2014		
Age	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
Junior (4-15)	1060	530	1590	920	460	1380
Senior (15+)	1574	780	2360	1427	713	2140
Total	2634	1310	3950	2347	1173	3520

- Optional Participation Figures (for other formats e.g. school based, off-season, social, program, other)

	2017			2014		
Program/Format	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
School						

Total			

3. Please respond to the following queries (below and following page)

Query	Answer
What are the state participation trends and numbers for your sport over the past few years?	State wide our numbers are staying stable in social competitions. In the representative competitions our numbers have had an increase in both seniors and juniors.
Are there any issues/ barriers impacting on your sport in the NSROC area and surrounding region?	Lack of access to fields with quality lighting and sufficient fields to run large competitions. Some competitions have had to move around from their winter and summer competitions.
What needs or opportunities have been identified for new/ expanded facilities within the NSROC area?	In many of our affiliates in the area, the only thing holding us back from larger numbers is field capacity. For example Galston are a new club and are eager to grow in both winter and summer competitions. Finding a field in the winter period can be difficult as they are all used by other sports or do not have sufficient lighting.
Relative to other challenges for growth/ development of your sport, how does the NSROC region rate in terms of priorities?	The NSROC region is seen as an area with high potential for growth, we are currently in the process of formatting a plan to target the Hornsby area to attract more juniors to the competition.
What initiatives has/will your sport look to introduce in the NSROC area to assist in managing future demand and use of sportsgrounds?	We are currently assisting all associations in the area give them the best opportunity to reach their full capacity. Giving each affiliate a marketing plan to target as many potential players as possible. Ensuring they have the right tools to budget for a competition. Targeting schools in the area and running primary and high school gala days.
Other comments	Overall the council has been great in assisting us when we require a ground for our competitions. Some affiliates will require larger grounds over time to accommodate more participants. We have also had some problems with too many line markings on the fields at times. At foxglove for example the athletics track is marked with paint and weed killer, which makes it dangerous for our participants who need to run across the lines.

NSROC Sportsground Strategy - Sport Questionnaire

Please return to simon@otiumplanning.com.au - 0428 600 657 by Friday 28 April

1. Please identify

- name of relevant associations/affiliates that operate within the NSROC region ([see map](#))
- contacts details for relevant associations/affiliates
- total membership for each association/affiliate
- the estimated proportion (of members or teams) for each association/affiliate that are based within the borders of NSROC

Name	Contact Details (email, phone, webpage)	Members	Estimated Proportion within NSROC Region
Northern Suburbs Netball Associations	secretary@nsna.com.au 0413 320 776	5,750	95%
Ku-ring-gai Netball Association	knasec@kna.com.au 0420 379 096	3,874	95%
Eastwood Ryde Netball Association	secretary@ernanetball.com.au 0431 975 565	4,441	80%
Hills District Netball Association	valda@morcombusiness.com.au 0404 899 632	3,022	80%

2. Please complete participation figures for your sport in the NSROC region

- Core Participation Figures (organised club/association based for main season)

2016 (2017 data not available)				2014		
Age	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
Junior (4-17)	11,877		11,877	10,633		10,633
Senior (18+)	5,211		5,211	5,445		5,445
Total	17,088		17,088	16,078		16,078

- Optional Participation Figures (for other formats e.g. school based, off-season, social, program, other)

2017				2014		
Program/Format	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
School						
Total						

3. Please respond to the following queries (below and following page)

Query	Answer
<p>What are the state participation trends and numbers for your sport over the past few years?</p>	<p>10 - 12% growth in membership 2010 - 2016 for netball in NSW.</p> <p>114,000 Netball NSW members throughout NSW in 2016.</p>
<p>Are there any issues/ barriers impacting on your sport in the NSROC area and surrounding region?</p>	<p>Lack of available space for netball facilities in Northern Suburbs/North Shore (especially with Northern Suburbs NA/Ku-ring-gai NA) to allow for growth.</p> <p>Lack of modern amenities (change rooms, toilets, etc.) at netball facilities in Northern Suburbs/North Shore.</p> <p>Lack of car parking available at netball facilities in Northern Suburbs/North Shore.</p>
<p>What needs or opportunities have been identified for new/ expanded facilities within the NSROC area?</p>	<p>Netball NSW is currently undertaking a state-wide facility strategy to identify opportunities for new/ expanded facilities within the growth areas of Northern Suburbs/North Shore.</p> <p>Ku-ring-gai NA, Northern Suburbs NA and Eastwood Ryde NA have been identified as Associations requiring facility development to allow for growth to continue in terms of netball participation.</p>
<p>Relative to other challenges for growth/ development of your sport, how does the NSROC region rate in terms of priorities?</p>	<p>Northern Suburbs/North Shore is a key growth area for netball in NSW and ranks very highly within NNSW priorities.</p> <p>All Associations within Northern Suburbs/North Shore have reached, or will reach critical capacity for participants (netball facilities, amenities, parking, etc.) within the next few years (2017 - 2020), which does not allow for any growth to occur. Facility development is required to allow for this growth to occur.</p>
<p>What initiatives has/will your sport look to introduce in the NSROC area to assist in managing future demand and use of sportsgrounds?</p>	<p>Netball NSW Statewide Facility Strategy (completion in late 2017)</p> <p>Fast 5 (social netball)</p> <p>Flexible programming (weeknight competition/social netball)</p> <p>Car-pooling/ride sharing to cope with lack of parking (Manly Warringah NA/Northern Suburbs NA)</p>
<p>Other comments</p>	

NSROC Sportsground Strategy - Sport Questionnaire

Please return to simon@otiumplanning.com.au - 0428 600 657 by Friday 28 April

1. Please identify

- name of relevant associations/affiliates that operate within the NSROC region ([see map](#))
- contacts details for relevant associations/affiliates
- total membership for each association/affiliate
- the estimated proportion (of members or teams) for each association/affiliate that are based within the borders of NSROC

Name	Contact Details (email, phone, webpage)	Members	Estimated Proportion within NSROC Region
NSW Rugby Union	mgreen@nswrugby.com.au , 93233402, www.nswrugby.com.au	38000 players	19%
Sydney Junior Rugby	kbrady@nswrugby.com.au	12000 players	33%
NSW Suburban Rugby	trichards@nswrugby.com.au	8000 players	25%
Sydney Rugby Union	mcellahan@nswrugby.com.au	500 players	17%

2. Please complete participation figures for your sport in the NSROC region

- Core Participation Figures (organised club/association based for main season)

2017				2014		
Age	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
Junior (4-15)	3917	484	4401	3892	432	4324
Senior (15+)	2037	223	2260	2151	0	2151
Total	5954	307	6661	6043	432	6475

- Optional Participation Figures (for other formats e.g. school based, off-season, social, program, other)

2017				2014		
Program/Format	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
School Comps XV a side	4715	0	4715	4699	0	4699
Viva 7s	1314	927	2241	0	0	0
Schools Game On	3269	1837	5106	0	0	0
Schools 7s	1842	309	2151	0	0	0
Total	11140	3073	14213	4699		4699

3. Please respond to the following queries (below and following page)

Query	Answer
<p>What are the state participation trends and numbers for your sport over the past few years?</p>	<p>Participation trends in 15 aside rugby is growing at 1-2%pa. However, our biggest growth areas are in the non-traditional formats of 7s and girls rugby with the sports inclusion in the Olympics. These games can be played outside the usual winter season window. IN addition other modified non-contact versions of the game are also experiencing significant growth with centres at Lane Cove and Wahroonga.</p>
<p>Are there any issues/ barriers impacting on your sport in the NSROC area and surrounding region?</p>	<p>Greenspace outside of the winter season to be able to play 7s rugby has been problematic as rugby is not seen as a summer sport and hence access to fields are difficult. There also seems to be a culture of booking fields every week by all sports in an effort to block out others so grounds are only used every other week. A more collaborative approach to draws may see available greenspace used better</p>
<p>What needs or opportunities have been identified for new/ expanded facilities within the NSROC area?</p>	<p>Ability to continue to use grounds in poor weather conditions. Access to suitable standard lighting for matches & training in an effort to maximise ground usage as well as access to new artificial turf which would increase usage opportunities.</p>
<p>Relative to other challenges for growth/ development of your sport, how does the NSROC region rate in terms of priorities?</p>	<p>NSROC region is rugby heartland and strategically a very important component of NSWRU's potential growth and area for opportunities.</p>
<p>What initiatives has/will your sport look to introduce in the NSROC area to assist in managing future demand and use of sportsgrounds?</p>	<p>NSWRU has undertaken a full audit of future plans for all clubs statewide. NSWRU will look to support applications for future facility enhancements and upgrades. A new Government Relations and Greenspace Manager will be employed in the coming weeks. NSWRU is prepared to work with and complement other sports who share grounds in an effort to improve facilities for all.</p>
<p>Other comments</p>	

NSROC Sportsground Strategy - Sport Questionnaire

Please return to simon@otiumplanning.com.au - 0428 600 657 by Friday 28 April

1. Please identify

- name of relevant associations/affiliates that operate within the NSROC region ([see map](#))
- contacts details for relevant associations/affiliates
- total membership for each association/affiliate
- the estimated proportion (of members or teams) for each association/affiliate that are based within the borders of NSROC

Name	Contact Details (email, phone, webpage)	Members	Estimated Proportion within NSROC Region
North Sydney Junior Rugby League	josh@northsydneybears.com.au www.northsydneybears.com.au		98%

2. Please complete participation figures for your sport in the NSROC region

- Core Participation Figures (organised club/association based for main season)

2017				2014		
Age	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
Junior (4-15)	735	28	763	720	0	720
Senior (15+)	117	0	117	199	0	199
Total	852	28	880	919	0	919

- Optional Participation Figures (for other formats e.g. school based, off-season, social, program, other)

2017				2014		
Program/Format	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
School						
Rugby League	922	304	1226	1213	0	1213
League Tag	1051	1006	2057	940	860	1800
Backyard League	1764	1710	3474	638	1128	1766
Holiday Clinics	105	32	137	59	5	64
Total	3842	3052	6894	2850	1993	4843

3. Please respond to the following queries (below and following page)

Query	Answer
What are the state participation trends and numbers for your sport over the past few years?	Small % increase in male numbers. Female participation increasing. Twelve team female senior competition commenced 2015. Introduction of eight team under 18 female competition in 2017.

Query	Answer
<p>Are there any issues/ barriers impacting on your sport in the NSROC area and surrounding region?</p>	<p>There is a strong Rugby Union school presence in NSROC. Teenage age groups suffer with team numbers down. There is no NRL team in the NSROC region.</p>
<p>What needs or opportunities have been identified for new/ expanded facilities within the NSROC area?</p>	<p>Clubs within NSROC are not at capacity and cope with the green space current. Some facilities need improvement, especially with the promotion and involvement of females increase.</p>
<p>Relative to other challenges for growth/ development of your sport, how does the NSROC region rate in terms of priorities?</p>	<p>The NSROC region rates highly in terms of development and growth. Since the North Sydney Bears have not been in the NRL competition the junior competition has suffered in loss of participation numbers.</p>
<p>What initiatives has/will your sport look to introduce in the NSROC area to assist in managing future demand and use of sportsgrounds?</p>	<p>The sport has reduced field size for mini rugby league while looking to increase participation without increasing demand for more space.</p>
<p>Other comments</p>	<p>The North Sydney District is one of the smaller district associations in the Sydney, however has grown in the last two years with the establishment of a new club.</p>

NSROC Sportsground Strategy - Sport Questionnaire

Please return to simon@otiumplanning.com.au - 0428 600 657 by Friday 28 April

1. Please identify

- name of relevant associations/affiliates that operate within the NSROC region ([see map](#))
- contacts details for relevant associations/affiliates
- total membership for each association/affiliate
- the estimated proportion (of members or teams) for each association/affiliate that are based within the borders of NSROC

Name	Contact Details (email, phone, webpage)	Members	Estimated Proportion within NSROC Region
UTS Northern Suburbs Athletic Club	andy.west67@gmail.com www.utsnorthsath.com.au	620	75%
Ryde Athletics Club	jrossforster@optusnet.com.au www.ryde-athletics.org.au	322	70%
Knox Grammar School	ferrisa@knox.nsw.edu.au	36	80%
Sydney Pacific Athletics Club	sydneyacificathletics@gmail.com robert@mcentyre.com.au	340	50%
St Josephs College Athletics Club	ddrain@joeys.org www.joeys.org	0	New club
Epping Athletics Club	info@eppingdac.com.au www.eppingdac.com.au	82	50%
Cherrybrook Senior & Little Athletics Club	karinswebb@yahoo.com.au www.cherrybrookathletics.org.au	133	85%

2. Please complete participation figures for your sport in the NSROC region

- Core Participation Figures (organised club/association based for main season)

	2017			2014		
Age	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
Junior (4-15)	491	512	1003	248	243	491
Senior (15+)	298	231	529	187	138	325
Total	789	743	1532	435	381	843

- Optional Participation Figures (for other formats e.g. school based, off-season, social, program, other)

	2017			2014		
Program/Format	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
parkrun	119	119	254	7	6	13
Total			254			13

3. Please respond to the following queries (below and following page)

Query	Answer
<p>What are the state participation trends and numbers for your sport over the past few years?</p>	<p>Participation in athletics has grown over the past few years. At ANSW we have changed our perspective around participation, and attempted to bring the recreational runner in to our organisation, and focus equally on recreation running along with traditional track and field.</p>
<p>Are there any issues/ barriers impacting on your sport in the NSROC area and surrounding region?</p>	<p>There is a lack of adequate facilities in the region, which is a large barrier for participation. There is only 1 synthetic track in the whole region. There is also the perception that athletics is an elitist sport, putting in a psychological barrier for people to participation within the wider community</p>
<p>What needs or opportunities have been identified for new/ expanded facilities within the NSROC area?</p>	<p>As stated above, there is a lack of adequate facilities in the wider region. The facilities that are needed are synthetic tracks. There is also a lack of grass tracks, thus overall facilities for the number of potential participants</p>
<p>Relative to other challenges for growth/ development of your sport, how does the NSROC region rate in terms of priorities?</p>	<p>The NSROC region is among our priorities, especially considering the size and projected growth in the area, along with the projected demographic changes.</p>
<p>What initiatives has/will your sport look to introduce in the NSROC area to assist in managing future demand and use of sportsgrounds?</p>	<p>We are looking in to developing facilities. We are also looking into increasing participation through different types of membership options which have a varying price point depending on what the person wants. Lastly, we are looking at ways to break down the barrier that athletics has and that it is viewed as an elitist sport.</p>
<p>Other comments</p>	

NSROC Sportsground Strategy - Sport Questionnaire

Please return to simon@otiumplanning.com.au - 0428 600 657 by Friday 28 April

1. Please identify

- name of relevant associations/affiliates that operate within the NSROC region ([see map](#))
- contacts details for relevant associations/affiliates
- total membership for each association/affiliate
- the estimated proportion (of members or teams) for each association/affiliate that are based within the borders of NSROC

Name	Contact Details (email, phone, webpage)	Members	Estimated Proportion within NSROC Region
North Sydney Bears/Leagues	Flat Rock/Bicentennial Park Lawrence White secretaryjuniorbears@gmail.com	352	13.8%
Chatswood Dragons	Bicentennial Park N/A	71	2.7%
Nth Ryde RSL	Magdala Rd Ian Cunningham Ningbat2508@aapt.net.au	213	8.4%
Macquarie Saints	Pioneer Park Serena Gahagan macquariesaintssecretary@gmail.com	235	9.2%
Kissing Point Angels	Mimosa Park David Steel djsteel@optusnet.com.au	244	9.6%
Greenway Giants	Shepherds Drive, Cherrybrook Rema Thompson secretary@greenwaygiants.org.au	429	16.9%
KuRingGai Stealers	Golden Jubilee Stuart Quirk stuart@savvycoffee.com.au	355	14%
Rangers	Rofe Park Jackie Blair jacknsi@ihug.com.au	191	7.5%
Thornleigh Tigers	Oakleigh Park Julie McIntire secretary@thornleighbaseball.com.au	216	8.5%
Ryde Hawks	ELS Hall rydehawks@rydebaseball.com.au	78	3%
Tigers	N/A	41	1.6%
Ryde Eastwood	N/A	119	4.7%

2. Please complete participation figures for your sport in the NSROC region

- Core Participation Figures (organised club/association based for main season)

Suite 1, 273 Alfred Street North North Sydney NSW 2060 PHONE (02) 8011 0725 ABN 30 605 962 169

AUCKLAND • BRISBANE • CAIRNS • CHRISTCHURCH • DARWIN • MELBOURNE • PERTH • SYDNEY

2017			
Age	Male	Female	Total
Junior (4-15)	791	107	898
Senior (15+)	1491	155	1646
Total	2282	262	2544

2014		
Male	Female	Total
		967
		1722
		2689

b. Optional Participation Figures (for other formats e.g. school based, off-season, social, program, other)

Almost all public schools play Tball PSSA for years 3 and 4. There is approx. 3500 in that pool of students. This does not factor in students that continue onto softball in year 5 and 6 OR any private/independent school participation.

2017			
Program/Format	Male	Female	Total
School			
Total			

2014		
Male	Female	Total

3. Please respond to the following queries (below and following page)

Query	Answer
What are the state participation trends and numbers for your sport over the past few years?	Slight increase in participation numbers. This has been due to consistent recruitment trends and improved retention trends. Although, there has been a slight decrease of number in the NSROC area.
Are there any issues/ barriers impacting on your sport in the NSROC area and surrounding region?	Field Availability 12 months of the year (mostly needed for Senior play). Due to many fields, especially in the Ryde and Hornsby areas being multi sport use, baseball clubs find it very difficult to improve facilities due to lack of ownership/baseball specific surfaces. Many diamonds are squeezed into the corner of a rectangle field.
What needs or opportunities have been identified for new/ expanded facilities within the NSROC area?	BNSW have identified that there is a limited number of multi diamond facilities with enclosed fields. The biggest facility- Greenway, Shepherds Drive is used by AFL during the winter months.
Relative to other challenges for growth/ development of your sport, how does the NSROC region rate in terms of priorities?	Extremely high. Ensuring the decline of numbers in this area does not continue, rates as major priority. Considering the NSROC is the holds the highest numbers of baseball participation in the State and possibly the country, maintaining numbers and improving facilities in this area must be a priority for BNSW.
What initiatives has/will your sport look to introduce in the NSROC area to assist in managing future demand and use of sportsgrounds?	BNSW will be introducing carnival events and fundamental skills programs into primary school to increase the use of grounds by more participants. This plan will also assist in developing relationships between clubs and schools to increase the use of club facilities and also assist with promotion/recruitment.
Other comments	

NSROC Sportsground Strategy - Sport Questionnaire

Please return to simon@otiumplanning.com.au - 0428 600 657 by Friday 28 April

1. Please identify

- name of relevant associations/affiliates that operate within the NSROC region ([see map](#))
- contacts details for relevant associations/affiliates
- total membership for each association/affiliate
- the estimated proportion (of members or teams) for each association/affiliate that are based within the borders of NSROC

Name	Contact Details (email, phone, webpage)	Members	Estimated Proportion within NSROC Region
Hornsby & District Softball Association	Paige Hyslop 0450121215 paigey_paige@hotmail.com	466	90%
North Shore Softball Association	Geoff Day 0400684587 nsdsa@ozemail.com.au	946	70%

2. Please complete participation figures for your sport in the NSROC region

- Core Participation Figures (organised club/association based for main season)

2017				2014		
Age	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
Junior (4-15)			543			
Senior (15+)			959			
Total			1402			1591

- Optional Participation Figures (for other formats e.g. school based, off-season, social, program, other)

2017				2014		
Program/Format	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
School						
Total						

3. Please respond to the following queries (below and following page)

Query	Answer
What are the state participation trends and numbers for your sport over the past few years?	Slight decline across the state

Query	Answer
Are there any issues/ barriers impacting on your sport in the NSROC area and surrounding region?	Competition Lighting
What needs or opportunities have been identified for new/ expanded facilities within the NSROC area?	
Relative to other challenges for growth/ development of your sport, how does the NSROC region rate in terms of priorities?	
What initiatives has/will your sport look to introduce in the NSROC area to assist in managing future demand and use of sportsgrounds?	
Other comments	The participants in both of these associations are 95% FEMALE

NSROC Sportsground Strategy - Sport Questionnaire

Please return to simon@otiumplanning.com.au - 0428 600 657 by Friday 28 April

1. Please identify

- name of relevant associations/affiliates that operate within the NSROC region ([see map](#))
- contacts details for relevant associations/affiliates
- total membership for each association/affiliate
- the estimated proportion (of members or teams) for each association/affiliate that are based within the borders of NSROC

Name	Contact Details (email, phone, webpage)	Members	Estimated Proportion within NSROC Region
e.g. Norths	e.g. E: simon@norths.net , P: 555123, W: norths.net	e.g. 7,500	e.g. 90% (players/teams from Norths based in NSROC area)
Ku-ring-gai Little Athletics Centre	John McFadden E: cracka68@bigpond.com M: 0414250600 Web: www.klac.org.au	621	

2. Please complete participation figures for your sport in the NSROC region

- Core Participation Figures (organised club/association based for main season)

2017				2014		
Age	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
Junior (4-15)	320	275	595	264	242	506
Senior (15+)	15	12	27	14	9	23
Total	335	287	622	278	251	529

- Optional Participation Figures (for other formats e.g. school based, off-season, social, program, other)

2017				2014		
Program/Format	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
School						
29 x Primary and Secondary Athletics Carnivals			12180	18 x Primary and Secondary School Athletics Carnivals		7560
Little Athletics Winter Cross Country			130	Little Athletics Winter Cross country		130
Little Athletics Winter Running			35			

Training			
Total			12345

		7690

3. Please respond to the following queries (below and following page)

Query	Answer
What are the state participation trends and numbers for your sport over the past few years?	Summer season just finished has seen total registrations of around 39,000 children, marking an increase from the past few seasons.
Are there any issues/ barriers impacting on your sport in the NSROC area and surrounding region?	Lack of facilities. Within the Ku-ring-gai LGA there is not a single 400m Track and Field facility. The ground currently used is the most intensively used ground in KMC area. The lack of a facility impacts especially on athletes 15yrs and older as the current facility is unsuitable for reasons of safety and space.
What needs or opportunities have been identified for new/ expanded facilities within the NSROC area?	The need for a dedicated, 400m grass Track and Field Facility has been the identified in every sports needs survey conducted by or on behalf of KMC yet it remains unfulfilled. Bannockburn Oval has been identified by KMC staff as the most suitable site and a masterplan has been completed but no further progress has been taken by Council.
Relative to other challenges for growth/ development of your sport, how does the NSROC region rate in terms of priorities?	Development of a dedicated Track and Field facility within Ku-ring-gai is the key factor in enabling the growth of athletics and the NSROC area has a strong heritage of producing athletes. Sadly none of them are able to train locally due to the lack of a facility.
What initiatives has/will your sport look to introduce in the NSROC area to assist in managing future demand and use of sportsgrounds?	The Ku-ring-gai Little Athletics Centre has and will continue to work closely with KMC to make the best of the current situation for athletics in the area. This extends to funding and undertaking ground remediation and preparation work to facilitate the summer athletics season and school carnivals over the winter period.
Other comments	Athletics is the foundation for all sports so it is appalling that there is so little in the way of facilities for the sport within Ku-ring-gai and the broader NSROC area. Athletics is by far the most efficient sport on a participants per area per time period basis. Athletics has a very low environmental impact and caters to all genders and levels of ability.

NSROC Sportsground Strategy - Sport Questionnaire

Please return to simon@otiumplanning.com.au - 0428 600 657 by Friday 28 April

1. Please identify

- a. name of relevant associations/affiliates that operate within the NSROC region ([see map](#))
- b. contacts details for relevant associations/affiliates
- c. total membership for each association/affiliate
- d. the estimated proportion (of members or teams) for each association/affiliate that are based within the borders of NSROC

Name	Contact Details (email, phone, webpage)	Members	Estimated Proportion within NSROC Region
e.g. Norths	e.g. E: simon@norths.net , P: 555123, W: norths.net	e.g. 7,500	e.g. 90% (players/teams from Norths based in NSROC area)
Northern Districts Little Athletics Club	Stuart Guthrie (President) Airlie98@hotmail.com 0437773308	370	

2. Please complete participation figures for your sport in the NSROC region

- a. Core Participation Figures (organised club/association based for main season)

2017				2014		
Age	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
Junior (4-15)	139	140	279	157	162	319
Senior (15+)	10	8	18	13	21	34
Total	149	148	297	170	183	353

- b. Optional Participation Figures (for other formats e.g. school based, off-season, social, program, other)

2017				2014		
Program/Format	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
Total						

3. Please respond to the following queries (below and following page)

Query	Answer
What are the state participation trends and numbers for your sport over the past few years?	We believe our numbers have maintained a consistent level.
Are there any issues/ barriers impacting on your sport in the NSROC area and surrounding region?	Not enough sufficient grounds/facilities that are maintained to high level. Our grounds are used by multiple sports which creates undue wear and tear. Our oval (Foxglove) is used for Soccer, Touch Football, Oz Tag, Athletics, Cricket & school sports. Providing enough volunteers each week to run our events is proving more & more difficult
What needs or opportunities have been identified for new/ expanded facilities within the NSROC area?	We have not been informed
Relative to other challenges for growth/ development of your sport, how does the NSROC region rate in terms of priorities?	High. Other areas seem to be receiving more venues and upgrades, where we haven't.
What initiatives has/will your sport look to introduce in the NSROC area to assist in managing future demand and use of sportsgrounds?	We have been trying to apply for grants to upgrade our facilities.
Other comments	

1. Please identify

- a. name of relevant associations/affiliates that operate within the NSROC region ([see map](#))
- b. contacts details for relevant associations/affiliates
- c. total membership for each association/affiliate
- d. the estimated proportion (of members or teams) for each association/affiliate that are based within the borders of NSROC

Name	Contact Details (email, phone, webpage)	Members	Estimated Proportion
Hornsby District Little Athletic Club	info@hdlac.org.au www.hdlac.org.au	379	

2. Please complete participation figures for your sport in the NSROC region

- a. Core Participation Figures (organised club/association based for main season)

	2017			2014		
Age	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
Junior (4-15)	170	185	364	200	174	374
Senior (15+)	3	12	15	16	11	27
Total	182	197	379	216	185	401

- b. Optional Participation Figures (for other formats e.g. school based, off-season, social, program, other)

	2017			2014		
Program/Format	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
Total						

3. Please respond to the following queries (below and following page)

Query	Answer
What are the state participation trends and numbers for your sport over the past few years?	Our club has been relatively stable with overall numbers. Olympic years trend up. Older age groups are dropping off.
Are there any issues/barriers impacting on your sport in the NSROC area and surrounding region?	We share an oval with cricket - we have the Saturday morning and they are booked for after 11.30 but they often ask for access earlier which can create some tension. Grass field is impacted by wet weather

Query	Answer
What needs or opportunities have been identified for new/ expanded facilities within the NSROC area?	Dedicated athletic track/field. All weather track More storage Improved amenities
Relative to other challenges for growth/ development of your sport, how does the NSROC region rate in terms of priorities?	
What initiatives has/will your sport look to introduce in the NSROC area to assist in managing future demand and use of sportsgrounds?	
Other comments	

NSROC Sportsground Strategy - Sport Questionnaire

Please return to simon@otiumplanning.com.au - 0428 600 657 by Friday 28 April

1. Please identify

- name of relevant associations/affiliates that operate within the NSROC region ([see map](#))
- contacts details for relevant associations/affiliates
- total membership for each association/affiliate
- the estimated proportion (of members or teams) for each association/affiliate that are based within the borders of NSROC

Name	Contact Details (email, phone, webpage)	Members	Estimated Proportion within NSROC Region
Northern Sydney and Beaches Hockey Association	David Johnston, President, 0424175996, president@nsbhockey.com.au	1922	>90% from Local Area

2. Please complete participation figures for your sport in the NSROC region

- Core Participation Figures (organised club/association based for main season), **2017 registration figures not complete at this stage.**

	2016			2014		
Age	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
Junior (4-15)	338	507	845			
Senior (15+)	431	646	1077			
Total	769	1153	1922			2239

- Optional Participation Figures (for other formats e.g. school based, off-season, social, program, other)

	2016			2014		
Program/Format	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
School Clinic	1000	1000	2000			
IGSSA Competition		1500	1500			
Girls School		200	200			
Total	1000	2700	3700			4000

3. Please respond to the following queries (below and following page)

Query	Answer
<p>What are the state participation trends and numbers for your sport over the past few years?</p>	<p>Hockey NSW has grown +3% and +3% over the last 2 years, we grew +9% in Primary School Aged Children 2015-2016.</p>
<p>Are there any issues/ barriers impacting on your sport in the NSROC area and surrounding region?</p>	<p>Currently we have 1 synthetic pitch at Kuringai in gross disrepair, the game is a synthetic turf game now and we are inadequately resourced to play let alone grow the game.</p>
<p>What needs or opportunities have been identified for new/ expanded facilities within the NSROC area?</p>	<p>Hockey NSW have met with all local State Members, Federal Members and local councils, the local Association has worked tirelessly with no result. Potential locations within the area are Narrabeen Sports Precinct, Narrabeen Sports High School, Anderson Park Nth Sydney, Warringah Golf Course re-development and St Ives Showground have all been identified. Of course the replacement of Kuringai is immediately critical.</p>
<p>Relative to other challenges for growth/ development of your sport, how does the NSROC region rate in terms of priorities?</p>	<p>This area has growth potential and is rated in our Top 4 immediate priorities</p>
<p>What initiatives has/will your sport look to introduce in the NSROC area to assist in managing future demand and use of sportsgrounds?</p>	<p>We already have 1 full time coach employed for both this Association and the neighbouring North West Sydney Association, we would turn that into 2 roles and have 1 focussed 100% on this area.</p>
<p>Other comments</p>	<p>We are desperate in this area, our Association has worked tirelessly over many years for a "Home ground", Largest Hockey Association in NSW with no Home. The reduction in numbers from 2014-2016 is purely facility based, players have to travel across Sydney to play and train.</p>



Appendix 5 - Facility Inventory

LGA	Facility/Site Name	Owner	Management	Playing Surface Area	Main Winter Use(s)	Main Summer Use(s)	Field Type(s)	Full Size Rectangle Fields	Mod/Inr Rectangle Fields	Full Ovals	Junior Ovals	Netball Courts	Baseball	Softball	Changerooms	Toilets
Willoughby	Greville St Reserve	Council	Council	0.31	soccer		Turf		1							
Willoughby	Naremburn Park	Crown	Council	2.41	soccer	cricket	Turf	2				2				2 yes
Willoughby	O H Reid Reserve	Council	Council	1.29	soccer	cricket	Turf	1								2 yes
Willoughby	Willoughby Park-inc Alan Hyslop Oval	Crown	Council	2.48	league/ soccer	cricket	Turf		1	1						2 yes
Willoughby	Mowbray Public School Oval	Education	Council	0.85	soccer	cricket	Turf	1								yes
Willoughby	Willoughby Girls High Oval	Education	Council	0.41	soccer		Turf			1						
Willoughby	Gore Hill Oval	Crown	Council	2.12	AFL	cricket	Turf(synthetic in 2018)			1						2 yes



Appendix 6 - Potential Increases to Sportsground Capacity

Council	Category	Location	Project Description	Playing Area (Ha)	Additional Capacity Hours	Yield in Hectare Hours
Hornsby	New Development	Westleigh Park	New sports complex with approx 7.5Ha of Playing surface	7.5	25	187.50
Hornsby	New Development	Hornsby Quarry	New field with approx 1.5Ha playing surface	1.5	25	37.50
Hornsby	New Development	Schofield Parade - Pennant Hills	New field with approx 1.5Ha playing surface	1.5	25	37.50
Hornsby	New Development	Vacant Site - Cowan	New field with approx 1.4 Ha playing surface	1.4	25	35.00
Hornsby	Synthetic Surface	TBA	Install full size football pitch	0.9	29	26.10
Hornsby	Increase Current Use	Old Dairy	Full utilisation	0.95	25	23.75
Hornsby	Synthetic Surface	John Purchase	Install full size football pitch	0.75	29	21.75
Hornsby	Convert Space	Epping Athletics	Reconfigure field for shared use	0.77	25	19.25
Hornsby	Capacity Increase	Arcadia Park	Lighting	0.94	15	14.10
Hornsby	Capacity Increase	James Henty	Lighting	0.7	17	11.90
Hornsby	Capacity Increase	Pennant Hills Archery	Lighting	0.4	25	10.00
Hornsby	Capacity Increase	Galstone Rec Reserve	Lighting	0.48	20	9.60
Hornsby	Capacity Increase	Cowan Park	Lighting	0.55	15	8.25
Hornsby	Increase Current Use	Pennant Hills No.3	Full utilisation with weekend seasonal night competition	0.68	8.5	5.78
Hornsby	Capacity Increase	Glenorie	Lighting	0.56	10	5.60
Hornsby	Capacity Increase	Booth Park	Lighting	0.32	11	3.52
Ku-ring-gai	Synthetic Surface	Norman Griffiths Sportsground	Installation of a full size multi-use field	0.93	24	22.32
Ku-ring-gai	Synthetic Surface	Warrimoo Sportsground	Installation of a full size multi-use field	1.2	24	28.80
North Sydney	Capacity Increase	Waverton Oval	Lighting, Drainage, Irrigation and resurfacing	0.42	5	2.10
North Sydney	Capacity Increase	Tunks Park #2	lighting	0.75	10	7.50
North Sydney	Capacity Increase	Tunks Park #3	lighting	0.84	10	8.40
North Sydney	Synthetic Surface	Anderson Park	Installation of multi-use field	0.48	34	16.32
Ryde	Capacity Increase	Meadowbank Park 10	Lighting	1	12	12.00
Ryde	Capacity Increase	Gannan Park 1	Lighting	0.96	15	14.40
Ryde	Capacity Increase	Gannan Park 2	Lighting	0.96	15	14.40
Ryde	Capacity Increase	Meadowbank Park LH Waud	Lighting	1.12	12	13.44
Ryde	Capacity Increase	Tuckwell Park	Lighting	0.77	10	7.70
Ryde	Capacity Increase	Smalls Rd 1	Lighting	0.67	20	13.40
Ryde	Capacity Increase	Smalls Rd 2	Lighting	0.77	12	9.24
Ryde	Optimisation of fields	Gannan Park	Optimisation of land to develop new rectangular field	1	30	30.00
Ryde	Optimisation of fields	Magdala Park	Optimisation of land to develop new rectangular field	0.9	30	27.00
Ryde	Optimisation of fields	Christie Park 3	Synthetic	0.9	54	48.60
Ryde	Increase Current Use	ELS Hall	Full utilisation with weekend seasonal night competition	1.31	9	11.79
Willoughby	Synthetic Surface	Chatswood High School Oval	Install full size football field	1.13	29	32.77
Willoughby	Synthetic Surface	Gore Hill Oval	Install full size AFL/ cricket ground	2.12	29	61.48
Willoughby	Synthetic Surface	Willoughby Girls High School Oval	Install full size football field	0.75	50	37.50

Council	Category	Location	Project Description	Playing Area (Ha)	Additional Capacity Hours	Yield in Hectare Hours
Willoughby	Capacity increase	Mowbray Public School Oval	Install floodlighting	0.85	6	5.10
Willoughby	Capacity increase	Bicentennial Oval	Install floodlighting	1.69	6	10.14
Willoughby	Capacity increase	Bales Park Oval	Install floodlighting	1.53	10	15.30
Willoughby	Capacity increase	O H Reid Oval	Install floodlighting	1.29	10	12.90
Willoughby	Capacity increase	Greville St Oval	Install floodlighting	0.31	15	4.65
Willoughby	Increase Current Use	Thomson Oval	Full utilisation with weekend seasonal night competition	0.94	10.5	9.87
	Total					934.22



Appendix 7 - Potential Department of Education Sportsground Sites

School Analysis

Site	School Type	Area Type	LGA	3/4 Option	Full Option
Asquith Girls High School	High	SPORT/OVAL	Hornsby	Y	-
Cheltenham Girls High School	High	SPORT/OVAL	Hornsby	Y	-
Cherrybrook Public School	Public	SPORT/OVAL	Hornsby		Y
Epping North Public School	Public	SPORT/OVAL	Hornsby	Y	-
Galston High School	High	SPORT/OVAL	Hornsby	Y	-
Galston High School	High	SPORT/OVAL	Hornsby		Y
Glenorie Public School	Public	SPORT/OVAL	Hornsby	Y	-
Hornsby Girls High School	High	SPORT/OVAL	Hornsby	Y	-
Hornsby Heights Public School	Public	SPORT/OVAL	Hornsby	Y	-
Mount Colah Public School	Public	SPORT/OVAL	Hornsby	Y	-
Normanhurst Boys High School	High	SPORT/OVAL	Hornsby		Y
Normanhurst West Public School	Public	SPORT/OVAL	Hornsby	Y	-
Pennant Hills High School	High	SPORT/OVAL	Hornsby		Y
Pennant Hills High School	High	SPORT/OVAL	Hornsby		Y
West Pennant Hills Public School	Public	SPORT/OVAL	Hornsby	Y	-
Hunters Hill High School	High	SPORT/OVAL	Hunters Hill		Y
Gordon East Public School	Public	SPORT/OVAL	Ku-Ring-Gai	Y	-
St Ives High School	High	SPORT/OVAL	Ku-Ring-Gai		Y
St Ives High School	High	SPORT/OVAL	Ku-Ring-Gai	Y	-
St Ives Park Public School	Public	SPORT/OVAL	Ku-Ring-Gai		Y
St Ives Public School	Public	SPORT/OVAL	Ku-Ring-Gai	Y	-
Turrumurra High School	High	SPORT/OVAL	Ku-Ring-Gai		Y
Turrumurra North Public School	Public	SPORT/OVAL	Ku-Ring-Gai	Y	-
Turrumurra Public School	Public	SPORT/OVAL	Ku-Ring-Gai	Y	-
Eastwood Heights Public School	Public	SPORT/OVAL	Ryde	Y	-
Ermington Public School	Public	SPORT/OVAL	Ryde	Y	-
Gladesville Public School	Public	SPORT/OVAL	Ryde	Y	-
Ryde East Public School	Public	SPORT/OVAL	Ryde		Y
Ryde Public School	Public	SPORT/OVAL	Ryde	Y	-